

ARTICLE REVIEW FORM

You are kindly requested to review the article entitled:

.....
.....

which has been submitted for publication in “Kardiochirurgia i Torakochirurgia Polska” (Polish Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery).

This article review form has been prepared to facilitate efficient evaluation of submitted articles. Please kindly complete all points of the form adding, if necessary, your own comments. Each of the questions should be evaluated on a scale from 1(disqualifying) to 6 (excellent).

If you are unable to review the article within three weeks, please return it to the Editorial Office.

Rating from 1 to 6

- Are the problems discussed relevant and significant?
- Does the article present cognitive elements?
- Is the article written in a clear, concise and linguistically correct manner?
- Does the article appropriately reflect the issues discussed?

ABSTRACT

- Does the abstract accurately reflect the contents of the article?
- Does the abstract contain appropriate key words?

AIMS OF THE WORK

- Is the purpose stated clearly and unambiguously?

MATERIAL AND METHODS

- Is the methodology explained clearly and appropriately?
- Are the characteristics of the study group presented appropriately?
- Is the statistical analysis appropriate?

RESULTS

- Are the results consistent with the description of material and methods?
- Are the obtained results a source of new information?
- Are the results presented clearly?

DISCUSSION

- Is the discussion well-structured?
- Is the discussion relevant to the results?
- Are the conclusions appropriate and logical?

LITERATURE

- Is the literature up-to-date?
- Is the literature appropriate and relevant?

GRAPHIC PRESENTATION OF RESULTS

- Do the figures reflect the results of the study?
- Are the figures easily understandable and necessary?
- Do the tables reflect the results of the study?
- Are the tables easily understandable and necessary?

REVIEW ARTICLE

- Is the article a comprehensive state-of-the art review?

CASE REPORT

- Does the article contain new diagnostic or therapeutic elements?

Other comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Reviewer recommendation (please tick as appropriate):

- Publish, no alterations needed.
- Publish after minor changes, no need to resubmit to the reviewer.
- Publish after making changes as specified in this review, with the need to resubmit to the reviewer.
- Reject – please, justify briefly:

.....

.....

.....

.....