New pharmaceuticals in inflammatory bowel disease
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Abstract
This paper complements the previously published Guidelines of the Working Group of the Polish Society of Gastroenterology and former National Consultant in Gastroenterology regarding the management of patients with Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. Attention was focused on the new pharmaceutical recently registered for inflammatory bowel disease treatment.

Introduction
The treatment of patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), namely Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), may be associated with significant problems due to the common insufficient response to therapeutic regimens or to the loss of response in the course of long-term treatment. Despite extensive studies carried out at many centres worldwide, causal treatment of this group of diseases is still unavailable. However, advances made in recent years have allowed the introduction into clinical practice of some novel products characterised by better efficacy and more favourable safety profiles. This study complements the previously published Guidelines of the Working Group of the Polish Society of Gastroenterology and former National Consultant in Gastroenterology regarding the management of patients with Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis [1, 2].

Budesonide
Budesonide MMX at a single daily dose of 9 mg is efficient in inducing remission in patients with mild to moderate active ulcerative colitis where remission was not achieved after administration of mesalazine or sulphasalazine, or who are intolerant to 5-ASA drugs. Due to its favourable safety profile, budesonide MMX should be considered as the first-choice steroid treatment.

Budesonide MMX (Multi Matrix System) is an oral glucocorticosteroid that, due to its unique formulation, is uniformly released along the entire large intestine. This makes it appropriate to use in both left-sided colitis and pancolitis. In addition, low bioavailability of budesonide of nearly 90%, due to its first pass ef-
fict in the liver leading to the formation of inactive or nearly inactive metabolites, minimises the adverse systemic effects typical for this group of drugs [3]. Clinical studies conducted to date have demonstrated the efficacy of this budesonide formulation in inducing remission in patients with mild to moderate active ulcerative colitis, previously treated or untreated with mesalazine formulations. In the CORE I and CORE II studies [4, 5] the drug was used for a period of 8 weeks, and in the case of poor effects the treatment period was extended to 16 weeks. The endpoints of these studies consisted of clinical, colonoscopic, and histological remission that offered better chances of the maintenance of longer symptom-free periods [6]. The efficacy of two doses of budesonide MMX (9 and 6 mg/day) was compared with mesalazine (2.4 g/day), Entocort EC (9 mg/day), and placebo. A statistically significant increase in the rate of full clinical, colonoscopic, and histological remission was observed in the 9 mg/day budesonide MMX group as compared to placebo. A beneficial therapeutic effect was observed in both left-sided colitis and pancolitis. According to clinical study-confirmed indications, budesonide MMX should be used in case of failure of previous mesalazine treatment; it is also acceptable as the treatment of first choice [7]. The introduction of budesonide MMX led to a change in the treatment algorithm for mild to moderate active UC. In the case of indications for steroid therapy, it is recommended that treatment with budesonide MMX be initiated before other systemic drugs of this group [7]. Budesonide MMX is a safe drug that is free of systemic side effects typical for other glucocorticoids. Although reduction in the levels of endogenous cortisol was observed during the treatment in clinical studies, the values remained within normal limits (also in the case of treatment duration being extended to 16 weeks) [4, 5, 7]. Therefore, tapering of the drug is not required. Currently, clinical studies are ongoing regarding the use of budesonide MMX in maintenance remission in UC (treatment extended to 12 months). As demonstrated by an interim safety data analysis, long-term use of the drug does not lead to further reduction in cortisol levels [8, 9]. However, the place of budesonide MMX in therapeutic algorithms as compared to other drugs used in the treatment of UC, particularly immunosuppressive and biological agents, remains undetermined.

Previous experience gained with use of budesonide (Entocort EC) in Crohn’s disease, with comparable safety profiles of both forms of budesonide (head-to-head registration trials) [3, 4], justifies the use of both drugs as combination treatment in selected cases; however, further clinical studies are required so that more explicit recommendations may be formulated.

### Vedolizumab

**Vedolizumab is indicated in the case of the lack of efficacy or intolerance to conventional treatment and/or treatment with anti-TNF-α agents, in patients with moderate to severe UC or CD.**

Besides anti-TNF-α biological agents, another novel and promising group of medications consists of anti-adhesive compounds that inhibit the migration of leukocytes by blocking the adhesive molecules in vascular epithelium or integrin molecules at the surface of leukocytes. To date, the use of these substances was restricted due to the risk of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) in patients treated with a non-selective anti-integrin α4 antibody, natalizumab [10]. Blocking the α4 subunit inhibits the inflow of leukocytes into the gastrointestinal tract and, by means of inhibition of binding to the vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1), into all other systems, including the central nervous system.

Vedolizumab is a humanised monoclonal antibody that binds integrin α4β7 molecules present on the membranes of leukocytes (particularly T-cells), thus blocking interactions of these molecules with mucosal addressin cell adhesion molecules-1 (MadCAM-1) on epithelial cells. Integrin α4β7 is expressed on the surface of cells that migrate into blood and lymphatic vessels of the gastrointestinal tract, while MadCAM-1 is expressed mainly in intestinal epithelial cells; therefore, inhibition of leukocyte migration following administration of vedolizumab occurs in a selective manner and plays a key role in selective inhibition of inflammation within the intestine.

The drug does not bind and does not inhibit the function of integrin α4β1; it also does not inhibit interactions of integrin α4 with VCAM-1, thus eliminating the risk of adverse systemic effects, particularly PML [10]. The drug received a positive opinion of the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) and was registered in May 2014 for the induction and maintenance treatment of adult patients with moderately to severely active UC or CD.

These decisions were underpinned by the results of the GEMINI I (UC) as well as GEMINI II and GEMINI III (CD) clinical studies [11–13]. The clinical program for vedolizumab was the largest clinical program conducted to date in IBD patients, with a total population of 2700 subjects. Currently, an open-label GEMINI LTS (long-term safety) trial is underway. Included in the studies are patients with moderately to severely severe UC or CD.
active UC or CD in whom the standard treatment and/or anti-TNF-α treatment has proven inefficient or poorly tolerated. The efficacy endpoints in the GEMINI I study were the percentage of patients with clinical improvement at treatment week 6 and the percentage of patients with clinical remission being maintained after 52 weeks of maintenance therapy. Vedolizumab was shown to be statistically superior to placebo in terms of inducing clinical response (47% vs. 26%) as well as maintaining remission. A statistically significant difference was also observed in the percentage of patients with clinical remission and mucosal healing at treatment week 6 [11].

The endpoints of the GEMINI II study included: the percentage of patients with clinical improvement at treatment week 6 and the percentage of patients with clinical remission being maintained after 52 weeks of maintenance therapy. Induction treatment with vedolizumab was shown to be statistically superior to placebo in terms of clinical remission (14.5% vs. 6.8%) while the benefit in terms of clinical response was not statistically significant [12].

The GEMINI II study was supplemented by the GEMINI III study, which assessed the efficacy of vedolizumab in the induction of remission as measured at treatment weeks 6 and 10 in patients after previous failure of anti-TNF-α therapy [13]. At week 6, vedolizumab was more effective than placebo in terms of inducing clinical response (39% vs. 22%) while a clear, statistically significant superiority of the drug in terms of the achievement of clinical remission was observed as late as at treatment week 10 (27% vs. 12%) [13].

Vedolizumab is well tolerated and the rate of adverse effects observed in the studies conducted to date was similar to that in the placebo groups. Particularly important from the standpoint of treatment safety is the minimised systemic immunosuppression. No cases of PML or increased incidence of malignancies have been observed to date [14, 15].

Registration indications of vedolizumab include moderate or severe exacerbations of UC and CD in adults in the case of failure or loss of efficacy of conventional treatment and/or anti-TNF-α treatment.

Considering the availability and costs of the treatment, a panel of experts is of the opinion that vedolizumab should be currently used in Poland in patients with documented lack of efficacy or intolerance to both conventional and anti-TNF-α treatment. Further clinical studies are required for vedolizumab to be recommended as an alternative to TNF-α antagonists.

The drug is administered in intravenous infusions at a dose of 300 mg in weeks 0, 2, and 6 (induction of remission) and subsequently every 8 weeks (maintenance treatment). The efficacy of induction treatment is performed at treatment week 10; in the case of no effect, treatment discontinuation should be considered. If efficacy is lost during the maintenance treatment, one may consider shortening of the interval between successive doses to 4 weeks.

In CD patients with no distinct clinical improvement after three doses of induction treatment, another dose may be administered at week 10 and treatment efficacy may be assessed at week 14.

Golimumab

Golimumab is effective in the treatment of moderate to severe ulcerative colitis in patients resistant or intolerant to the standard treatment.

Golimumab, a humanised monoclonal antibody, is another biological anti-TNF-α agent registered in the treatment of moderately to severely active UC (after infliximab and adalimumab).

A total of 1064 patients with failure of or intolerance to previous standard treatment were included in a clinical trial to assess the induction of remission using golimumab (PURSUIT-Induction) [16]. The first part of this trial consisted of a phase II study aimed at determination of the therapeutic dose of the drug. The second part was a phase III study to assess the efficacy of golimumab administered subcutaneously once every 2 weeks at doses of 200/100 mg or 400/200 mg, as compared to placebo. Clinical response after 6 weeks of treatment was achieved in 51–55% of patients receiving golimumab as compared to 30% of patients in the placebo group. Statistically significant differences in favour of both doses of golimumab as compared to placebo were also demonstrated when assessing clinical remission, mucosal healing, and quality-of-life improvement [16]. In the maintenance phase (PURSUIT-Maintenance), clinical response at week 54 was maintained in 47% of patients treated with the dose of 50 mg and in 50% of patients treated with the dose of 100 mg administered once every 4 weeks, as compared to 31% of patients in the placebo group [17].

In clinical practice, the recommended initial dose is 200 mg, followed by 100 mg in the second week and then 50 or 100 mg depending on body weight (100 mg for individuals weighing more than 80 kg) administered subcutaneously every 4 weeks. Clinical assessment is conducted after the fourth dose of the drug to determine whether maintenance treatment should be continued in patients with good response to the induction treatment.
Adverse effects observed in golimumab treatment groups are similar to those observed in the case of other TNF-α blockers, namely severe infections, including tuberculosis and opportunistic infections [16, 17]. All recommendations valid for this group of drugs should be observed both when qualifying patients for the treatment and when continuing with the treatment.

One of the advantages of golimumab is its low immunogenicity, leading to a lower risk of systemic and local hypersensitivity reactions after administration as well as with lower anti-drug antibody production compared to other anti-TNF-α drugs. At the end of the 54-week maintenance treatment, antibodies were detected in 3% of patients, including only 1% of patients concomitantly receiving immunosuppressive therapy [17]. Golimumab treatment may prove effective in cases of intolerance to other anti-TNF-α drugs or in cases of secondary intolerance to these drugs due to the formation of antibodies.
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