Cardiac output estimation based on arterial and venous blood gas analysis: proposal of a monitoring method
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Abstract

Hemodynamic optimization is vital in high risk surgical patients or in high risk surgical procedures. The main objective of hemodynamic management is to maintain tissue perfusion and preserve aerobic metabolism through a cardiac output coupled with the metabolic demand. The technologies used for cardiac output monitoring use special techniques (e.g. lithium dilution or transpulmonary thermodilution) or implementation of dedicated devices with considerable rates of potential complications (pulmonary artery catheter). Thus, we propose a novel method to estimate cardiac output through the analysis of arteriovenous blood gases which could be an alternative to more expensive methods (minimally invasive devices, pulmonary artery catheter). A review of several formulas described in the literature to compute the variables needed to calculate cardiac output with the Fick principle was carried out. These formulas estimate the oxygen consumption using the O₂ sensor integrated in the anesthesia workstation. The other variables in the Fick equation are derived from the arterial and venous blood gas analysis and parameters obtained from mechanical ventilators. By integrating the data gathered from the publications found, the authors created a comprehensive formula for calculation of cardiac output and the cardiac index using the parameters obtained from blood gas analysis. The presented method provides a more accessible and affordable way to monitor cardiac output in surgical high-risk patients in an environment with limited resources.
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shown limitations in providing reliable data in different contexts [3–5].

One of the areas of recent progress in monitoring is the increasing availability of non-invasive methods. There are several techniques, such as applanation tonometry, for example, the T-Line system (Tensys Medical, San Diego, CA, USA), which has shown similar accuracy in blood pressure measurement compared to the arterial catheter [6]. However, it does not correlate with the pressures obtained from an arterial catheter in critically ill patients, and the use of vasoactive agents and patient movements affect the result of measurements [6–8]. Volume clamp methods, such as the Clear-Sight system (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA) and CNAP system (CNSYSTEMS Medizintechnik, Graz, Austria) have an adequate level of compliance for the measurement of cardiac output compared to the pulmonary artery catheter and good correlation with transthoracic Doppler ultrasound [9, 10]. Even though the risk of complications with these devices is low, the capacity to measure cardiac output based on the pulse wave contour analysis has, according to a meta-analysis of 16 studies, a weighted percentage of error of 46.4% [11].

The German doctor Adolf Eugen Fick proposed a mathematical method to calculate cardiac output using oxygen consumption and arterial and mixed-venous blood oxygen content. This method, known as the Fick principle, has been a reference standard to compute cardiac output for the last century [12]. It is possible to use this resource to estimate cardiac output for the last century [12].

The pulmonary artery catheter allows the monitoring of mixed venous oxygen saturation (SvO₂), while a central venous line allows measurement of central venous oxygen saturation (ScvO₂). The first one reflects global O₂ extraction, and the second one the upper body’s extraction degree. Both variables assess the relationship between oxygen supply and consumption and tissue perfusion, thus allowing them to be assumed as an indirect reference to cardiac output. Because the use of SvO₂ and ScvO₂ has a positive influence on results of treatments of critically ill patients, it is possible to use these parameters as objectives during goal-guided therapy, ScvO₂ > 70% or a SvO₂ > 65% in both critically ill septic and non-septic patients being the fixed threshold during resuscitation [14].

Venous oxygen saturation differs between individuals and relies on O₂ extraction, which varies according to cell requirements. Inferior vena cava oxygen saturation is commonly higher than that in the superior vena cava. In the pulmonary artery (PA) there is a mixture of blood coming from both the upper and lower body, so the oxygen saturation in PA blood is an intermediate between both inferior and superior cava veins. In the right atrium, mixed blood is a partial mixture, so oxygen saturation depends on the degree of venous return and catheter tip location.

Intraoperatively, ScvO₂ can reach values up to 6% higher than SvO₂. This depends on the effect of inhaled anesthetics on blood flow and cerebral oxygen extraction [15]. ScvO₂ and SvO₂ are similar in healthy patients, the former being 2–3% lower, due to the contribution from several vascular networks to the inferior vena cava, in which oxidative phosphorylation is reduced under certain conditions (renal, portal, hepatic flow). During shock, the coefficient of variation can exceed ± 20% [14, 16].
It is explained by differential changes in blood flow and O₂ extraction (higher in brain and splanchnic circulation) [17]. Considering that in the absence of anemia and hypoxemia (which means adequate CaO₂), low values of SvO₂ and ScvO₂ correlate with a reduced cardiac output, trends in their values become helpful during resuscitation and intraoperative management.

ScvO₂ is clinically accessible and less invasive than SvO₂, gaining more relevance. Studies carried out in critically ill patients have revealed that during parallel measurements of ScvO₂ and SvO₂ they correlate in 90% of cases, ScvO₂ being 7 ± 4 (%) higher than SvO₂ [18]. These findings suggest that ScvO₂ has the potential to represent changes in the O₂ supply/consumption relationship in critically ill patients; even when absolute numeric values of both ScvO₂ and SvO₂ are not the same, the trend of ScvO₂ values during serial measurements can serve as a guiding parameter.

It is also possible to obtain blood samples to measure atrial blood gases. Perez et al. [19] assessed the agreement between O₂ atrium blood saturation (RAvO₂) and SvO₂ in pediatric critically ill patients with catecholamine-resistant septic and cardiogenic shock. They found minimal differences (1–5% changes in SvO₂ represent changes in RAvO₂ in 79% of cases) with a concordance correlation coefficient of 0.90. Given that it is a venous mixture at the right atrium, global oxygen extraction could be better represented at this level than the one represented in the venous mixture sampled from the superior cava vein.

Given the abovementioned limitations and knowing the physiological differences between each type of venous blood and giving more relevance to trends rather than to absolute values, it is possible to skip the insertion of a pulmonary artery catheter and replace SvO₂ with RAvO₂ or ScvO₂ to estimate the arteriovenous O₂ difference through Fick’s equation.

Although the estimation of O₂ consumption requires specialized measurement methods, such as indirect calorimetry, it is possible to approach it via information provided by an anesthesia workstation. Sykes suggested an equation to estimate O₂ consumption through the data from an oxygen sensor installed in an anesthesia breathing circuit when using low fresh gas flows [20]. The difference between the inspired oxygen fraction (FiO₂) and the expired oxygen fraction (ETO₂) correspond to a proportion of minute ventilation, which allows oxygen consumption to be calculated:

\[ VO₂ = (FiO₂ \times ETO₂) \times MV \]  (5)

where: VO₂ is “oxygen consumption”, FiO₂ is the “inspired oxygen fraction”, ETO₂ is “expired oxygen fraction” and MV is “minute ventilation”.

Ritchie-Mclean and Shankar [21] suggested a modification to this formula by replacing minute ventilation with alveolar ventilation as minute ventilation includes dead space ventilation. This can enhance estimation of VO₂ with low tidal volumes.

\[ VO₂ = (FiO₂ \times ETO₂) \times VA \]  (6)

where: VO₂ is in mL min⁻¹, FiO₂ is in decimals, ETO₂ is in decimals, VA is alveolar ventilation in mL min⁻¹.

The Bohr equation allows one to calculate alveolar volume using arterial CO₂ pressure (PaCO₂):

\[ \frac{VD}{VT} = \frac{(PaCO₂ - ETCO₂)}{PaCO₂} \]  (7)

\[ VD = VT \times \frac{(PaCO₂ - ETCO₂)}{PaCO₂} \]  (8)

where: VD is “dead space volume” in mL, VT is “tidal volume” in mL, PaCO₂ is in mm Hg, ETCO₂ is “end tidal CO₂“ in mm Hg.

The anesthesia workstation ventilator allows the control of tidal volume (VT). Considering that VT equals the sum of VD and VA, it is possible to estimate VA once “dead space ventilation” is known with equation 7:

\[ VT = VD + VA \]  (9)

\[ VA = VT – VD \]  (10)

Alveolar ventilation is calculated by multiplying VA by the respiratory rate (RR) set on the ventilator:

\[ VA = VE \times RR \]  (11)

where: VA is alveolar ventilation in mL min⁻¹, VE is alveolar volume in ml, RR is the respiratory rate in breaths min⁻¹.

Solving the equation by rearrangement of the variables results in equation 12:

\[ VA = RR \times \left( VT - \left( \frac{(PaCO₂ - ETCO₂)}{PaCO₂} \right) \right) \]  (12)

Thus, using a modified Sykes equation (6) and arteriovenous blood gas values it is possible to calculate cardiac output:

\[ CO = \frac{(VO₂)}{(CaO₂ - CvO₂)} \]  (2)

↓ replacing numerator by equation 6.

\[ CO = \frac{[(FiO₂ \times ETO₂) \times VA]}{(CaO₂ - CvO₂)} \]  (13)

where,

\[ VA = VE \times \left( VT - \left( \frac{(PaCO₂ - ETCO₂)}{PaCO₂} \right) \right) \]  (12)
Cardiac index is the result of the division between cardiac output and total body surface area. This value is more useful in pediatric patients.

Described method is based on monitoring devices routinely used in high risk surgical patients. Blood gas analyzers can be found in most perioperative units. Hence it would not be strictly necessary to have special equipment such as invasive monitoring devices with a pulmonary artery catheter or pulse contour analysis device, which are not generally available in all anesthesia services.

Arteriovenous gas analysis allows the clinician, in addition to cardiac output estimation, to assess perfusion parameters such as ΔCO₂, O₂ extraction rate, oxygen supply (DO₂), and acid-base analysis (∆ hydrogen ion concentration, strong ion difference, serum lactate). Gomez-Duque et al (∆ hydrogen ion concentration, strong ion difference, serum lactate). Gomez-Duque et al. [22] proposed a formula to calculate pulmonary shunt:

\[
\frac{Q_s}{Q_t} = \frac{1 - S\text{a}O_2}{1 - S\text{v}O_2} + (FIO_2 \times 0.13)
\]  

where: \(Q_s/Q_t\) is the relationship between shunt flow and total flow, \(S\text{a}O_2\) is \(O_2\) saturation in arterial blood gases, \(S\text{v}O_2\) is oxygen saturation in central or atrium venous blood, \(FIO_2\) is the inspired fraction of \(O_2\).

With central venous pressure (CVP), mean arterial pressure (MAP) and the previous calculation of cardiac output, the clinician can calculate systemic vascular resistance and the systemic vascular resistance index:

\[
SVR = \frac{\text{MAP} - \text{CVP}}{\text{CO}} 
\]

\[
SVRI = \frac{\text{MAP} - \text{CVP}}{\text{CI}}
\]

In the Bohr equation, the \(CO_2\) value corresponds to average \(CO_2\), not ETCO₂. Using ETCO₂ to calculate dead space can lead to an underestimation of average \(CO_2\) [23]. Using the Sykes equation without modifications could avoid variability since tidal volume (VT) is known and constant according to ventilatory parameters. Some anesthesia machines include volumetric \(CO_2\) monitors that all average \(CO_2\) quantification with an adequate agreement compared to metabolic analyzers [24]. In case of having access to these machines, average \(CO_2\) should replace the ETCO₂ value to provide higher accuracy in estimation.

In our opinion, the most relevant limitation of the proposed method is the impossibility to get continuous or real-time measurements, so we suggest an initial measurement before incision, followed by scheduled measurements to identify trends and determine changes in management. We propose hourly measurements in unstable or high-risk patients. The other patients could be tested every 2–3 hours or, depending on the clinical criteria, according to the decision of the anesthesiologist in charge of the patient.

In neonates and infants, several difficulties in mechanical ventilation and higher respiratory rate can lead to inaccuracy in ETCO₂ values. With reduced blood volume, frequent blood sampling can lead to anemia and hemodynamic instability. That is why we recommend this method in patients weighing over 20 kg. If used in smaller patients, we propose sampling the minimal blood volume needed for processing blood gas analysis according to the equipment specifications of each institution, and also to distance as much as possible the time of sampling.

The method is subject to indirect estimations of some physiological variables. Estimating oxygen consumption using the Sykes equation has not been validated, so its use could be the principal source of error. Another problem is the impossibility to extract mixed venous blood with a central venous catheter. If possible, the anesthesiologist should position the tip of the catheter in the right atrium in order to get a percentage of blood mixture from both cava veins, getting closer to the values in the pulmonary artery. It is possible to achieve catheter placement by coupling a pressure transducer to the distal port of the central line looking for the ventricular waveform, then retracting the catheter until the waveform changes back to atrium characteristics. Another alternative to waveform analysis in catheter tip placement is the use of fluoroscopy during catheter insertion, but it implies additional resources, time and radiation exposure to the patient and medical staff.

According to the previous discussion, we consider trends analysis more important than relying on absolute and single measurements. Interpretation always must be subject to clinical information of the case. Further evaluations should be undertaken to validate this method compared to the gold standard (pulmonary artery catheter) or equivalents such as minimally invasive cardiac output monitoring devices.
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