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The effect of intrauterine hypotrophy on the cardiovascular system of neonates
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ABSTRACT

Intrauterine hypotrophy is an important, dangerous, and increasingly common complication of pregnancy. It is also the most common factor identified in cases of stillbirth. Intrauterine growth restriction plays a significant role in short- and long-term outcome and is associated with brain damage and neurodevelopmental impairment. Perinatal asphyxia is observed in 50% of the population of growth-restricted infants, who are also more prone to early complications such as intraventricular haemorrhage, necrotising enterocolitis, persistent pulmonary hypertension, hypoglycaemia, or hypothermia. There are also long-term consequences of intrauterine growth retardation, projecting into adult life, such as increased risk of cardiovascular disease, endocrine disorders, renal dysfunction, or metabolic syndrome. These observations are consistent with Barker’s hypothesis, now referred to as the “developmental origins of health and disease”. This article is a review of the literature regarding early and long-term complications as well as cardiovascular risk in this group of patients.
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BARKER’S HYPOTHESIS

In 1990, Professor David J. P. Barker published a controversial (in those times) hypothesis of the foetal genesis of adulthood diseases [1]. He found the link between foetal growth and risk of cardiovascular disease. According to its assumptions, the shortage of nutrients and oxygen leads to permanent changes in the body of the foetus, having unfavourable consequences in adulthood. The exact molecular mechanisms are still unknown, but there are many correlations between unfavourable intrauterine conditions and changes in gene expression, signalling and metabolic pathways, abnormal mitochondrial function, and even epigenetic changes transmitted to subsequent generations, especially when occurring during critical periods of foetal development [2].

The structure and function of many important organs are changed, also due to the limitation of cell division as a response to an adverse intrauterine environment.

In addition to the direct impact of malnutrition on the slowdown of cell division, a significant role is also played by a reduced concentration of hormones affecting foetal growth, and therefore primarily insulin and growth hormone.

These modifications permanently adapt the newborn’s organism to life in conditions of nutritional deficiencies; however, in the situation of proper supply of nutrients, they may lead to obesity, insulin resistance, type 2 dia-
The terms small for gestational age (SGA) and IUGR may overlap; however, they are not identical. A low birth weight may or may not be the result of inhibition of growth; in a similar way, a newborn with an intrauterine growth restriction may be born with a body weight above the 10th percentile [7]. Appropriate diagnosis of the pathomechanism of foetal growth restriction is extremely important due to the determination of the risk of both early and late complications. The classification of intrauterine hypotrophy and literature evaluation is difficult due to the alternate use of SGA and IUGR concepts by many authors, and different inclusion criteria are also adopted. According to the WHO definition, foetal growth restriction is found when the birth weight is below the third percentile, while the ACOG assumes the limit of the 10th percentile. The criterion of two standard deviations in relation to the average body weight is also accepted.

In prenatal ultrasound diagnostics, it is extremely important to detect abnormal growth of the foetus, and secondly distinguish healthy foetuses – constitutionally small from those exposed to adverse factors that led to growth restriction. In assessing the risk of IUGR complications, it is also important to assess the haemodynamic changes with the occurrence of redistribution and in more severe cases of circulatory centralisation as well as the distinction between early- and late-onset growth restriction. The boundary between early- and late-onset IUGR is the 32nd week of pregnancy, as determined by a large cohort study [8]. The occurrence of IUGR below 32 weeks of gestation is most often associated with serious abnormalities of the placental function, thus increasing haemodynamic disturbances are observed, with increased placental resistance and foetal circulation redistribution, which also often contributes to the need for premature delivery. In this phenotype, IUGR is more often associated with preeclampsia and then in late-onset IUGR (35.1% vs. 12.1%, \( p < 0.001 \)).

In contrast to early-onset, the late-onset IUGR is associated with mild placental dysfunction, less severe haemodynamic abnormalities, and is rarely associated with pregnancy-induced hypertension. Late-onset IUGR is also associated with an increased risk of both early and long-term complications, although less pronounced. The mortality rate is also significantly lower compared to foetuses diagnosed with foetal growth restriction before 32 weeks of gestation [9]. Early onset of IUGR, complicated by prematurity, is a high-risk factor for early complications – such as necrotising enterocolitis (NEC), intraventricular haemorrhages (IVH), or retinopathy of prematurity (ROP), mainly as a result of circulatory redistribution favouring vital organs – heart and brain. The study showed a significantly higher risk of IVH, ROP, and NEC in prematurely born infants with intrauterine growth restriction compared to premature babies born with age-adequate body weight [10].

This risk is greater in the case of a known pathological energetic triangle. This group includes newborns exposed to hypoglycaemia, hypoxia, and hypothermia in the first hours of life. In a study of 159 newborns divided into three groups: early preterm, late-preterm, and term, surprisingly, the most exposed to pathological energetic triangle was a group of late-preterm newborns [11].

IUGR foetuses are also more exposed to the occurrence of neurodevelopmental disorders, which can also affect small for gestational age newborns with normal umbilical artery Doppler [12].

Long-term consequences of intrauterine hypotrophy, which have an impact on adult life, increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases, endocrine disorders, kidney dysfunction, or metabolic syndrome. These observations are in line with Barker’s hypothesis about the developmental genesis of adults’ diseases, which are currently a major problem of our civilisation [13–15].

In 1984, an animal model study published by Jones et al. revealed significant differences between small vs. appropriate for gestational age in the function of the
and the need to protect vital organs, and thus the brain, heart, and adrenal glands, which explains the increased flow through the superior vena cava in growth-restricted foetuses [33]. Similar conclusions were presented by Fouzas et al. in 2014. The authors, on the basis of advanced echocardiographic methods, showed in the IUGR newborns left ventricular dysfunction, ventricular septum hypertrophy. End-diastolic left ventricular volume was significantly higher in comparison with eutrophic newborns [34].

In 2013, Tsyvian et al. and later Sehgal et al. published the results of studies showing statistically more frequent occurrence of impaired heart relaxation in neonates small for gestational age, most likely as a result of chronic intrauterine hypoxia.

Studies have also shown that in intrauterine growth restricted newborns there is an adverse remodelling of the myocardium, with left ventricular hypertrophy [35, 36]. Myocardial remodelling and vascular changes were also described in a population of 80 intrauterine growth-restricted children with mean age of five years, compared to 120 healthy children. In the foetal growth-restricted (FGR) group globular cardiac shape and systolic and diastolic dysfunction were observed. Stroke volume, and systolic mitral and tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion were decreased both in mild
and severe FGR [37]. These unfavourable effects were observed in both early- and late-onset IUGR newborns [38].

Myocardial remodelling was already observed at the cellular level. On the basis of experimental studies on an animal model, in foetuses with intrauterine growth restriction, changes in the structure of myocardial cells were demonstrated, which mainly concerned the left ventricle. The number of myocardium cells of the left ventricle was smaller, but with a larger volume compared to the right ventricle, as well as in relation to the control group. The number and length of the microcirculatory vessels of the left ventricle were also decreased [39].

Interestingly, the rodent model showed the effect of intrauterine growth restriction induced by uteroplacental insufficiency on left ventricular hypertrophy and developing of hypertension, not only in the first generation, but also in the next generation of rats, which were not exposed to placental insufficiency. These studies can confirm the important role of foetal programming [40].

CONCLUSIONS

IUGR is a very serious complication of pregnancy that adversely affects all aspects of the developing organism. So far, studies have demonstrated the effect of intrauterine growth restriction on the risk of early and late complications, as well as persistent epigenetic, metabolic, and hormonal changes that originally adapt the body to life in conditions of limited access to nutrients. However, normative or increased supply of protein, carbohydrates, and fat in the first years of life can lead to the development of various types of complications, including insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome, obesity, and type 2 diabetes, although there are also theories that foetal programming itself is not enough to induce late complications. It is, however, an important basis for an increased risk of adulthood diseases if it is joined by other unfavourable factors such as an intensified process of "catch up growth", incorrect diet profile, lifestyle, and drugs. It also seems to be an extremely important impact of growth restriction on myocardial remodelling, especially because there are studies describing changes in the structure and function of the myocardium not only in the early postnatal period, but also in middle childhood and in pre-schoolers born as SGA newborns. Further research on large groups of newborns with intrauterine growth restriction is needed, with long-term and several-year follow-up with echocardiographic evaluation of left ventricular structure and function.

All these described complications increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases and in relation to such burdens, and information about the perinatal period should always be taken into account in the interview; in the case of intrauterine hypotrophy, the patient should be given special care with instructions to the patient about prophylactic behaviour, the use of appropriate diet, lifestyle, physical exertion, and periodic blood pressure control.
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