Guidelines for the management of patients with Crohn’s disease. Recommendations of the Polish Society of Gastroenterology and the Polish National Consultant in Gastroenterology

Michał Łodyga¹, Piotr Eder², Magdalena Gawron-Kiszka³, Agnieszka Dobrowolska⁴, Maciej Gonciarz⁵, Marek Hartleb⁶, Maria Klopacka⁷, Ewa Malecka-Wojciesko⁸, Piotr Radwan⁹, Jarosław Reguła⁴, Edyta Zagórowicz⁸, Grażyna Rydzewska¹⁰

¹Department of Gastroenterology with the Inflammatory Bowel Disease Subdivision, Central Clinical Hospital of the Ministry of the Interior and Administration, Warsaw, Poland
²Department of Gastroenterology, Dietetics and Internal Medicine, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Heliodor Święcicki University Hospital, Poznan, Poland
³Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland
⁴Department of Gastroenterology and Internal Medicine, Military Institute of Medicine, Warsaw, Poland
⁵Department of Gastroenterology and Nutritional Disorders, Collegium Medicum in Bydgoszcz, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Poland
⁶Department of Digestive Tract Diseases, Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland
⁷Department of Gastroenterology, Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland
⁸Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Clinical Oncology, Center of Postgraduate Medical Education, Warsaw, Poland
⁹Department of Oncological Gastroenterology, Maria Skłodowska-Curie National Research Institute of Oncology, Warsaw, Poland
¹⁰Collegium Medicum, Jan Kochanowski University, Kielce, Poland

Gastroenterology Rev
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5114/pg.2021.110914

Key words: Crohn’s disease, endoscopy, thiopurines, biological medicines.

Address for correspondence: Michał Łodyga, Department of Gastroenterology with the Inflammatory Bowel Disease Subdivision, Central Clinical Hospital of the Ministry of the Interior and Administration, 137 Wołoska St, 02-507 Warsaw, Poland, tel.: +47 722 12 40, e-mail: michal.lodyga@cskmswia.gov.pl

Abstract

This paper is an update of the diagnostic and therapeutic recommendations of the National Consultant for Gastroenterology and the Polish Society of Gastroenterology from 2012. It contains 46 recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment, both pharmacological and surgical, of Crohn's disease in adults. The guidelines were developed by a group of experts appointed by the Polish Society of Gastroenterology and the National Consultant in the field of Gastroenterology. The methodology related to the GRADE methodology was used to assess the quality and strength of the available recommendations. The degree of expert support for the proposed statement, assessment of the quality of evidence and the strength of the recommendation was assessed on a 6-point Likert scale. Voting results, quality and strength ratings with comments are included with each statement.
I. Introduction
These guidelines provide an update to the 2012 diagnostic and therapeutic recommendations of the Polish National Consultant in Gastroenterology and the Polish Society of Gastroenterology for the management of adult patients with Crohn’s disease (CD), as amended [1–3]. The update was prepared by the Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) Working Group of the Polish Society of Gastroenterology (PTGE).

II. Objective
The main objective of this document is to complete the guidelines already in force to include new information, particularly regarding new medicines which have been registered for use in CD since 2012 as well as to popularize and harmonize the rules of conduct in the management of CD to provide patients with better access to diagnostics and treatment as based on current knowledge. At the same time, previous findings were reviewed in terms of substance as well as methodology according to most of the current recommendations of the Agency for Health Technology Assessment and Tariff System (AOTMIT) on the principles for the construction of guidelines.

III. Health problems addressed in the guidelines
The recommendations address in detail the following health problems as related to CD: the epidemiology of CD, including the dynamics of incidence and morbidity rate trends in recent years; current knowledge on the etiopathogenesis of CD; symptoms and clinical forms of CD; diagnostic management of patients with CD suspicion (including differential diagnosis) and diagnostic criteria; current recommendations regarding therapeutic management of CD including pharmacotherapy, surgery, nutritional and psychological support, as well as coordinated care of CD patients.

IV. Target population of patients addressed by these guidelines
These recommendations pertain to the management of adult patients (over 18 years old) with suspected or confirmed diagnosis of CD regardless of the disease form and the severity of symptoms.

V. Epidemiology, pathogenesis and general characteristics of CD
CD is a transmural, segmental inflammatory process occurring in any segment of the gastrointestinal tract from the mouth to the anus. The disease is most common in young people, with peak incidence within the age group of 16 to 30 years. Data regarding a second peak between the 5th and the 7th decade of life are somewhat ambiguous. The prevalence of the disease is comparable in male and female patients [4].

The etiology of the disease has not been fully elucidated. Chronic inflammation of the inflammatory tract is thought to result from a combination of environmental, immunological, and genetic factors. A key role is attributed to disturbed composition and proportions within the gut microbiota. The intestinal damage starts at the mucosal level. Over time, the disease encompasses the entire intestinal wall and may lead to formation of fistulas, abscesses, and strictures.

CD is characterized by a course with alternating periods of exacerbations and remission of clinical symptoms. Extraintestinal manifestations may occur in addition to gastrointestinal lesions in 20–30% of patients; most frequently, these include skin and joint lesions.

No method of causal treatment is known for CD. Current pharmacological treatment is aimed at suppressing the activity of the immune system against gastrointestinal tissue. Surgical treatment is also an important part of CD management. The diagnostic and therapeutic management of CD patients is difficult and frequently problematic even for experienced gastroenterologists. In addition to the typical clinical presentation, many patients present with non-specific symptoms and the results of additional investigations may be inconclusive. Long-term treatment, frequently requiring difficult therapeutic decisions, is required in CD patients.

V.1. Definitions
Active disease – mild, moderate, or severe forms depending on the severity of symptoms. Clinical activity may be assessed by numerous means, with the most reliable indicators being based on clinical signs and simple laboratory parameters. The most popular of these indicators is the Crohn’s Disease Activity Index (CDAI) (Table I). Active disease is defined as CDAI of above 150. CDAI score ranges of 150–219, 220–450, and above 450 correspond to mild, moderate, and severe disease, respectively [8].

The CDAI scale consists of many elements and is difficult to use in everyday practice. The Harvey-Brad-
Index (HBI) is based on clinical parameters alone, is easy to calculate, and does not require symptoms to be logged over a period of a few days while satisfactorily describing the clinical activity of CD (Table II). The HBI value below 5 is considered to correspond to clinical remission while value ranges of 5–7, 8–16, and above 16 are indicative of mild, moderate, and severe disease, respectively [9].

Clinical remission – the period during which the individual diagnosed with CD remains asymptomatic. In practice, however, it is often difficult or impossible to achieve such remission. Therefore, it is accepted that minor symptoms not affecting the patient’s quality of life (CDAI of less than 150, HBI of less than 5) may still be present in remission.

Clinical response – administered treatment leading to a reduction in the CDAI score by at least 100 points.

Exacerbation – symptoms emerging in an individual diagnosed with CD hitherto in remission. Clinical signs of exacerbation should be confirmed by laboratory, imaging and/or endoscopic examinations.

Early exacerbation – exacerbation developing within 3 months from remission being achieved.

Endoscopic remission – condition in which no endoscopic lesions are observed within the gastrointestinal tract sections previously affected by inflammation. In a manner similar to that of clinical remission, complete return to the normal endoscopic image following disease exacerbation(s) is often impossible. Thus, the presence of minor macroscopic lesions is acceptable. However, the exact types of lesions acceptable upon disease remission remain controversial.

Several scales of endoscopic CD activity assessment have been developed to standardize endoscopic evaluations. Of these, the Crohn’s Disease Endoscopic Index of Severity (CDEIS) [10] and the Simple Endoscopic Score for Crohn’s Disease (SES-CD) are used most frequently [11]. SES-CD is easier to use, repeatable, and provides sufficient information.

Limited disease – pathological lesions located within a section of the bowel not exceeding 30 cm, most frequently in the ileocecal region. The Montreal Classification (Table III) is widely used for simple description of CD phenotypes [12]. The classification system covers the three basic characteristics of the disease: the age at diagnosis, the location of inflammatory lesions, and the clinical behavior of the disease.

Steroid resistance – a clinical situation when remission cannot be achieved despite steroids being administered at full dose for 4 weeks. Steroid resistance is also referred to when only the clinical improvement is achieved without remission.

Steroid dependence – inability to reduce the dose of steroids below the dose equivalent to 10 mg prednisone or 3 mg of budesonide over a period of 3 months of treatment or exacerbation developing within 3 months from the withdrawal of steroids.

The primary nonresponse is the lack of clinical improvement after the completion of induction treatment [13, 14]. Most frequently, this definition refers to biological treatment (similarly to the secondary loss of response).
Secondary loss of response—exacerbation developing in the course of maintenance treatment in a patient in whom clinical remission had been previously achieved. This definition is often extended to include patients in whom the dose of the medicine used for maintenance treatment had to be increased in order to maintain the remission [13–15].

V.2. Methodology for drawing up the guidelines

These guidelines were drawn up by a group of experts appointed by the Polish Society of Gastroenterology and the Polish National Consultant in Gastroenterology. The group had initiated the development of the guidelines by formulating preliminary principles and a list of issues and clinical problems based on the recommendations already in force, which were then updated in line with current knowledge based on the PICO (Patients, Intervention, Comparator, Outcome) protocol. Major updates were required with regard to novel drugs being included in CD treatment algorithms.

At all stages of the drafting, recommendations were developed on the basis of source data as identified from the search of PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Embase electronic databases, as well as guidelines published on the websites of international scientific societies (European Crohn’s and Colitis Organization (ECCO), including in particular the latest guidance based on the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) methodology, the American Gastroenterological Association, the American College of Gastroenterology, and the British Society of Gastroenterology, including in particular the latest guidance based on the GRADE methodology) [16–18].

Quality and strength of available therapeutic recommendations were assessed using a GRADE-based methodology. For each recommendation, the quality of the evidence (Table IV: high, moderate, low, very low) and the strength of the recommendation (Table V: strong and weak recommendation) were determined by the experts.

After the recommendations were formulated and their strength and quality of evidence were estima-
ed, the final version of each recommendation was analyzed in detail. The degree of experts’ approval of the proposed final phrasing of the recommendation, its strength and the quality of supporting evidence was assessed using a 6-point Likert scale, with 1 corresponding to complete disapproval/lack of support, 2 corresponding to disapproval/lack of support, 3 corresponding to partial disapproval/lack of support, 4 corresponding to partial approval/support, 5 corresponding to approval/support, and 6 corresponding to complete approval/support (Table VI).

Recommendations could be revised after voting. A recommendation was considered finally approved if it received a Likert score of 4–6 from > 75% of the panels (high unanimity rate). Unanimity rates of ≤ 75% were considered low.

The next step involved assessment of the quality of the guidelines using the AGREE II tool pursuant to the AOTMIT guidelines available at www.aotm.gov.pl. All comments were included in the final version of recommendations.

V.3. Interpretation of the guidelines

Each therapeutic recommendation was provided along with the following information:
– the quality of the evidence defined as high, moderate, low, or very low;
– the strength of the recommendation defined as strong or weak in line with the GRADE methodology; and
– expert approval rating (the voting outcome).

VI. Diagnostics

1. No pathognomonic symptom or combination of symptoms has been identified for Crohn’s disease (CD). The diagnosis of CD is based on the overall clinical presentation and the results of additional endoscopic, radiological, and pathomorphological investigations.
(Evidence: low; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #1 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete dis-approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – Disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Partial dis-approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – Partial approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 – Complete approval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the moment, no clear criteria are available for the diagnosis of CD. The diagnosis should be based on macroscopic and microscopic evaluation of the gastrointestinal tract. Identification of intestinal sections affected by inflammation is possible by means of physical, endoscopic, and radiological examinations. Microscopic evaluation is performed on endoscopic biopsy specimens (being of limited value as they contain only the most superficial layers of intestinal mucosa and submucosa) as well as on postoperative transmural specimens (which are much more reliable). CD is diagnosed after confirmation of segmental, transmural, granulomatous (non-caseating) inflammation of the bowel. It is estimated that histopathological confirmation of CD can be achieved in as few as 30% of patients with the disease. Lesions limited to the large intestine are particularly difficult. In about 3% of patients initially diagnosed with ulcerative colitis, the diagnosis has to be changed to CD [19, 20]. In some cases, it is not possible to unambiguously differentiate these two nosocomial units despite repeated endoscopic and histopathological examinations. At that time, the disease is referred to as inflammatory bowel disease unclassified (IBDU). The diagnosis of CD may be very difficult due to its rich symptomatology and recurrent character. It is based on the overall clinical presentations and the results of additional investigations.

The most common symptoms of CD include diarrhea, abdominal pain and weight loss. CD should always be suspected if the above symptoms occur in a young individual, or if they present in a persistent or recurrent fashion. In addition to gastrointestinal complaints, some patients complain of extraintestinal manifestations which may precede gastrointestinal symptoms. Most frequently, these include articular lesions (manifested as peripheral and axial joint inflammation) and skin lesions (pyoderma gangrenosum, erythema nodosum). In many cases, the diagnosis is confirmed only after complications of the disease have developed (abscesses, fistulas, strictures being observed in 15% of patients at the time of diagnosis) [21].

2. Ileocolonoscopy, including evaluation of the terminal ileal segment and biopsy collection is the primary endoscopic examination to be used in the diagnostics of CD. Gastroscopy should also be performed in each patient presenting with symptoms originating from the upper gastrointestinal tract.
(Evidence: low; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #2 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete dis-approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – Disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Partial dis-approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – Partial approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 – Complete approval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Colonoscopy including evaluation of the terminal ileal segment and specimen collection is the primary endoscopic tool (with lesions within the terminal ile-
um being observed in about 40% of patients and lesions within the large intestine being observed in about 40–50% of patients). Of particular diagnostic value are the cobblestone appearance of mucosa and segmental lesions within the terminal ileum, and perianal lesions [22, 23]. In addition, endoscopic examination facilitates the assessment of disease activity. The anatomical criteria for the disease severity include deep ulcerations or extensive erosions and shallow ulcerations. Endoscopic scales, i.e. CDEIS and SES-CD [10, 11], can be helpful in the assessment of the severity of endoscopic lesions. The typical endoscopic presentation is sufficient for the diagnosis of CD and initiation of treatment after all other causes of clinical symptoms have been excluded. With regard to the specimens collected upon endoscopic examinations, histopathological confirmation is obtained in less than one third of the patients. However, CD cannot be excluded from an unremarkable outcome.

With regard to the specimens collected upon endoscopically guided biopsies, histopathological confirmation is obtained in less than one third of the patients. However, CD cannot be excluded from an unremarkable outcome of colonoscopic examination. Full colonoscopy being performed during the active phase of the disease with extensive involvement of the large intestine is associated with a high risk of intestinal perforation and is therefore contraindicated.

Gastroscopic examination should also be performed in each patient with suspected CD and presenting with symptoms within the upper gastrointestinal tract. Pathological changes within the upper part of the gastrointestinal tract are relatively rare as they are observed in up to 13% of cases; however, their potential presence should always be taken into consideration when making therapeutic decisions. Endoscopic evaluation of the upper section of the gastrointestinal tract should also include the retrobulbar part of the duodenum including the collection of biopsy specimens from that region (for the diagnosis of celiac disease) [24, 25].

In 20–30% of patients, pathological changes develop only within the small intestine which cannot be assessed by conventional endoscopy. Usually, such lesions can be visualized in an indirect manner using computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) techniques. In the case of typical clinical symptoms and typical radiological presentation of the small intestine, no endoscopic verification is required for the diagnosis. In dubious cases, capsule endoscopy or enteroscopy is advisable. Ambiguous radiological presentation despite persisting typical clinical symptoms and lack of changes within the sections evaluable by colonoscopy are the typical indications for capsule endoscopy. In patients with confirmed CD, the examination is performed only in exceptional cases. Any strictures within the gastrointestinal tract which might result in capsule trapping should be ruled out prior to capsule endoscopy (passage tests, enterography).

Enteroscopy is rarely used for diagnostic purposes due to its low availability, high costs and lack of a significant advantage in terms of sensitivity and specificity over capsule endoscopy and radiological techniques. However, it facilitates the collection of specimens for histopathological examination in addition to macroscopic evaluation. Therefore, it should be resorted to when histopathological diagnosis is thought to have a significant impact on further treatment. In addition, enteroscopy can be performed as a therapeutic procedure (e.g. for dilatation of strictures).

3. Magnetic resonance (MRI) enterography or enteroclysis is an optimal imaging technique for the assessment of inflammatory lesions, strictures, and fistulas within the small intestine. Computer-tomography (CT) examinations should be limited to the necessary minimum.

The diagnostics of small intestinal lesions is challenging as this section of the digestive tract is located outside the range of conventional endoscopic methods, and the availability of capsule endoscopy and enteroscopy is limited. Active inflammatory changes of the intestinal walls can be visualized by means of CT/MRI enterography or enteroclysis. However, these techniques are insufficient for identification of low severity lesions. In addition to enabling diagnostics of the intestines, radiological examinations facilitate the assessment of CD complications including abscesses, fistulas, or strictures. MRI is the preferred method as no risks associated with X-ray exposure are involved. The diagnostic value of both imaging techniques is comparable, although some authors emphasize that MRI is better at differentiating inflammatory and fibrotic lesions [26–29].

Ultrasound (US) examination of the abdominal cavity provides a lot of information which is often sufficient to make a diagnosis or identify complications. Ultrasound examinations are widely available, but their quality is largely determined by the skill and experience of the physician performing the examination. In cases of diagnostic ambiguities, an MRI or a CT scan should be used as reference [30–33].

4. Basic laboratory investigations include peripheral blood count, inflammation markers (CRP, fecal calprotectin), and markers of the nutritional status.
Laboratory investigations are an important element of CD diagnostics and monitoring. Determination of anti-
Saccharomyces cerevisiae (ASCA) and antineutrophil cytoplasmic (ANCA) antibodies may be helpful in diagnosis of the disease in ambiguous cases. These markers are particularly useful for differential diagnostics of individual forms of IBD [34, 35]. The ASCA+, ANCA- configuration is suggestive of CD whereas the ASCA-, ANCA+ configuration is suggestive of ulcerative colitis (UC). The presence of inflammatory changes within the gastrointestinal tract is a characteristic of the active phase of CD. Serum C-reactive protein (CRP) concentration is the most frequent inflammatory marker [36, 37]. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) is less specific but may nevertheless be useful in assessing the condition of CD patients [38]. CRP levels remain normal in disease remission and increase in disease exacerbation periods. However, CD cannot be excluded from an unremarkable CRP result. The correlation between the concentration of CRP and the activity of the disease is not satisfactory, and therefore research of other, more sensitive and specific inflammatory markers is being continued. Of special note are the fecal markers (e.g. calprotectin, lactoferrin). Calprotectin levels are well correlated with the activity of inflammatory changes within the gut, particularly if lesions are located within the large intestine; the marker may be useful for monitoring the disease course [39–42]. In addition to the concentration of inflammatory markers, the most primary investigations include the peripheral blood counts. A typical presentation consists in anemia and thrombocytopenia. The etiology of CD-associated anemia is complex (blood loss, iron absorption disorders, chronic inflammatory process, dysbiosis). In addition, chronic inflammation of gastrointestinal walls may also lead to absorption problems and, when combined with extensive catabolism, to cachexia. Therefore, the assessment of protein and albumin levels plays an important role in the assessment of patient condition and disease monitoring. Cholestatic markers (particularly alkaline phosphatase) should also be monitored due to concomitant primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) being more common in patients with IBD within the large intestine [43, 44].

Due to the increased risk of opportunistic infections associated with the disease itself, as well as to the high probability of treatment with immunosuppressive agents, screening tests for HBV, HCV, HIV, and tuberculosis infections should be performed in each patient diagnosed with CD.

Depending on clinical situation, disease activity and complications, other laboratory investigations may be required.

5. Optimal assessment of perianal lesions in CD is provided by MRI scans. Transrectal ultrasound may constitute a valuable preliminary examination. (Evidence: moderate; recommendation: strong)

In the case of perianal lesions, magnetic resonance imaging is the optimum tool to assess the fistulas and abscesses. In most cases, identification of fistulas and their complications (abscesses) as well as surgical planning is also facilitated by transrectal ultrasound. Transrectal examination under anesthesia ensures highly accurate tracing of the course of fistulas or identification of abscesses. However, the examination should be performed by an experienced physician, usually prior to surgical treatment. Fistulography may also be useful, particularly for the assessment of fistular patency before the scheduled surgical procedure; however, the quantity of information obtained is lower than that obtained in the MRI or transrectal US scans [45–54].

6. Diagnosis of CD must be preceded by differential diagnostics of other disorders with similar clinical presentation (celiac disease, yersiniosis, tuberculosis, selected bacterial and viral infections, neoplastic diseases). (Evidence: moderate; recommendation: strong)

Final diagnosis of CD requires differential diagnostic examinations being carried out to exclude other diseases with similar clinical presentation or diseases that are frequently concomitant with CD. Diagnostics for celiac disease is mandatory in each patient with CD suspicion or diagnosis. A similar clinical presentation (diarrhea, abdominal pain, cachexia, iron deficiency anemia) can lead to diagnostic mistakes. In addition, concomitance of
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The activity of CD may be expressed at several levels (clinical, biochemical, mucosal, histopathological). Clinical activity is the first level, being most evident and perceptible by the patient. Determination of biochemical parameters (CRP, blood counts, total protein, albumins) facilitates the assessment of the impact of gastrointestinal inflammation on the entire body. The location and extent of inflammatory lesions are determined by radiological and endoscopic examinations. Mucosal healing can be assessed directly by means of endoscopy or indirectly by means of determining calprotectin levels. Finally, microscopic evaluation of inflammation is possible in histopathological examinations [65, 66].

The degree of remission can be assessed in a similar manner using the same levels. Based on the available knowledge, the STRIDE II consensus determined the degrees of remission required to minimize the risk of disease recurrence while minimizing the risk of adverse reactions to long-term, intensive treatment. On this basis, the short-, medium- and long-term targets were defined for CD treatment. These are discussed in detail in the recommendations regarding the induction and maintenance treatment [67–71].

The main purpose of the treatment in patients with CD is to inhibit the progressive natural course of disease. Subsequent exacerbations lead to the accumulation of bowel injuries and consequently to complications such as abscesses, fistulas, and strictures. The number of surgical interventions required increases with the duration of the disease. Clinical remission alone is insufficient. As demonstrated, full clinical, biochemical and endoscopic remission may prevent permanent damage to the gastrointestinal tract and reduce the risk of complications in the future. The best assessment of mucosal healing is provided by endoscopic studies (both conventional and capsule endoscopy). Inflammatory markers are also an indirect source of information on the condition of the intestinal mucosa. A good correlation was demonstrated between mucosal healing and fecal calprotectin levels. In many cases, determination of this marker may provide an alternative colonoscopic examination, particularly in the monitoring of the disease course.

VII. Treatment

VII.1. General principles

At present, no causal treatment is available for CD. The treatment is based on the use of immunomodulators to achieve remission (induction treatment) and then to maintain it over a longer period (maintenance treatment). The treatment usually lasts many years, and therefore it should be carefully planned on the basis of medical knowledge and, where possible, the patient’s preferences and plans. Patients treated as partners in the treatment process are more willing to accept difficult therapeutic decisions.

The treatment of CD should be delivered on a case-by-case basis according to the clinical form, risk factors...
for severe disease, and the patient’s life situation. In general, treatment is based on a step-up strategy (gradual delivery of increasingly aggressive treatments upon the failure of first choice medications). However, if risk factors for severe course of the disease are present, particularly with regard to severe onset of the disease, aggressive treatment is frequently required already upon diagnosis (top-down or accelerated step-up treatment).

Identification of treatment goals is an important step in treatment planning. These goals are different for induction and maintenance treatments and will be discussed in detail in individual recommendations. The long-term objective of the treatment is to inhibit the progressive natural course of disease, which may lead to accumulation of intestinal injuries through repeated exacerbations or persistence of active inflammatory despite the absence of clinical symptoms (clinical remission alone), ultimately resulting in permanent damage and irreversible dysfunction of the intestine.

Proper application of the selected therapeutic strategy requires continuous monitoring of treatment efficacy and rapid modification of the treatment in the event of primary nonresponse or secondary loss of response. Early start of effective therapy increases the chances for a lasting and deep remission. The most common mistake in the management of CD is the prolonged administration of insufficiently effective treatment. This is particularly important in patients treated in outpatient settings. Easy and timely contact between the patient and the attending physician in the event of a nonresponse or recurrence of symptoms is the foundation of good organization at the facility providing care to patients with CD.

8. The course of the disease cannot be unambiguously predicted at diagnosis. However, the presence of risk factors for severe disease progression (smoking, young age at diagnosis, strictureing and fistulizing course, extensive intestinal involvement) should always be taken into account when planning treatment strategies.

(Evidence: moderate; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #8 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
<th>1 – Complete disapproval</th>
<th>2 – Disapproval</th>
<th>3 – Partial disapproval</th>
<th>4 – Partial approval</th>
<th>5 – Approval</th>
<th>6 – Complete approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. The aim of induction treatment is to achieve clinical remission and mucosal healing.

(Evidence: high; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #9 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
<th>1 – Complete disapproval</th>
<th>2 – Disapproval</th>
<th>3 – Partial disapproval</th>
<th>4 – Partial approval</th>
<th>5 – Approval</th>
<th>6 – Complete approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The objective of the induction treatment consists in achieving a prompt response followed by clinical remis- sion. Remission may be defined using any of the appropriate clinical scales; however, according to the STRIDE II consensus, resolution of abdominal pain and diarrhea (the PRO2 subscale of the CDAI classification system) is enough. Improvement in biochemical inflammatory markers (CRP) is a predictor of good response to the administered treatment. Mucosal healing takes more time and therefore a lack of mucosal remission is not indicative of failure of the induction treatment. Endoscopy is not recommended for routine evaluation of the efficacy of induction while being reserved for ambiguous cases or cases in which a change in the treatment strategy is required (e.g. surgical treatment). A reduced level of calprotectin in the stool is an indirect indicator of mucosal healing. However, one should keep in mind that the time required for fecal markers to return to normal levels is often longer than the duration of induction treatment [65–71, 76–83].

10. Corticosteroids are the agents of the first choice for induction treatment at the time of diagnosis. In moderate to severe disease, systemic corticosteroids should be administered regardless of the location of inflammatory lesions. (Evidence: high; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #10 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Corticosteroids used in the treatment of CD include systemic corticosteroids (prednisone and methylprednisolone for oral administration and hydrocortisone for intravenous administration) and budesonide (characterized by a large first passage effect involving about 85–95% being metabolized to minimize the systemic effects and result in a low risk of typical steroid therapy complications). The use of budesonide in CD is limited to mild and moderate disease with lesions located within the ileocecal region and will be discussed in detail in further recommendations.

In other cases, the first line of treatment consists in systemic corticosteroids administered orally (at the initial dose equivalent to 0.75 mg/kg bw or 40 mg prednisone) or, in selected cases, intravenously (e.g. hydrocortisone at 300–400 mg/day in divided doses). The decision regarding the administration route depends on the general condition of the patient. Due to the serious adverse effects of steroid therapy, including some irreversible effects, the treatment should be administered for the shortest possible period. Treatment with the initial dose should last no longer than 4 weeks and be followed by gradual dose reduction until complete discontinuation. It is recommended that steroid treatment be completed within 12 weeks [84–86].

11. In case of steroid resistance, steroid dependence or steroid intolerance, the following should be used: immunosuppressants

11a: (Evidence: very low; recommendation: weak) or biological therapy (anti-TNF, vedolizumab, ustekinumab), either in monotherapy or in combination with immunosuppressants.

11b: (Evidence: high; recommendation: strong) Prolonged treatment and suboptimal doses of steroids is not recommended. Surgical treatment should also be taken into consideration at each stage of the treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #11a – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #11b – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In cases of steroid resistance (no response to corticosteroids), further treatment depends on the severity of the flare. In the case of mild to moderate disease activity, immunosuppressants (thiopurine or methotrexate, whose characteristics will be discussed in Recommendation 18) should be included in the treatment. For moderate to severe disease activity, biological drugs should be used. The delayed action of thiopurines, which are the most commonly used immunosuppressive medicines, should be taken into account when making therapeutic decisions. When the general condition of the patient, for example as being due to long duration of the disease or to the presence of concomitant diseases, does not allow for several weeks’ delay until the effect of the immunosuppressant drug is observed, a biological agent should be used already in moderate disease [87–89].

In the case of steroid dependence (i.e. when corticosteroids are effective but dose reduction or withdrawal results in rapid recurrence of symptoms), the next stage of treatment should be planned with the clinical form of the disease being taken into account along with the risk factors for a severe course, concomitant dis-
Infliximab is a chimeric human/mouse antibody which blocks the migration of lymphocytes to the inflammation site. Vedolizumab was shown to be effective in inducing and maintaining CD remission. In the induction treatment, vedolizumab is given intravenously at a dose of 300 mg in a week 0 – week 2 – week 6 schedule. If no remission is achieved, an additional induction dose is given at week 10. The maintenance treatment involves intravenous infusions (300 mg of vedolizumab) being administered every 8 weeks. A subcutaneous formulation of vedolizumab is also available. The first doses of the drug are always administered intravenously, but once two IV infusions have been given, the induction treatment, vedolizumab is given intravenously at a dose of 300 mg in a week 0 – week 2 – week 6 schedule. If no remission is achieved, an additional induction dose is given at week 10. The maintenance treatment involves intravenous infusions (300 mg of vedolizumab) being administered every 8 weeks. A subcutaneous formulation of vedolizumab is also available. The first doses of the drug are always administered intravenously, but once two IV infusions have been given.
a dose of 108 mg administered subcutaneously every 2 weeks is acceptable (the switch is also possible at any moment later in the treatment). Vedolizumab is associated with a much lower risk of severe infection compared to anti-TNF medications. Also, no increased risk was observed for cancer, progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), or other neurological complications [102–104].

Ustekinumab is a monoclonal antibody which binds the p40 subunit common to interleukin 12 (IL-12) and IL-23. Ustekinumab is effective in inducing and maintaining CD remission. A single induction dose is administered intravenously. The maintenance treatment includes doses being administered subcutaneously every 8 or 12 weeks, depending on the assessment of the risk of severe course and the previous treatment. Ustekinumab has a very good safety profile. The risk of severe infection appears to be lower than that of anti-TNF drugs, with no increased risk of cancer being observed [105, 106].

All the biological drugs listed above can be used as first-line biological drugs. The choice of the agent should be made with consideration of the patient’s profile [91, 96, 97, 100].

Anti-TNF drugs, particularly infliximab, are preferred in severe exacerbations, fistular form of the disease, or extraintestinal manifestations. On the other hand, they are characterized by the highest risk of side effects.

Vedolizumab is preferred in inflammatory changes within the large intestine; due to its very good safety profile, it should be used in elderly patients and patients with concomitant diseases.

Contraindications to biological treatment should be ruled out before such a treatment is initiated. These include intraabdominal abscesses and undrained perianal abscesses, latent infections, or recently diagnosed cancer. An interval of at least 2 years following a successful oncological treatment is recommended; in cases of tumors with a higher risk of late recurrence and/or metastases (breast cancer, kidney cancer, melanoma), this interval should be extended to 5 years. In patients with a history of cancer, the use of drugs known to have reduced oncological risk (ustekinumab, vedolizumab) is recommended. Exclusion of latent infections should include tuberculosis (IGRA and chest X-ray tests), hepatitis B (HBs antigen, total anti-HBC antibodies, anti-HBs antibodies in vaccinated individuals), hepatitis C (anti-HCV antibodies), and HIV (Combi test).

13. Infliximab and adalimumab show similar efficacy in the induction and maintenance of CD remission. In the light of current knowledge, the efficacy and safety of anti-TNF biosimilars are not significantly different from the original medications.

(Biosimilars are biological agents similar to an approved biological drug, showing no significant differences in efficacy, safety and physicochemical properties, for which efficacy and safety have been found to be comparable with those of the original medicine for at least one registered indication. Biosimilars to infliximab and adalimumab are currently available on the market. Introduction of biosimilar medicines has contributed to a significant decrease in the price of biological drugs. In the light of the available studies, the replacement of the original medicine with a biosimilar drug does not entail an increased risk of loss of response or adverse reactions. However, such a decision should always be discussed with the patient and made after their consent is obtained [90, 91, 107–117].

14. In the case of primary nonresponse to a biological drug, a switch to a drug with another mechanism of action should be considered.

The lack of efficacy of the first line biological agent requires a thorough reassessment of the reported complaints as being potentially caused by factors other than CD exacerbation and exclusion of any CD complications (abscesses, strictures, fistulas). The complete lack of response as observed clinically and in additional investigations (in particular in relation to the reduced levels of biochemical inflammatory markers, e.g. CRP) is an indication that the biological drug should be switched to a drug with another mechanism of action.

If a clinical response is obtained (as confirmed by an improvement in the objective biochemical, radiological or endoscopic inflammatory markers) with no remission despite completion of the induction regimen, a dose increase (preferably based on the drug and antibody levels) or extension of the induction treatment period should be considered [118–127].
More information on the usefulness of drug and antibody level determinations can be found in Recommendation 20.

VII.3. Maintenance treatment

Maintenance treatment is intended to ensure that the state of remission as achieved by induction treatment is maintained. It is a long-term treatment which frequently lasts many years, and therefore the safety profile of the medication use is one of the basic criteria for the selection of treatment regimen. Immunosuppressants and biological agents are the main types of medications used for maintenance treatment of CD. No corticosteroids should be administered as part of the maintenance treatment.

15. The objective of maintenance treatment is to maintain the remission without the use of corticosteroids, to minimize the rates of exacerbations and to reduce the risk of complications. (Evidence: moderate; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #15 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – Disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Partial disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – Partial approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 – Complete approval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The aim of the maintenance treatment is to maintain clinical and endoscopic remission and to restore the patient’s quality of life to pre-disease levels.

Endoscopic remission is defined as a score of ≤ 2 on the SES-CD scale or < 3 points on the CDEIS scale, with no ulcerations. Endoscopy is not recommended for routine use in the monitoring of treatment. Calprotectin levels are well correlated with the presence of endoscopic lesions; thus, calprotectin is a sufficient marker for endoscopic remission. Radiological examinations may be helpful in the assessment of resolving inflammation; however, an unremarkable outcome of radiological examination is not the goal of the treatment.

Histological remission, i.e. resolution of even the microscopic features of inflammation, is not the goal of CD treatment. The strive towards an unremarkable microscopic presentation would require long, extensive treatment of most patients, most frequently involving the use of biological agents. Although histological remission was shown to entail a lower risk of subsequent exacerbations, the high likelihood of adverse reactions to intensive treatment and secondary loss of response outweigh the potential benefits of biological therapy in all patients [65, 66, 73–75, 85, 87–89].

16. Initiation of maintenance treatment should depend on the results of disease recurrence risk assessment. (Evidence: moderate; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #16 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – Disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Partial disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – Partial approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 – Complete approval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In patients with mild disease, particularly within ileocecal locations, short history and no severe course risk factors, maintenance treatment may be skipped if early remission is achieved. In such patients, clinical signs, calprotectin levels, and radiological presentation should be monitored.

Further exacerbation is an indication for the inclusion of maintenance therapy and reinduction of remission using corticosteroids [73, 74, 85, 128].

17. Corticosteroids (either budesonide or systemic corticosteroids) should not be used in maintenance therapy.

17a: (Evidence: moderate; recommendation: strong) No good evidence is available regarding the usefulness of mesalazine in CD treatment.

17b: (Evidence: moderate; recommendation: weak)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #17a – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – Disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Partial disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – Partial approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 – Complete approval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Corticosteroids are essential for the induction of CD remission. However, no efficacy has been demonstrated for corticosteroids, either systemic corticosteroids or budesonide, in maintenance therapy [128–134]. Due to the numerous and serious side effects, the use of corticosteroids should be limited to the absolute minimum. Any steroid treatment lasting longer than 3 months should result in the existing treatment plan being subjected to verification and other therapeutic options such as inclusion of immunosuppressants or biological treatments as well as potential surgery should be considered.

Mesalazine is commonly used in the treatment of mild to moderate CD. In many countries, including
In addition to the potential anti-inflammatory effect, the use of mesalazine may be justified by its chemopreventive effect. For this reason, mesalazine should be considered in patients with CD within the large intestine.

18. If clinical remission has been achieved with corticosteroids, immunosuppressive agents such as thiopurine or methotrexate are recommended for maintenance treatment.

(Evidence: moderate; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #18 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – Disappoval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Partial approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – Partial approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 – Complete approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Immunosuppressants are the main agents for use in the maintenance treatment if remission has been achieved using corticosteroids. Biological agents should be considered in the presence of risk factors for severe course of the disease.

The first line of maintenance treatment consists of thiopurines (azathioprine at a dose of 2–2.5 mg/kg bw or 6-mercaptopurine at a dose of 1–1.5 mg/kg bw). The efficacy and safety profiles of both medicines are comparable [85, 150–157].

The metabolism of thiopurines depends on the activity of several enzymes, including thiopurine methyltransferase (TPMT). Measuring TPMT activity before the treatment is helpful in selecting patients with a higher risk of side effects (low TPMT activity).

The most common side effects of thiopurines include bone marrow suppression (usually reversible, dose-dependent, manifested by leukopenia as the first sign), liver and/or kidney damage, and acute pancreatitis. The risk of infection, including opportunistic infections, and tumors (cervical cancer, non-melanoma skin cancer, and lymphoid neoplasms) is also higher. One of these neoplasms is the lymphoma in the course of first Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infection in young males. For this reason, immunosuppressants other than thiopurines (methotrexate, biological agents) are recommended in EBV-seronegative males below the age of 30 years. All patients treated with thiopurines should be followed up by a dermatologist; female patients should also participate in cervical cancer screening programs. Treatment with thiopurines requires continuous monitoring of peripheral blood count, aminotransferase activity, and kidney function (every 2 weeks during the first 2 months of treatment and at least every 3 months thereafter). Mild leukopenia (white blood cell counts above 3500/μl) requires no drug dose adjustment. If the number of leukocytes is reduced and maintained below 3.5 thousand, the dose of thiopurine must be reduced; in the event of severe leukopenia (less than 2.5 thousand with lymphocytopenia of < 1 thousand), the drug should be discontinued. The increase in aminotransferase activity exceeding three times the upper limit of normal requires monitoring and potentially dose adjustment, whereas a 5-fold increase above the upper limit of normal requires withdrawal of the drug. The efficacy of thiopurine treatment can be evaluated after at least 6 weeks of stable dosing. The optimum therapeutic effect is achieved after 12 weeks of treatment. Therefore, thiopurines should not be used in monotherapy to induce CD remission.

Thiopurine treatment can be monitored by determining the erythrocytic levels of 6-thioguanine (6TG) and 6-methylmercaptopurine (6MMP). Determinations should be made no earlier than 3 months after the initiation of treatment. Determination of the levels of 6TG, which is the active metabolite of thiopurine, is particularly useful in cases of nonresponse or adverse effects (the target level of 6TG is 230–400 pmol/8 × 10^8 erythrocytes). The absence of the therapeutic effect at reduced 6-thioguanine levels suggests that the dose of the drug should be increased, whereas the absence of the therapeutic effect at high 6-thioguanine levels indicates the lack of efficacy – further dose increases would not produce therapeutic effects but increase the risk of side effects. However, only a few studies are available on the usefulness of treatment monitoring by means of 6TG level determination.

On the other hand, increased 6MMP levels are associated with a higher risk of side effects, particularly hepatotoxicity (limit concentration of 5000 pmol/8 × 10^8 erythrocytes).

Monitoring the effects of thiopurine treatment using 6TG and 6MMP does not abrogate the need to perform
biochemical follow-up checks according to the aforementioned regimen.

In some patients, methylation of thiopurines is the predominant metabolic pathway. This group is characterized by low levels of 6TG and high levels of 6MMP. This leads to low efficacy of thiopurine treatment and high risk of side effects. It is acceptable to include allopurinol (100 mg/day) and reduce the dose of thiopurine to 25% of the initial dose under the control of laboratory investigations.

In cases of thiopurine intolerance, methotrexate at 25 mg subcutaneously once a week for 12 weeks, followed by 15 mg subcutaneously or in some cases orally, is an alternative immunosuppressive agent. Methotrexate was shown to be effective in the induction of remission and maintenance treatment of CD [88, 158, 159]. The efficacy of thiopurines and methotrexate is comparable. However, if thiopurines are not effective, biological medicines should be used instead as the chance for remission following the use of methotrexate is low and the effective therapy would thus be delayed. The indication for the use of methotrexate is intolerance or contraindications to the use of thiopurines.

Peripheral blood count and aminotransferase activity should be monitored in the course of methotrexate treatment (an increase above the double upper limit of normal is an indication for the treatment being discontinued until the levels normalize). Supplementation with folic acid (1 mg daily or 5 mg once a week 1–2 days after the dose of methotrexate) [160, 161] is indicated during methotrexate therapy. Methotrexate is teratogenic and should not be used in patients (both male and female) who plan on having children. The use of contraception is recommended during the treatment and for up to 6 months after the treatment. Methotrexate treatment does not involve an increased risk of cancer.

19. If clinical remission has been obtained using biological agents, the same agents should be used in maintenance therapy.

(Evidence: high; recommendation: strong)

Effective maintenance treatment should ensure long-term remission. Evaluation of the efficacy of treatment on the basis of clinical signs alone is insufficient in the light of current knowledge. As mentioned above, the aim of maintenance treatment also consists in reducing and, ideally, completely suppressing local inflammation [81, 82, 99, 163]. Routine endoscopic evaluation of treatment efficacy is not recommended (except for endoscopic evaluation following resectional surgery).

Despite its limitations, determination of fecal calprotectin levels is a good method for indirect assessment of mucosal healing [164, 165]. Observing the trend rather than absolute values of single measurements is particularly useful in treatment monitoring. An increase in calprotectin levels may precede the onset of clinical symptoms in a patient who remains in remission [77, 166]. If clinical signs are observed, determination of calprotectin levels can be helpful in differentiating disease exacerbation from other causes of symptoms [38, 40, 167]. Therefore, periodic determination of calprotectin levels in patients in remission in the course of maintenance treatment as well as upon the onset of exacerbation symptoms may contribute to increased efficacy of treatment. The frequency of calprotectin determinations in asymptomatic patients should reflect the history of the disease; for example, patients in stable remission with no risk factors for severe course of the disease should have their calprotectin levels checked every 6–12 months.

Achievement and maintenance of therapeutic levels of biological drugs increase the chance for achievement and long-term maintenance of clinical and endoscopic remission [168–171]. The main reason for the secondary loss of response to biological drugs consists in the development of neutralizing antibodies, although non-immune mechanisms also play an important role. Determination of drug and antibody levels is helpful in...
assessing the cause and determining further actions in the event of a secondary loss of response [118–120, 172]. Low drug levels and the absence of antibodies are indications for dose increase. Low drug levels and the presence of antibodies suggest initiation or optimization of immunosuppressant treatment and/or biological drug dose increase. On the other hand, the appropriate concentration of the drug may be indicative of the need for switching to a biological agent characterized by another mechanism of action.

For infliximab, treatment may be intensified by either increasing the typical dose of 5 mg/kg bw delivered every 8 weeks to 10 mg/kg bw delivered as before, or by administering the previous dose of 5 mg/kg bw at shorter, 4-week intervals. Although the data comparing the two strategies are limited, they are suggestive of the superiority of the first strategy [122]. A dosage increase to 10 mg/kg bw administered every 4 weeks may be required in some isolated cases. In such events, the treatment should be adjusted to the monitored drug levels. In the case of adalimumab, treatment intensification is achieved by shortening the interval between doses from two weeks to one week.

A few studies have focused on the strategies for the monitoring of drug and antibody levels in all patients, including patients in remission, and for the optimization of the dosing of the biological drug on the basis of the obtained results (the proactive strategy) [173, 174]. The strategy was found to be cost-effective yet failed to increase the percentage of patients remaining in remission while reducing the exacerbation rates. Currently, this strategy is recommended in selected patients.

21. Other etiology than disease exacerbation should be taken into account in the case of symptoms recurring during maintenance therapy. (Evidence: low; recommendation: strong)

The recurrence of gastrointestinal symptoms during the course of the maintenance treatment may be related to progression of the underlying disease, its complications, as well as to causes other than CD (e.g. infections, including opportunistic microbial infections, functional disorders). Therefore, in the event of recurrence of clinical symptoms, other causes of exacerbation should always be excluded by means of laboratory, imaging and microbial tests before the existing treatment is intensified or changed. Determination of fecal calprotectin levels is particularly useful among all inflammatory markers. In cases of doubt, endoscopic verification is required [17, 56–59, 61–64].

22. In the case of secondary loss of response to the maintenance treatment, the first consideration should be to intensify the current treatment, or possibly switch to a drug with a different mechanism of action. Re-induction therapy should be based on general principles. (Evidence: high; recommendation: strong)

The secondary loss of response consists in exacerbation developing in the course of hitherto effective maintenance treatment. The secondary loss of response may be diagnosed only after causes other than exacerbation are excluded for the recurring clinical signs. Loss of response may be due to disease progression or to other mechanisms affecting drug concentration or efficacy. These include, for example, the development of neutralizing antibodies against the biological agent or non-immunological causes of increased elimination of the drug (both immunosuppressive and biological).

Secondary loss of response constitutes a failure of the treatment administered so far and requires optimization of the dose of the hitherto administered drug or switching to another drug [84–97, 100].

Determination of thiopurine metabolites – 6-thioguanine and 6-methylmercaptopurine – is helpful in cases of immunosuppressants being used in the treatment. The principles for determination of these metabolites and optimization of thiopurine doses have been discussed above. Administration of corticosteroids may be advisable until the desired effect of the optimized dose is achieved.

For biological medicines, the optimal decision can be made following determination of the drug trough level (drug concentration just before the next dose) and level of drug neutralizing antibodies. The efficacy of this approach is best documented for anti-TNF medicines and is outlined in detail in recommendation 20. In treatment with vedolizumab and ustekinumab, the decision to intensify treatment is based on clinical criteria and involves shortening the dosing interval (from 8 to 4 weeks for vedolizumab and from 12 to 8 weeks for ustekinumab).
Switching a biological agent to another drug is tantamount to re-initiation of induction treatment, which should be conducted in accordance with the principles set out in the induction treatment section.

23. At the moment, it is not possible to clearly define the duration of treatment with biological agents. Long-term treatment should be considered in cases with severe symptoms, complications, and/or inefficacy or intolerance to immunosuppressive agents. (Evidence: low; recommendation: weak)

| Recommendation #23 – Approval rating (Likert scale) |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1 – Complete disapproval | 2 – Disapproval | 3 – Partial disapproval | 4 – Partial disapproval | 5 – Approval | 6 – Complete approval |
| 22% | 78% |

Based on the available studies, it is not possible to clearly determine the optimum duration of maintenance treatment with both immunosuppressive and biological agents. The risk of disease recurrence is greater in patients with severe forms of disease, complications, and intensified extraintestinal manifestations in whom second and subsequent lines of treatment, intensification of maintenance treatment, or surgical treatment were required to achieve remission [175–186]. In such cases, it is recommended that the maintenance treatment be administered long term until loss of response. The risk of disease progression and disability aggravation should also be taken into account in the event of recurrent exacerbation.

Episodic treatment, i.e. periodic treatment with a biological drug and discontinuation of the drug after the remission is achieved or after a short period of maintenance treatment despite the high risk of recurrent exacerbation, is not recommended. Such treatment is insufficient to alter the natural course of the disease and to prevent future complications while simultaneously increasing the risk of loss of response to the treatment.

In the case of long-term maintenance treatment, validity of further treatment should be assessed at least every 12 months, with the efficacy of the drug as well as the risk of adverse reactions being taken into account. Safety evaluation should include the exclusion of latent infections just as before the induction treatment, and screening for cancer (both related and non-related to the gastrointestinal tract) according to current guidelines.

After completion of the maintenance treatment, the maintenance of remission should be monitored by biochemical tests (morphology, CRP, calprotectin) repeated at least every 3 months [187]. In cases of doubt, radiological and/or endoscopic examinations may be advisable.

VIII. Differences in treatment depending on the location and phenotype of lesions

VIII.1. Ileocecal location

The ileocecal region is one of the most common locations of inflammatory lesions. As the clinical signs of this form are most closely associated with CD, it is sometimes referred to as the “classical” form. The extent of inflammatory changes is usually limited, without any other sections of the gastrointestinal tract being affected. Because of the frequent symptoms of subileus or ileus, surgical treatment plays a greater role in the management of this form of the disease.

24. Budesonide should be used to induce remission in mild to moderate disease. (Evidence: high; recommendation: strong)

| Recommendation #24 – Approval rating (Likert scale) |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1 – Complete disapproval | 2 – Disapproval | 3 – Partial disapproval | 4 – Partial disapproval | 5 – Approval | 6 – Complete approval |
| 11% | 89% |

Budesonide is the drug of choice in cases of exacerbated CD within the ileum and/or the ascending colon characterized by mild to moderate activity. The drug is characterized by strong local anti-inflammatory activity due to its high affinity to the corticosteroid receptor and its use in the form of capsules releasing the active substance in a pH-dependent manner within the ileum and the ascending colon (controlled ileal release (CIR) capsules). The drug is also characterized by a large first liver passage effect, with approximately 90% of the dose being metabolized at that stage. This results in systemic side effects of budesonide being less frequent than those of systemic corticosteroids [143, 188–190].

The efficacy of budesonide treatment at the dose of 9 mg/day for 8 weeks with respect to inducing the remission of ileocecal CD with mild to moderate activity has been confirmed in three randomized studies (379 patients). However, it should be stressed that CIR budesonide showed worse therapeutic effects in moderately severe exacerbations of CD and in cases when inflammatory lesions were also located outside the ileum or ascending colon [143, 191]. A single daily dose of 9 mg was as effective as three doses of 3 mg/day [189, 192]. CIR capsules of budesonide may be taken with or without meals [193]. Like any steroid, budesonide is used to induce the remission of CD and not to maintain it once it is achieved. The use of budesonide for more than 4–6 months is not justified [194]. The drug should be administered at an initial dose of 9 mg/day, which is
then gradually reduced for 4–8 weeks [17]. Immediate termination of treatment, without gradual dose reduction, is also acceptable.

In the light of the results of the available studies, there is no evidence of the efficacy of mesalazine in ileocecal CD, regardless of its activity [17, 18, 153, 195, 196].

Despite numerous studies on the use of ciprofloxacin, metronidazole and antimycobacterial antibiotics, no evidence is also available on the efficacy of these antibiotics in the induction or maintenance of remission in the management of CD in ileocecal locations. These are indicated for concomitant infections or septic complications according to general principles [16–18, 197, 198]. In recent years, numerous studies have been published regarding the use of rifaximin in the treatment of CD. A positive effect was observed in particular in relation to reduction of certain disease symptoms, and therefore rifaximin can be used in the management of CD as an adjuvant drug.

Surgical treatment plays a greater role in management of the ileocecal form of the disease as compared to forms with inflammatory lesions in other locations. Surgical treatment is discussed in Recommendation 29.

VIII.2. Lesions within the upper gastrointestinal tract

25. Proton pump inhibitors (PPI) are the first line treatment in cases of esophageal, gastric, or duodenal location. PPIs can be used in monotherapy in mild disease or in combination with systemic steroids, immunosuppressive and/or biological agents (especially anti-TNF) according to general principles in moderate to severe disease. (Evidence: low; recommendation: weak)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #25 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CD with lesions located within the upper gastrointestinal tract (UGIT) is challenging in both diagnostic and therapeutic terms [199–201].

Any patient diagnosed with CD and presenting with non-specific inflammatory lesions within the esophagus, stomach, or duodenum should be suspected of a primary disease manifestation. Identification of isolated inflammatory lesions (i.e. without concomitant lesions in locations more common to CD) with non-characteristic endoscopic presentation, especially in the form of multiple erosions or ulcerations, should also give rise to the suspicion of CD, particularly when involving a young person. In any case, differential diagnosis is advisable, particularly towards neoplasm, viral infections (CMV, HSV, HIV), sarcoidosis, or tuberculosis.

UGIT lesions are estimated to occur in 13.1% of patients with CD, with 6.5% of patients presenting with such lesions at the time of diagnosis. This value is probably underestimated, as esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) is not routinely performed in asymptomatic patients. Male sex, CD, being diagnosed at the age of < 16, and smoking were found to be predictors of UGIT lesions. UGIT location of lesions was not found to be related to worse course of the disease [202, 203].

VIII.2.1. Esophagus

Esophageal lesions occur in 3.3% to 6.8% of patients with CD [204]. Up to 95% of these patients present with concomitant intestinal lesions while about 33% present with concomitant mouth ulcerations [205]. Cases of isolated esophageal lesions in the course of CD have also been reported. The most common symptoms of CD in esophageal location include dysphagia (54%), odynophagia (33%), and epigastric pain (33%); other symptoms include heartburn, regurgitation, and chest pains. The most common complications include esophageal stenosis (17%), fistula and perforation.

No endoscopic esophageal lesions are typical for CD; the most common findings include scattered erosions or ulcerations with a tendency to longitudinal alignment, strictures and fistulas; less frequent findings include redness, fragility, granulation and cobblestone appearance of the esophageal mucosa or the presence of pseudopolyps [202]. Lesions within the upper part of the esophagus are the least common (4%) [199].

VIII.2.2. Stomach and duodenum

Gastroduodenal changes are observed mainly within the antrum, pylorus, and proximal duodenum; they are present in 0.5% to 4% of patients with CD, with isolated gastroduodenal disease being very rare (0.07% of all CD patients). Symptoms include epigastric pain, nausea, vomiting, malnutrition, fever and, less frequently, iron deficiency anemia or bleeding. The disease may be asymptomatic. The onset of postprandial vomiting, early fullness and body weight loss is indicative for stenosis [199]. Stenosis in the vicinity of the pylorus may present as a “ram’s horn” or “pseudo-Billroth I” sign in the radiological image. Formation of fistulas is rare and usually associated with inflammatory changes occurring within the transverse colon and the ileocecal region; fistulas originating from gastroduodenal lesions
may open into abdominal integuments and skin. *Heli-
cobacter pylori* co-infection was observed in about 25% of patients [206]. Screening and eradication of this in-
fection is recommended in patients with CD and UGIT
symptoms [17].

Despite the lack of pathognomonic endoscopic le-
sions, CD may be manifested within the stomach as
swollen rugae with bamboo joint-like appearance, scat-
ttered erosions within the peripyloric region, and
ulcerations, particularly longitudinal ulcerations in the
absence of *H. pylori* infection. Within the duodenum, CD
is manifested by the presence of longitudinal and
irregular erosions and ulcerations, notch-like appear-
ance or *Buddhist rosary-like protruding lesions*. In the
histopathological examinations of UGIT lesion speci-
mens, granulomas typical for CD are found with varying
frequency (up to 25% for esophageal lesions, from 7% to
87% in the stomach, and up to 49% in the duode-
num) [202, 207].

**VIII.2.3. Treatment**

Due to the absence of randomized studies, the
treatment of CD with upper gastrointestinal tract loca-
tion is based only on clinical experience and recom-
endations of expert groups.

Proton pump inhibitors used to alleviate symp-
toms are the first line of pharmacotherapy. In cases of
mild disease with non-severe lesions within the UGIT,
monotherapy with PPIs may be considered. Corticos-
steroids are used as second line treatment (in the case
of esophageal lesions, topical budesonide is one of the
therapeutic options) while thiopurines and infliximab
are used as the third line of treatment. In patients with
symptomatic stenosis within the UGIT, balloon dilata-
ton of stenosis should be considered prior to pharma-
cotherapy [17, 199, 208].

26. In the case of symptomatic stenosis within the es-
ophageus, pylorus, or duodenum, endoscopic dilata-
tion may be considered, with surgical treatment being advisable in the event of other therapy’s failure.

(Evidence: very low; recommendation: weak)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #26</th>
<th>Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 – Complete dis-
proval | 2 – Disap-
proval | 3 – Partial disap-
proval | 4 – Partial disap-
proval | 5 – Ap-
proval | 6 – Complete disap-
proval |
| 67% | 33% |

Stenoses may develop in the course of the CD
within the esophagus (particularly within the middle
segment), the stomach (particularly within the pylorus
and pyloric region) or the duodenum. In the case of
symptomatic stenoses, expert groups (ECCO, British
Society of Gastroenterology (BSG) they recommend
balloon dilatation being considered first (with repeat-
ed procedures being frequently required) followed by
continued pharmacotherapy (immunosuppressants, anti-TNF agents).

Short (< 4 cm) strictures of the pylorus and duo-
denum may also be successfully dilated using balloons
(with perforation risk in the range of 1–2%). However,
symptoms of stenosis tend to recur and several proce-
dure sessions are required to achieve efficacy and avoid
surgical treatment. The absence of recurrence and the
lack of need for subsequent intervention within the first
month after dilatation is the main predictor of long-
term efficacy [199, 204].

Surgical treatment of UGIT stenoses developing in
the course of CD is considered in the event of other
forms of therapy having failed. Surgical treatment was
shown to be associated with a higher risk of post-surgical
complications and longer hospitalizations as com-
pared to surgical treatments of CD in other locations
[203].

Surgery should be preceded by a thorough assess-
ment of the disease activity, including in other sections
of the gastrointestinal tract. Surgical treatment of UGIT
stenoses may include the formation of bypass anasto-
mosis, resection, or stricturoplasty, with bypass anasto-
mosis being the most frequent choice. In the study by
Moon et al., bypass anastomoses (gastrojejunostomy
or jejunojejunostomy) were performed in all patients
undergoing surgery due to gastric or duodenal stenosis.
In other studies, the preferred method of surgical treat-
ment for duodenal stenosis consisted in bypass anasto-
mosis with or without vagotomy or stricturoplasty, as
the resection procedure was shown to be more burden-
some for patients [203].

Despite the increased importance of laparoscop-
ic surgery in the treatment of CD in recent years, the
approach is rarely chosen in the treatment of disease
located within the UGIT.

**VIII.2.4. Perianal disease**

27. The first line of treatment should consist of local
management (surgical drainage of abscesses and
fistulas with antibiotic therapy). Anti-TNF agents
should be considered as the first line systemic
therapy, particularly in cases of complex fistulas.

(Evidence: moderate; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #27</th>
<th>Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 – Complete dis-
proval | 2 – Disap-
proval | 3 – Partial disap-
proval | 4 – Partial disap-
proval | 5 – Ap-
proval | 6 – Complete disap-
proval |
| 11% | 89% |
VIII.2.4.1. Nomenclature, classification, and surgical treatment

In the case of perianal lesions, particularly infected lesions, lesions with concomitant abscesses, multichannel, bifurcated, or recurrent fistulas, surgical treatment must be undertaken first [16, 17, 209–211]. Surgical treatment may consist in the incision and drainage of the abscess, seton placement, fistulotomy, fistulectomy, Hippocrates surgery, ligation of intersphincteric fistula tract, endoscopic procedures, vacuum-assisted closure, or the use of tissue glues or plugs. The optimum procedural treatment is decided upon by the surgeon depending on the type of fistula, the presence and course of bifurcations, internal and external orifices, the status of anal sphincters or the concomitant presence of abscesses. Surgical treatment is aimed at elimination of concomitant tissue inflammation.

Numerous classifications of perianal fistulas are available; although it seems easiest to divide the fistulas into simple and complex ones, definitions of these terms vary within the literature, leading to difficulties with interpretation of study results and recommendations [212]. The most common classifications include that proposed by Parks, who categorized the lesions as intersphincteric, transspincteric, suprasphincteric and extraspincteric fistulas depending on the course of the fistular lumen and fistular location relative to the anal sphincter and levator muscles, and that proposed by Milligan and Morgan, who categorized the lesions as low anal, high anal, and anorectal depending on the fistular location relative to the anorectal ring (the peripheral margin of the rectal bulb). Gruk’s classification of fistulas into low (fistular tract below the pectinate line and internal opening on or below the pectinate line) and high fistulas is also known.

In 1962, the first classification of fistulas into complex fistulas (fistulas with the primary opening above the anorectal ring or fistulas involving 3/4 of the cross-section of the internal sphincter) and simple fistulas (i.e. other fistulas) was introduced by Thompson [213]. Since then, numerous definitions of simple and complex fistulas have been proposed. For example, a single-channel fistula may be referred to as “simple” with all the remaining types of fistulas (multichannel, multotype) being referred to as “complex”.

The 2017 ECCO guidelines propose using the term “simple fistulas” when referring to superficial (subcutaneous) and intersphincteric fistulas, and the term “complex fistulas” referring to transspincteric, extraspincteric, and supraspincteric fistulas [212, 214]. A widely used and established classification of simple and complex fistulas has also been proposed by the American Gastroenterological Association, who defined simple fistulas as those that are low, without abscesses or strictures, with single internal openings, and non-anovaginal fistulas with or without concomitant rectal inflammation, and complex fistulas as high fistulas with single or multiple external openings, or with or without concomitant abscesses, strictures, or rectal inflammation [209, 215]. At this moment, the classification proposed by the American Society of Colorectal Surgeons appears to be most practical in light of its clinical implications. According to this classification, only intersphincteric or low transspincteric fistulas involving less than 30% of the sphincter are defined as “simple” fistulas. Fistulas with other locations relative to anal sphincters, as well as recurrent fistulas, fistulas associated with stool incontinence, radiotherapy, or IBD, are referred to as “complex” fistulas. Therefore, all perianal fistulas developing in CD patients are considered complex fistulas [216, 217].

VIII.2.4.2. Antibiotic therapy

Antibiotic therapy concomitant to surgical and other methods of treatment is widely accepted as it may increase the efficacy of treatment despite limited data being available in the literature. Metronidazole and/or ciprofloxacin are characterized by the best safety and efficacy profiles [218–220]. The main objective of antibiotic therapy consists in the management of perianal tissue infections. Antibiotics are used in bridging therapy when the infection has to be cured prior to initiation of immunosuppressive or biological treatment. Antibiotic monotherapy is not recommended in cases of perianal lesions [16, 17].

VIII.2.4.3. Immunosuppressive therapy

No evidence from randomized controlled trials is available with regard to the efficacy of immunosuppressants in monotherapy of CD with perianal lesions [16]. Early onset of immunosuppressive therapy (using thiopurines) has been accepted by experts in this group of patients [221, 222]. A retrospective assessment of 156 patients receiving infliximab and azathioprine for CD with perianal fistulas revealed that combined treatment, long-term use of infliximab, and the duration of fistular drainage (< 34 weeks) translated to better long-term treatment outcomes [223].

VIII.2.4.4. Biological treatment

In the treatment of perianal fistulas, treatment with anti-TNF biological agents should be considered as first line systemic therapy after the local inflammation has been controlled.

Based on the available data, it is advisable to use infliximab as the first line of treatment; in the event of intolerance or inefficacy, particularly in relation to the
drug’s immunogenicity, it should be replaced by adalimumab [224–229].

The efficacy of treatment and the timing of perianal lesion healing are increased by the biological drug being combined with ciprofloxacin [230, 231]. Evidence is available on the efficacy and safety of adalimumab in the treatment of perianal fistulas after infliximab therapy failure. This was demonstrated in the CHOICE trial (with fistula healing observed in 39% of subjects) [232].

For optimum efficacy, anti-TNF treatment may need to be adjusted on a case-by-case basis. Higher serum levels of infliximab (≥ 5 μg/ml) and adalimumab (≥ 5.9 μg/ml) have been shown to be associated with the maintenance of fistular closure during the treatment, suggesting that an increase in the dose may be considered in the course of the treatment in certain clinical situations [233–236].

At present, no strong evidence is available regarding the efficacy of vedolizumab and ustekinumab in the treatment of perianal lesions in CD patients. The beneficial effects of these drugs with respect to fistular healing are shown by the analyses of the results of GEMINI 2, CERTIFI, and UNITI trials as well as case reports pertaining to patients receiving ustekinumab due to infliximab intolerance; however, further research is required on this subject [16, 17].

At present, vedolizumab and ustekinumab appear to be a valid option for second line treatment of perianal lesions in CD following the failure of anti-TNF therapy, particularly in patients with active gastrointestinal mucosal lesions [215].

VIII.2.4.5. Stem cells

Allogeneic (donor-derived) or autologous (patient-derived) stem cells administered locally into the perifistular region following appropriate surgical preparation are the most recent and a very promising method for the treatment of perianal fistular in CD. The efficacy and safety of allogeneic stem cells in the treatment of perianal fistulas in the course of CD were demonstrated in a meta-analysis of 11 studies, including three randomized, placebo-controlled trials [237].

The largest of these trials was the ADMIRE CD trial (212 patients) [238, 239].

As part of the trial, all patients were subjected to surgical debridement and closure of the internal fistular opening; after the procedure, subjects were randomized into groups receiving stem cells or placebo in the form of injections administered into the internal opening region and the fistula channel. Patients with more than two internal fistular openings, enteroanovaginal fistulas, and stenosis or active inflammatory lesions within the rectum were excluded from the trial. After 1 year, remission was observed in 56.3% of patients receiving the study treatment as compared to 38.6% of patients in the placebo group (p = 0.010). Darvadstrocel has become the first drug containing allogeneic stem cells to be approved for use in Europe (2017).

Evidence on the efficacy of autologous stem cells in the treatment of perianal lesions in CD originates from several studies conducted in small groups of patients. In one of these studies, Lee et al. administered a preparation containing stem cells and fibrin glue into the perifistular lesion after appropriate surgical preparation (one or two administrations). After 12 months, 88.5% of the treated fistulas remained inactive. The use of autologous cells requires the cells to be collected from the patient during liposuction, which gives rise to concerns regarding additional procedure-related complications [240].

Further studies are needed on the efficacy, safety and applicability of stem cell preparations being combined with other treatments for CD, particularly in relation to the treatment of perianal lesions.

Asymptomatic perianal fistulas developing in the course of CD require no targeted treatment. In the case of symptomatic fistulas, even those referred to as “simple” (according to ECCO or AGA guidelines), treatment should include surgical preparation and pharmacotherapy, although simple fistulotomy or seton placement combined with antibiotic therapy may be sufficient in low symptomatic, non-recurrent fistulas [200, 212].

VIII.2.4.6. Team treatment

The treatment of perianal lesions in the course of CD presents a major challenge, and experts agree in their opinion that it should be conducted by a team consisting of a gastroenterologist and a surgeon [16, 17, 241].

28. Diverting stoma formation is suggested in cases of severe perianal lesions resistant to local surgical and pharmacological management.

(Evidence: low; recommendation: weak)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #28 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – Disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Partial disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – Partial approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 – Complete approval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In cases of failure of conventional and biological pharmacotherapy as well as local surgical treatment of fistulas, formation of a temporary diverting stoma may be considered in patients with severe perianal CD. This approach is aimed at reducing the quantity...
of stool leaking through the fistular channels to reduce their contamination and mechanical irritation and thus facilitate the healing of perianal lesions [17, 200, 211, 212].

The approach is unanimously approved in experts’ recommendations despite the low quality of evidence due to the lack of randomized controlled trials. A meta-analysis of the available literature covering a total of 16 studies (556 patients) was published in 2015. Indications for temporal stoma formations included perianal fistulas and abscesses as well as rectal inflammation. Temporary ileostomy was formed in most studies, with temporary colostomy being less frequent. A clinical response was achieved in a total of 63.8% of patients (within 3–6 months of surgery). No differences were observed in the percentage of clinical responses among patients subjected to surgery before the era of biological treatment (pre-1998 studies) and patients subjected to surgery after the biological drugs had been introduced into therapeutic regimens. No differences in clinical responses to surgery were also observed between patients who had not responded to biological treatment and patients who had not received this treatment. Gastrointestinal tract continuity was restored in a total of 34.5% of the operated patients (on average 1–1.5 years after stoma formation); however, the overall success rate was only 16.6% of patients in whom no recurrence or perianal lesions was observed and no repeated surgical treatment was required.

Overall, proctectomy was required in a total of 41.6% of patients due to the lack of clinical response following the stoma formation or symptom recurrence following the restoration of GI continuity [242]. Notably, temporary diverting stoma may provide an alternative to extensive resections or proctocolectomy procedures as well as facilitating the patient’s adaptation to and acceptance of the permanent stoma [211].

IX. Other recommendations

IX.1. Surgical treatment

29. Surgical treatment is recommended in cases of isolated changes within the ileocecal region presenting with recurrent subileus symptoms. Surgical treatment of ileocecal CD may also be considered in the absence of subileus symptoms. (Evidence: low; recommendation: strong)

30. Surgical treatment should be considered in cases of small intestinal lesions with abscess complications. In some cases, preliminary treatment may involve percutaneous drainage. (Evidence: low; recommendation: weak)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #29 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
<th>1 – Complete disapproval</th>
<th>2 – Disapproval</th>
<th>3 – Partial disapproval</th>
<th>4 – Partial approval</th>
<th>5 – Approval</th>
<th>6 – Complete approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intraabdominal abscesses usually develop in the course of CD as the consequence of intense transmural inflammation leading to intestinal microperforation. In many cases, peritonitis does not develop and emergency surgery potentially burdened by a high risk of complications is not required [17, 211, 212, 248]. On the other hand, antibiotic
therapy alone is usually inefficient and immunosuppressive therapy is quite risky. Data from retrospective analyses are available showing that percutaneous abscess drainage may in some cases be the most advantageous approach. A meta-analysis on this subject revealed that such a strategy provides an opportunity for resection treatment being avoided in about 30% of patients [249]. However, only well-visible, easily accessible, single-compartment collections can be qualified for ultrasound- or CT-guided drainage. The efficacy of this approach at experienced centers can be as high as 74–100% [211]. However, constant follow-up is required after drainage procedures as the risk of abscess recurrence is estimated to be about 6.5 times higher than in patients who had undergone resection of the lesioned segment of the GI tract adjacent to the abscess [250]. Thus, intra-abdominal drainage should be considered primarily an ad hoc procedure ensuring time for optimization of conservative treatment and improvement in patient’s nutritional status prior to the scheduled resection procedure. This multistage strategy may improve the long-term outcomes of surgical treatment.

In patients with intraabdominal as well as enteroreticular fistulas, surgical treatment is particularly recommended in cases of fistulas opening to the urinary tract, fistulas with concomitant disturbances in the enteral passage and/or purulent complications as well as lesions causing diarrhea and/or malnutrition [212].

31. Laparoscopic technique is particularly preferred in ileocecal CD. Surgery should be carried out at centers with appropriate experience in minimally invasive surgery.

(Evidence: moderate; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
<td>2 – Disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Partial approval</td>
<td>4 – Partial approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – Approval</td>
<td>6 – Complete approval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Laparoscopic technique has an advantage over the conventional surgical access since it entails shorter post-surgical recovery, is less invasive and burdened by lower risk of postsurgical scar hernias, and provides a better cosmetic effect [17, 211, 212]. This knowledge comes from a meta-analysis of studies including multicenter randomized trials [251]. Dasari et al. carried out a meta-analysis including two randomized studies which demonstrated that laparoscopic technique is as safe as the open technique and does not differ from the latter in the rate of subsequent resurgery [252]. The laparoscopic approach may be particularly useful in patients with short-segment lesions within the ileocecal section of the bowel; in such cases, the efficacy of the treatment was shown to the similar to that of infliximab [246]. The approach can also be used in patients with severe recurrent forms of CD; however, the risk of conversion to an open procedure is higher in such cases [253].

32. Endoscopic dilatation is preferred in cases of short ileal strictures (< 5 cm). Stricuroplasty is an alternative technique which should be taken into account in particular in patients with multiple small intestinal strictures and/or in cases when a resectional surgery should be avoided. Some patients with stenosis and an inflammatory component may benefit from the optimization of anti-inflammatory treatment.

(Evidence: low; recommendation: weak)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #32 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Partial approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – Approval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The strictures of the gastrointestinal tract developing in the course of CD are characterized by complex etiopathogenesis. As a simplification, one may say that they can develop as the result of both active inflammation and the fibrosis of the intestinal wall. Strictures may develop in any segment of the digestive tract; they are also quite common in the vicinity of anastomoses following previous resectional surgery [211, 212]. Optimization of anti-inflammatory treatment may be beneficial, particularly in cases of the inflammatory component being predominant in the etiology of gastrointestinal stenosis. Some evidence is available to suggest that effective management of selected patients with symptomatic GI stenosis may consist in anti-TNF agents, with independent predictors of therapeutic success including concomitant use of immunomodulators, high severity and short duration of symptoms, stenosis length of < 12 cm with moderate prestenotic bowel dilatation as observed MRI enterography, and absence of a fistula at the stricture site [254]. It is worth mentioning, however, about one half of the patients included in this analysis finally underwent surgery within 4 years of follow-up.

In the case of short-segment stenosis (usually defined as stenosis < 5 cm in length, particularly in the case of stenosis at anastomoses following previous resectional surgery), endoscopic dilatation may be preferred [211, 255]. Bettenworth et al. carried out a meta-analysis including a group of 1493 patients who had undergone a total of 3213 endoscopic dilatation procedures [256]. They found that the clinical efficacy of such treatment was as high as 80% and complications developed in about 3% of the patients. However, more than 2/3 of pa-
patients had to undergo subsequent dilatation procedures, while nearly one half were also subjected to surgical treatment. Another meta-analysis revealed that up to 75% of patients previously treated with endoscopic dilatation required surgical intervention within 5 years \[257\].

Surgical stricturoplasty may provide an alternative to endoscopic dilatation and resectional surgery, particularly in the case of strictures with predominance of the fibrotic component. Yamamoto et al. carried out a meta-analysis to assess the efficacy of stricturoplasty in the period preceding the widespread use of biological therapeutic agents \[258\]. According to the authors, stricture recurrence within 5 years from the treatment was observed in 30% of patients; however, most cases involved locations different than that treated by stricturoplasty. In another study with a follow-up period of about 10 years, stricturoplasty was found to be associated with a significantly lower risk of resurgery than primary resection of the strictured segment of the gastrointestinal tract \[259\].

Of note, the above data pertain mainly to treatment within the ileal segment. Data on strictures in other segments of the gastrointestinal tract, particularly the duodenum and colon, are very limited. In addition, exclusion of neoplastic transformation is required in all cases of chronic gastrointestinal tract stenoses in the natural history of CD.

33. Prior to surgical treatment, it is advisable to properly prepare the patient by improving the nutritional status, treating potential anemia, and reducing the dose of steroid therapy. Thiopurines and anti-TNF agents appear to have no adverse effect on the perioperative period.

(Evidence: low; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #33 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If possible, surgical treatment of CD should be delivered in an elective setting. This strategy facilitates the opportunity to adequately prepare the patient for the surgical treatment; preparation should include a detailed assessment of the extent and activity of the disease, the possibility of preliminary abscess drainage (if feasible), and the control of any septic condition, anemia, or malnutrition \[211, 212\].

Malnutrition, which is a very common finding in CD patients, may be responsible for a several-fold increase in the risk of peri- and postoperative complications. Assessment of the nutrition status is mandatory in all CD patients prepared for surgical treatment. Evidence is available, mainly from observational studies, that initiation of preoperative enteral and/or parenteral nutrition in patients with nutritional deficiencies increases the safety of surgical treatment \[260\].

In 2015, a meta-analysis was published which summarized the available knowledge in this respect and showed that preoperative nutritional intervention improved the outcomes of surgical treatment despite numerous limitations of studies included in the meta-analysis \[261\].

The elements of such intervention should be adapted to the clinical situation. In adults, supplementation of a balanced oral diet with ready-made pharmaceutical formulas (complementary enteral nutrition) may be preferred. Partial and complete enteral nutrition is also acceptable, if clinically necessary; parenteral nutrition may also be used if no other option is possible. According to ESPEN (European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism) recommendations, nutritional interventions of this type should last about 7 to 10 days or longer in cases of particularly severe malnutrition \[262\]. Anemia (Hb of < 13 g/dl in men and Hb of < 12 g/dl in women) also contributes to worse results of surgical treatment. The management of iron deficiency anemia in the context of scheduled surgical treatment should be provided primarily by means of intravenous (less commonly oral) iron supply \[263\].

Steroids are the group of drugs of the highest importance for the outcomes of surgical treatment as their use contributes to increased risk of infectious complications and anastomotic leaks. Two meta-analyses of retrospective and prospective studies are available showing that this risk is increased at least by a factor of two \[264, 265\]. The safe dose of steroids has not been well defined. Therefore, whenever possible, surgical treatment of CD should be delayed until maximum dose reduction or total withdrawal of steroid therapy has been reached. No convincing evidence is available to support perioperative administration of an additional “stress dose” of steroids in patients receiving these medicines and undergoing scheduled surgery \[266\]. In the case of chronic use of steroids (> 4 weeks) and discontinuation of treatment prior to surgery being impossible, treatment should be continued after the procedure treatment (usually intravenously in the perioperative period if the patient remains fasting and then orally) with continuous dose tapering – the faster, the shorter the steroid therapy preceding surgery.

There is no evidence that thiopurines increase the risk of peri- and postoperative complications. Most scientific evidence also suggests that anti-TNF medications may be safely administered to patients subjected to
surgical treatment, although the first reviews and meta-analyses were not clear in this respect [267, 268]. The most recent analysis of 18 non-randomized, controlled trials revealed no significant differences in the rates of complications and rehospitalizations in patients receiving infliximab in the perioperative period \( n = 1407 \) compared to patients not receiving anti-TNF agents \( n = 4589 \) [269]. However, while no unambiguous evidence is available to provide a rationale for such actions, the UK recommendations continue to call for surgical treatment being deferred by up to 6–8 weeks from the last dose of infliximab and up to 4 weeks from the last dose of adalimumab, if possible [17].

The data on the safety of vedolizumab and ustekinumab are very limited in this context, but it appears that none of these drugs increases the risk of perioperative complications [211].

34. Thiopurines and anti-TNF agents reduce the risk of recurrence after surgical treatment. The use of these medications should depend on the presence of risk factors for disease recurrence and the results of the endoscopic evaluation as carried out 6–12 months after the surgery. (Evidence: moderate; recommendation: weak)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #34 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recurrence of the inflammatory process following surgical resection is common in CD. Endoscopic lesions are estimated to occur in up to 40–80% of patients 6 months after surgical treatment. The risk factors for disease recurrence include smoking, presence of fistulas, active perianal lesions, and extensive involvement of the small bowel [17, 212].

The risk of symptomatic exacerbation following intestinal resection was shown to increase with the severity of endoscopic lesions detected within the anastomotic region (particularly within the neoterminal ileum) in follow-up colonoscopy, which should be performed 6–12 months after the surgery. The Rutgeerts score is the most common tool used for this purpose.

Available data suggest that certain drugs may reduce the likelihood of disease recurrence following the surgery; however, most studies discuss the risk of recurrence of endoscopic lesions rather than of clinical symptoms [270]. For example, the post-hoc analysis of the TOPPIC study revealed that 6-mercaptopurine increases the likelihood of complete mucosal remission (Rutgeerts score of 0) in smoking patients [271].

The research on the use of anti-TNF agents led to mostly unambiguous conclusions regarding the positive impact on endoscopic recurrence rates; however, data on clinical recurrence were not so homogeneous. For example, Yoshida et al. observed a significantly higher rate of CD symptom remission in the third year of follow-up in the group of patients receiving infliximab as compared to no therapy [272]. In another RCT, no significant difference was found between the rates of clinical recurrence of CD at 76 weeks after the surgery in patients receiving infliximab and placebo [273].

In another randomized trial which compared the efficacy of adalimumab, azathioprine, and mesalazine, patients treated with anti-TNF had lower endoscopic as well as recurrence rates [274]. A systematic review carried out in 2019 revealed that thiopurines may be useful in maintenance of CD remission following surgical resection; however, the quality of evidence to support this claim is moderate [275].

Data on the practical applicability of other medications in the prevention of postoperative CD recurrence are limited (mesalazine), or investigational drugs are poorly tolerated (metronidazole) [276]. No evidence is currently available on the usefulness of ustekinumab or vedolizumab in this indication.

IX.2. Pregnancy

35. Female fertility is not reduced in CD remission periods. Both male and female fertility may be reduced in disease activity periods. History of abdominal surgery in females may result in difficulties in becoming pregnant. (Evidence: moderate; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #35 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

36. Sulfasalazine may cause a reversible reduction in the number and motility of male sperm. At the same time, no evidence is available on any adverse effects of steroids, mesalazine, thiopurines, and anti-TNF drugs on male fertility or increased risk of congenital defects in children. (Evidence: moderate; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #36 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
37. In the case of pregnancy in a woman in clinical remission of CD, previous treatment should be continued (except for methotrexate treatment). The risk of failed pregnancy due to disease exacerbation is much higher than the risk associated with the potential adverse effects of the treatment.

(Evidence: low; recommendation: weak)

There is no evidence of reduced fertility in patients with CD in remission [277]. Reduced natality, as reported in many analyses, results mainly from the decisions of patients who are afraid of pregnancy complications, the risk of fetal defects, and the risk of IBD in children. However, we have reasons to believe that active, uncontrolled disease with high systemic activity may affect fertility in both female and male patients [278]. This may be due to the direct impact of inflammatory mediators on a number of fertility-related processes (e.g. ovulation disorders, erectile dysfunction, impact on semen quality). Evidence is also available that a history of major surgery within the abdominal cavity and/or pelvis may contribute to increased risk of problems with becoming pregnant [277]. In men, surgery within the pelvis region may result in erectile and ejaculation disorders. However, it should be stressed that data in this respect are very limited. With regard to pharmaceutical agents, only sulfasalazine was shown to have a reversible, negative impact on the quality of male sperm. Methotrexate is absolutely contraindicated during the periconceptual period, pregnancy, and lactation [279]. The drug had been shown to increase the risk of miscarriage and a number of congenital defects (particularly in cases of fetal exposure within the first trimester). It is therefore recommended to discontinue methotrexate (in women as well as in men) about 6 months before the planned conception. Other medications have no negative impact on fertility.

CD remission is crucial for the maintenance of reproductive potential as well as for the safe course of pregnancy and childbirth. Potential risks associated with the treatment are significantly lower than those resulting from uncontrolled CD. Therefore, previous treatment effective in achieving remission should be continued in case of pregnancy (with the exception of methotrexate treatment) [280]. Numerous registries (e.g. PIANO Registry) and observational studies reveal no increased rates of obstetric failures or congenital malformations in children born to mothers treated with aminosalicylates, thiopurines, or anti-TNF agents [277, 281]. The existing data on vedolizumab and ustekinumab, although very limited, also do not contain any alarming signals in this regard.

Anti-TNF agents are known to cross the placental barrier, particularly within the third trimester of gestation. These antibodies were detectable in children born to mothers receiving biological IBD treatment during pregnancy up to the age of 6 months. Therefore, if possible (i.e. in cases of lasting remission of the disease), discontinuation of treatment at gestational weeks 24–26 should be considered to limit the fetal exposure to the drug. Live vaccinations should be postponed in children of mothers suffering from IBD and treated with anti-TNF while pregnant (usually, it is suggested that they be given after the child has reached 6–9 months old) [277, 281].

CD treatment can also be continued during lactation. Aminosalicylates, thiopurines, steroids and anti-TNF agents are considered safe in this respect. Data on the use of vedolizumab and ustekinumab are scarce, but no adverse findings have been reported to date [282].

38. Systemic steroids are the treatment of choice if CD is diagnosed or exacerbated in pregnancy.

(Evidence: low; recommendation: weak)

The principles for the management of CD exacerbations in pregnancy are similar to the general standard of care. However, the assessment of disease activity should be based mainly on non-invasive parameters. Gastroscopy, sigmoidoscopy, or even endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography is not contraindicated if absolutely necessary; however, every effort should be made to minimize maternal and fetal exposure to ionizing radiation in the latter case. With regard to imaging studies, ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging are the modalities of choice [277, 281].

Systemic steroids are the treatment of choice in cases of disease exacerbation. According to some reports, the drugs may increase the risk of cleft palate in the fetus when administered during the first trimester of pregnancy; however, the supporting evidence is of very poor quality [283]. No confirmation of this finding was provided in one of the largest analyses on the subject, encompassing a total of more than 1,000 pregnancies [284]. Nonetheless, it is suggested...
that short-acting steroids, such as prednisone, prednisolone, or methylprednisolone, are used in the treatment. No adverse data are also available on the safety of oral budesonide in CD. Anti-TNF agents [277, 281] should be used in the treatment of CD exacerbations if steroids are ineffective or not tolerated. Data on other biological drugs are limited. If antibiotic therapy is necessary, metronidazole and ciprofloxacin should be avoided, especially in the first trimester of pregnancy and during lactation. Indications for surgical treatment in pregnant women with CD are not different from those in non-pregnant women.

39. In CD with perianal lesions, cesarean section is the recommended method of delivery. No contraindications to vaginal delivery exist in other cases (including patients with ileo- or colostomy).

(Evidence: low; recommendation: weak)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #39 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The decision on the delivery route depends primarily on obstetric indications. Despite the significant percentage of cesarean sections being performed in females with CD, literature data suggest that this approach is substantiated only in cases of perianal lesions [277]. Retrospective analyses demonstrated that vaginal delivery in women with the perianal form of CD is associated with a risk of damage to the crotch and vaginal tissues [285]. In the remaining cases, no unambiguous evidence was provided to suggest any increase in the risk of de novo perianal lesions or impaired sphincter function in cases of vaginal birth. Nonetheless, it is suggested that the frequency of episiotomy be kept to a minimum while, at the same time, the decision regarding vaginal delivery be determined mainly by obstetric considerations [277].

IX.3. Osteoporosis and osteopenia

40. Patients with osteopenia and patients treated with systemic steroids should receive calcium and vitamin D supplementation. Bisphosphonates are suggested if osteoporosis is diagnosed.

(Evidence: moderate; recommendation: weak)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #40 – Approval rating (Likert scale):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Osteopenia and osteoporosis are among the most common extraintestinal complications of CD [286]. Their development is promoted by the inflammatory activity and the deficiencies of vitamin D, calcium, and other micro- and macronutrients, malnutrition and lack of physical activity. Osteopenia and osteoporosis may be the result of treatment, particularly steroid therapy. These diseases should therefore be actively screened for in any person with an active form of CD, in individuals with a long medical history, patients with additional risk factors for reduced bone mineral density, and patients undergoing steroid therapy (especially if the treatment lasts > 3 months). Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) of the femoral neck and/or lumbar spine is the method of choice [287]. In individuals subjected to long-term exposure to steroids and in patients with osteopenia, calcium preparations should be given at the dose of 500–1000 mg/day and vitamin D should be given at the dose of 1000–2000 IU/day (with higher doses of vitamin D being recommended in some guidelines) [287–290]. Physical activity should be promoted in all patients. Smoking is contraindicated. Of key importance, however, is the optimized treatment of the primary disease (particularly in young patients). In the event of pathological fractures in individuals with osteoporosis, bisphosphonate treatment should be initiated [286]. Bisphosphonates are not recommended in prevention of fractures in individuals with reduced bone mineral density by ECCO experts. However, one should keep in mind that studies on the subject are still under way. The risk of osteoporosis-related complications in patients with reduced bone mineral density should therefore be assessed on a case-by-case basis, with appropriate treatment being chosen accordingly.

IX.4. Nutritional treatment

41. Adequate nutritional status improves CD treatment results. Adequate nutritional treatment (both enteral and parenteral) should be an integral part of CD treatment. Consultation of a clinical dietitian with appropriate experience in providing care to CD patients should also be considered.

(Evidence: high; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #41 – Approval rating (Likert scale):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Malnutrition is a frequent complication of CD. Among various factors, it can be due to increased catabolism in patients with active, uncontrolled disease,
nutritional deficiencies, and the applied treatment [17, 291]. Therefore, nutritional status should be assessed in each patient separately using commonly available scales. Adequate nutrition improves long-term prognosis of CD treatment. It also promotes optimization of pharmacotherapy and affects the efficacy and safety of surgical treatment [292]. Complete enteral nutrition is the modality of choice in the management of CD exacerbations in children and adolescents; however, no similar evidence is available with regard to the adult population [17]. Nonetheless, according to the 2019 Guidelines of the British Society of Gastroenterology, such treatment may also be considered in the management of mild to moderate exacerbations of CD in selected motivated patients not consenting to take up pharmacotherapy. On the other hand, nutritional treatment (preferably enteral treatment and, in the absence of other possibilities, parenteral treatment) should be taken into account as part of supportive therapy in each adult patient on a case-by-case basis [292]. At present, no high quality evidence is available with regard to efficacy of any diet in the treatment of active CD. Although data on the so-called CD Exclusion Diet are available only for the pediatric population, growing interest is observed with regard to the potential use of this diet in the adult population [293]. At present, dietary treatment cannot be recommended as an alternative to conventional pharmacotherapy. However, given the importance of diet as an important environmental factor in the pathogenesis of IBD, and taking into account the high interest of patients in the importance of diet, the option to consult a professional clinical dietician having appropriate qualifications and experience is an important element in the holistic approach to the management of IBD patients [291, 294].

IX.5. Anemia

42. If anemia is observed, the type of anemia should be determined followed by implementation of the adequate treatment.

(Evidence: moderate; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #</th>
<th>Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – Disapproval</td>
<td>2 – Disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Partial disapproval</td>
<td>3 – Partial disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – Partial approval</td>
<td>4 – Partial approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – Approval</td>
<td>5 – Approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 – Complete approval</td>
<td>6 – Complete approval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemoglobin level [g/dl]</th>
<th>Body weight &lt; 70 kg</th>
<th>Body weight &gt; 70 kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥ 10</td>
<td>1000 mg</td>
<td>1500 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–10</td>
<td>1500 mg</td>
<td>2000 mg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is estimated that anemia develops in about 1/3 of IBD patients [17]. Its etiology is usually complex, the most common factors including iron deficiency and the so-called anemia of chronic disease (anemia of inflammation). Sometimes, other contributing factors include vitamin B12 deficiency and, less frequently, folic acid deficiency. Anemia significantly affects the course of CD; in addition to typical symptoms (such as fatigue, tachycardia), it may lead to impaired tissue healing, reducing the effectiveness of conventional pharmacotherapy [17].

Hemoglobin levels should be determined in all CD patients; evaluation of the ferritin levels, and possibly transferrin saturation, is also helpful. Anemia is defined as hemoglobin levels of < 12 g/dl in women (< 11 g/dl in pregnant women) or < 13 g/dl in men. In an IBD patient with no active inflammatory processes, the iron deficiency can usually be recognized if ferritin concentration is < 30 g/l (or if transferrin saturation is < 16%); in patients with an active inflammatory process, iron deficiency is defined if ferritin concentration is lower than 100 g/l [295]. Other investigations (e.g. assessment of vitamin B12 levels) should be performed as required.

The treatment of anemia in CD consists in augmentation of anti-inflammatory therapy (in active disease) and iron supplementation [296]. For hemoglobin levels of less than 10 g/dl, intravenous compensation of iron deficiency is necessary. The most commonly used preparations include ferric derisomaltose and ferric carboxymaltose. The total iron dose to be administered can be calculated using the Ganzoni formula or in a simplified way – using the hemoglobin value and the patient’s body weight (the usual dose is 1000–2000 mg) (Table VII). In the case of mild anemia with a hemoglobin values of above 10 g/dl, oral supplementation of iron at doses not exceeding 100 mg/day is acceptable [296]. However, in the event of intolerance to oral supplementation as well as in the event of active disease, intravenous infusion of iron preparations is necessary, as it is considered to be the optimal method of treatment of anemia due to iron deficiency. A positive response to treatment is defined as hemoglobin concentration increasing by at least 2 g/dl in approximately 4 weeks [297]. In the absence of a response, treatment verification is required; erythropoietin administration with intravenous iron supply may be considered in some patients. Blood transfers are indicated only in...
patients with deep, symptomatic anemia (usually with hemoglobin levels of < 7 g/dl).

IX.6. Skin lesions

43. Systemic steroids should be used in the case of skin lesions of the type of pyoderma gangrenosum or erythema nodosum; in cases of treatment failure, anti-TNF agents should be used. The efficacy of other biologicals in this indication has not been studied in detail.

(Evidence: moderate; recommendation: weak)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #43 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most common skin lesions that may accompany CD include erythema nodosum and pyoderma gangrenosum [1]. The diagnosis of these parenteral manifestations of CD should be based mainly on clinical presentation. In ambiguous cases with atypical natural history, histopathological evaluation of skin lesion biopsy specimens should be sought.

Erythema nodosum is manifested by painful subcutaneous tissue lumps or nodules sized 1 to 5 cm, usually red/violet in color, most frequently occurring on the surfaces of the thighs. The incidence of erythema nodosum is closely correlated with the clinical activity of CD, and therefore its treatment should involve intensification of CD therapy. Systemic steroids are the treatment of choice. In the absence of therapeutic effects or in the case of recurrent lesions, immunosuppressive therapy should be implemented, with anti-TNF antibodies also being effective [286, 298].

Pyoderma gangrenosum may affect any area of skin. Most frequently, however, dermatosis is observed within the thighs as well as in the vicinity of the stoma opening in patients subjected to enterostomy formation [286]. Initially, pyoderma gangrenosum is manifested as isolated inflammatory nodules similar to abscesses or blisters. These are followed by dermal necrosis leading to painful ulceration frequently covered by necrotic scabs. Pyoderma gangrenosum may also occur in patients in clinical remission of CD. The first-line treatment of this dermal manifestation of CD consists in administration of systemic steroids. In the absence of a timely response to this treatment, infliximab or adalimumab is the drug of choice [298]. Calcineurin inhibitors (cyclosporine, tacrolimus) are an alternative therapeutic approach. Stoma closure should be considered, if possible, upon the development of skin lesions typical for pyoderma gangrenosum in the vicinity of the opening.

IX.7. Arthropathy associated with CD

44. In cases of arthropathy associated with CD, the first step should involve the intensification of primary disease treatment. Supportive treatment with salsalazine, short-term NSAIDs and topical steroids, and physiotherapy may be helpful in patients with peripheral articular involvement. In cases of axial lesions, anti-TNF agents are suggested in addition to physiotherapy. The efficacy of other biologicals in this indication has not been studied in detail.

(Evidence: moderate; recommendation: weak)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #44 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Arthropathies accompanying CD can be of peripheral and axial type [1, 286]. Peripheral arthropathy is usually associated with involvement of large joints (subtype 1). A characteristic trait of this subtype consists in the asymmetry of pathological lesions. Subtype 1 is usually acute and correlated with the activity of CD. The less common subtype 2 of peripheral arthropathy involves the small joints of the hands and is not dependent on the activity of CD. In both cases, the diagnosis is based on the clinical presentation (joint pain) and physical examination (painful swelling of joint areas). The treatment of peripheral arthropathies should involve intensification of CD therapy (steroids, immunosuppression, anti-TNF agents). Short-term use of NSAIDs, preferably those belonging the group of cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors (coxibs), is acceptable. Local steroid injections and physiotherapy are also recommended in selected cases. Salsalazine may be used particularly in peripheral arthritis of subtype 1 [1].

Axial arthropathy consists in inflammation of sacroiliac and spinal joints [1, 286]. Typical symptoms include chronic back pain decreasing after physical exercise, and morning stiffness. Recommended diagnostic procedures include an MRI scan of the osteoarticular system. Axial arthropathy in the course of CD may be treated with NSAIDs; however, minimum effective doses should be used for the shortest possible periods, with selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors being the preferred subgroup of these drugs. Physiotherapy also plays an important role in the management of axial arthropathy. No satisfactory activity is observed for medications such as thiopurines, sulfasalazine, methotrexate, or steroids. Since the use of NSAIDs should be kept to a minimum in patients with CD, an alternative with proven efficacy is provided by anti-TNF drugs. To date, no unambiguous
IX.8. Vaccination

45. Vaccination and infection history should be collected in all patients with CD. A full schedule of preventive vaccinations is recommended. (Evidence: low; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #45 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Upon the diagnosis of CD, a complete medical history should be collected including information on the history of infectious diseases and protective vaccinations. The drugs used in the treatment of CD and the disease itself may contribute to increased risk of a number of infectious diseases. Atypical course of an infectious disease and lack of response to the applied treatment may be another problem in immunosuppressed CD patients [1, 301].

Evaluation of systemic protection against individual infectious diseases prior to initiation of immunosuppressive treatment provides an opportunity for safe and effective completion of the protective vaccination schedule. Immunosuppressed patients are defined as patients receiving steroids at daily doses of more than 20 mg prednisone equivalent for > 2 weeks and patients treated with effective doses of thiopurines, methotrexate, biological agents as well as malnourished patients [302]. In these cases, live vaccines may be delivered no later than 3 weeks before initiating these treatments and no earlier than 3 months after the completion of these treatments. Live vaccines include tuberculosis vaccine (BCG), measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine (MMR), chickenpox vaccine, oral polio vaccine, yellow fever vaccine, and oral anti-rotavirus vaccine. Dead vaccines can be used safely in immunocompromised patients; however, the efficacy of immunization may be lower than in healthy individuals.

The following supplementary vaccinations should be considered in adults not immunized against individual infectious diseases (either by protective vaccination or by recovery from the infectious disease resulting in permanent immunity) [1, 303]:
- hepatitis B vaccination,
- chickenpox vaccination;
- seasonal influenza vaccination;
- anti-human papilloma virus vaccination (girls aged 11–12 years prior to initiation of sexual activity being the main target group),
- pneumococcal and meningococcal vaccination.

Vaccination against COVID-19 should also be considered in all CD patients [304, 305].

IX.9. Psychological support

46. Psychological support should be made available to each CD patient. (Evidence: very low; recommendation: strong)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation #46 – Approval rating (Likert scale)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – Complete disapproval</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The quality of life of patients is seriously affected by CD. Due to the prospect of living with an incurable disease, the fear of adverse reactions to medications, surgical treatment, and disability caused by the disease, as well as the painful symptoms, patients frequently experience depression and anxiety [306]. Few studies have been carried out to date on the efficacy of various psychological interventions against these symptoms as well as on the course of the IBD itself. So far, no data are available to show that any psychological intervention (such as behavioral and cognitive therapy) has any impact on CD remission rates [307, 308]. Sparse evidence is available, however, to suggest that such interventions may improve the overall health of patients. For example, as shown in a randomized study by Wynne et al., acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) significantly reduces the severity of anxiety or stress in IBD [309]. Therefore, it appears that the possibility to obtain psychological support, as well as consideration being paid to the impact of CD on the patient’s emotional condition, should constitute an integral part of holistic care to IBD patients.
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