Introduction: Body posture is an individual motion habit. It is variable and depends on the gender, age, structure of the body but also on mental and physical state. Although it is difficult to formulate a universal definition of correct body posture, the opinion that its elementary feature is symmetry is beyond any doubt. Such symmetry is related to the position of particular anatomical points and effects of static and dynamic forces.

Aim of the research: To assess the relations between the pelvis position in the frontal plane, the static load on the lower limbs and architecture of the feet. The following features were analysed in a group of young, healthy and particularly physically active women and men: the frequency of asymmetry related to pelvis position, the load on the lower limbs related to body weight and foot architecture.

Material and methods: The study group consisted of 100 students of physical education. To assess the position of the pelvis a palpable-visual method was used. Clarke’s method was applied to characterize the foot architecture determined by the position of standing with one leg on the CQ Elektronik podoscope. The static load on the lower limbs was assessed using the stabilographic platform EMILDUE from Technomex.

Results: Collected data and observations show frequent asymmetric changes of pelvis position in the frontal plane and incorrect balance of the body in the standing position. The change of static load on the lower limbs influences the longitudinal architecture of the feet and this influence is statistically significant. Increased asymmetry of the pelvis in the frontal plane is related to profound disorder of body balance.

Conclusions: Asymmetric position of the pelvis is associated with asymmetric arching of the feet and asymmetric body weight distribution. Full symmetric position of the pelvis is rare even among young people who are physically active.
50 females, constituted the research group. All the participants boasted a good general state of health and none of them were treated for chronic diseases, had pain complaints or felt bad on the day of the survey. Those students who had been diagnosed with scoliosis exceeding 10° curvature, varus or valgus knees, and those who had suffered from injuries to the locomotor organs within the past 6 months before the survey were excluded from the measurements. Basic characteristics of somatic structure (body mass and height) and selected elements of body posture were evaluated. The symmetrical/asymmetrical position of the anterior superior iliac spine (ASIS) and the posterior superior iliac spine (PSIS), the body weight bearing distribution on feet and the longitudinal arch of the right and left foot were registered on the survey chart. A calibrated anthropometer, the Tanita scales, the stabilometric platform EMILDUE and a CQ Elektronik podoscope with relevant software were employed as the research tools.

The symmetry of the position of the ASIS and the PSIS was evaluated by means of visual inspection and palpation. Once the positions of iliac spines were marked on the participant’s skin, they were noted accordingly on the survey chart as symmetric iliac spines, or an elevated right or an elevated left iliac spine.

The distribution of static weight bearing on lower extremities was assessed by means of a computerised stabilometric platform. The participant assumed a natural standing position with both their feet planted firmly on the platform and maintained it for 30 s. After that time the average body weight bearing on the right and left foot appeared on the monitor as the percentage value.

The longitudinal arch of the foot was measured in Clarke’s angles, and calculated using digital plantar images of the foot registered in one-foot standing on the electronic podoscope. It was assumed that angular values between 42° and 54° corresponded to a proper arch, and those between 31° and 41° to a lowered one, while 30° corresponded to a flat foot and 55° or more to a hollow foot [9].

Statistical analysis

The analysis of the data was made employing basic numerical statistics, an F-test for testing the equality of two variances and a t-test for testing two equal variances. Dependencies between variables were determined by means of Spearman’s rank correlation and Pearson’s linear correlation. The most frequently used level of gravity was accepted and it was assumed that correlations were significant if \( p < 0.05 \).

Results

A total of 92% of female participants had proper body mass index (BMI) values, while overweight and underweight were found in 4% of them. A total of 88% of male participants boasted proper BMI; the remaining males (12%) had excessive body weight.

Symmetric position of the pelvis was observed in 58% of females (29 participants) and in 52% of males (26 participants). An elevated position of the left ASIS, as compared to the right one, was discovered in 18 females (36%) and in 19 males (39%). Three female and 5 male participants had an elevated position of the right anterior iliac spine. Similar observations were made in the case of posterior iliac spines. The left posterior iliac spine was elevated in comparison with the right one in 27 per 100 participants, while an elevated right posterior iliac spine occurred in 8 participants. It should be noted that an elevated position of the left iliac spine, as compared to the right one, occurred more frequently in both genders.

Only in 16% of females (8 participants) and in 32% of males (16 participants) was body weight bearing distributed symmetrically in both legs, while they maintained their habitual standing positions. Most participants, i.e. 64% of females (32 participants) and 50% of males (25 participants), support their weight on the left leg. Increased weight bearing of the right foot was noted in 20% of females (10 participants) and in 18% of males (9 participants).

Proper foot arch was characteristic of 78% of males (39 participants) and 76% of females (38 participants). The relevant values for the left foot equalled 66% (33 males) and 62% (31 females). A lowered arch of the right foot was discovered in 22% of females and males (in 11 participants in each gender), while a lowered arch of the left foot was found in 30% of males (15 participants) and in 36% of females (18 participants). One of the females had her right foot flat (2%), and 2 males (4%) and 1 female (2%) had their left foot flat. No participants with excessively raised arch of the foot were discovered.

The correlation analysis showed significant dependency between the position of the ASIS and the PSIS in the frontal plane and static lower extremity weight bearing (Table 1). Significant, but smaller dependencies were also found between the position of anterior and posterior iliac spines and the longitudinal arch of the foot (Table 2). Pearsons linear correlation showed a significant dependency between static weight bearing of the left and right leg in a habitual standing position and the longitudinal arch of the foot (Table 3).

Discussion

The vast majority of participants had good proportions of their body weight to their body height. All of them were young and healthy, and boasted more than average physical fitness, due to their interests and field of study, but despite that the occurrence of asymmetry was observed in them.
Position of the pelvis, lower extremities load and the arch of the feet in young adults who are physically active

Only every sixth female and every third male managed to symmetrically distribute their body weight over their lower extremities in the habitual standing position. A half of the male participants and more than a half of the female participants bore their weight on the left foot. A symmetrical position of the pelvis was observed only in slightly more than a half of the participants of both genders. The left iliac spines were more frequently elevated in the case of an oblique position of the pelvis, both in females and in males. Lack of good body posture at a proper position of the pelvis was more frequent in females. More than two thirds of the participants had a proper arch of the right foot. In the case of the left one, its arch was more frequently lowered and had proper values in approximately 64% of participants. A number of significant dependencies were discovered between the variables under analysis. The correlation between the position of the pelvis in the frontal plane and the static lower extremity weight bearing was one of the most important ones. An elevated position of the left side of the pelvis occurred along with the excessive body weight bearing on the left foot and its lowered arch.

### Table 1. The relationships between the pelvis and the load setting of the lower limbs

| Variables                                      | Spearman R | t (N – 2) | Value of p
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left anterior superior iliac spine and left foot loading</td>
<td>0.854962</td>
<td>16.3174</td>
<td>1.078E-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left anterior superior iliac spine and right foot loading</td>
<td>–0.854962</td>
<td>–16.3174</td>
<td>1.078E-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right anterior superior iliac spine and left foot loading</td>
<td>–0.854962</td>
<td>–16.3174</td>
<td>1.078E-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right anterior superior iliac spine and right foot loading</td>
<td>0.854962</td>
<td>16.3174</td>
<td>1.078E-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left posterior superior iliac spine and left foot loading</td>
<td>0.854962</td>
<td>16.3174</td>
<td>1.078E-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left posterior superior iliac spine and right foot loading</td>
<td>–0.854962</td>
<td>–16.3174</td>
<td>1.078E-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right posterior superior iliac spine and left foot loading</td>
<td>–0.854962</td>
<td>–16.3174</td>
<td>1.078E-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right posterior superior iliac spine and right foot loading</td>
<td>0.854962</td>
<td>16.3174</td>
<td>1.078E-29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. The relationships between the pelvis and the arch of the feet

| Variables                                      | Spearman R | t (N – 2) | Value of p
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left anterior superior iliac spine and left foot arch high</td>
<td>–0.225924</td>
<td>2.29590</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left anterior superior iliac spine and right foot arch high</td>
<td>0.198150</td>
<td>2.00127</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right anterior superior iliac spine and left foot arch high</td>
<td>0.225924</td>
<td>2.29590</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right anterior superior iliac spine and right foot arch high</td>
<td>–0.198150</td>
<td>2.00127</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left posterior superior iliac spine and left foot arch high</td>
<td>–0.225924</td>
<td>2.29590</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left posterior superior iliac spine and right foot arch high</td>
<td>0.198150</td>
<td>2.00127</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right posterior superior iliac spine and left foot arch high</td>
<td>0.225924</td>
<td>2.29590</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right posterior superior iliac spine and right foot arch high</td>
<td>–0.198150</td>
<td>2.00127</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. The relation between the load setting of the lower limbs and the arch of the feet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>r (X,Y)</th>
<th>Value of p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left foot loading and left foot arch high</td>
<td>–0.305</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left foot loading and right foot arch high</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right foot loading and left foot arch high</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right foot loading and right foot arch high</td>
<td>–0.146</td>
<td>0.146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was hard to say unambiguously that the students adopted bad body postures. However, quite frequently it did not match a basic criterion for good posture, i.e. symmetrical position of given bone structures towards the vertical axis. Undoubtedly, those observations could be explained by morphological and functional asymmetry connected with environmental influences, everyday activities and lateralization. However, a symmetric position of the trunk seemed
to be exceptionally important for maintaining good posture in static and dynamic situations, in conditions in which keeping balance was harder, and while walking and performing precise movements with the upper extremity [10]. Habitual asymmetric trunk setting in children leads to the development of scoliosis [11], and in the elderly provokes pain [12].

Interesting observations on the position of the pelvis were made by Graf et al. [13]. Their research group consisted of several hundred girls aged 9 to 16, out of which 200 were diagnosed with scoliosis, and 192 had proper body posture. Asymmetric position of iliac spines was discovered in 60% of the female participants with scoliosis and in 30% of healthy participants. Two times more frequent incidence of the oblique pelvic position in the female participants with lateral curvature of the spine suggested that the examination of the spine for scoliosis should also focus on the pelvic girdle [14]. The greater percentage of participants (almost 50%) with the asymmetric position of the pelvis in the authors’ own survey may have resulted from training in sports disciplines connected with asymmetric work of muscles by some of them.

The dependency found between an elevated position of iliac spines and greater weight bearing on the lower extremity on the same side of the body was similar to the findings of Stepień et al. [15]. In her gait analysis in children and adolescents with scoliosis, Stepień noted significant correlations between the lower extremity weight bearing in separate phases of the gait and the size and location of the lateral curvature of the spine. An elevated position of the pelvis functionally lengthened the extremity and increased its bearing weight.

Long-lasting weight bearing of the foot, due to overweight, may cause the lowering of its arch. The results of our survey proved the above hypothesis. Smaller Clark’s angular values were characteristic of the foot which supports more weight. Lack of body balance, while maintaining good posture and in motion, overloads not only the foot but also the knee and hip joints [6]. However, it is the foot – despite its delicate structure – which suffers from the greatest weight bearing since it supports the heaviest weight [16]. That is why it seems to be justified to control the arch and positioning of the feet in children and adults with improper body posture [17, 18]. The foot, due to its structure, is adapted to sustain great loads; however, long-lasting and excessive weight bearing (in the case of overweight or working in a standing position) may lead to so-called functional flat feet, because of decreased efficiency of the muscles and the capsular ligament apparatus [19]. The incidence of a lowered foot arch in overweight children was confirmed many times, suggesting that it might be the beginning of discomfort which develops with age and weight gain [20].

One may wonder, taking into account the results obtained, whether the abnormalities discovered in young, healthy and physically active people will become a cause of greater dysfunctions and pain complaints in the future. According to statistics, as many as 80% of adults at least once in their lifetime have experienced lumbar pain of the spine, whose position and function were strictly connected with the position of the pelvis [21]. Increased weight bearing on one of the extremities may, in turn, contribute to the development of degenerative changes in the hip and knee joints. That is why one should introduce a prophylaxis programme for healthy people, which would include learning how to analyse and control the body at rest and in motion.

Conclusions

Asymmetric position of the pelvis and asymmetric body weight distribution over lower extremities are common also in young, healthy people who regularly undertake physical activities. There were discovered significant dependencies between the position of the pelvis in the frontal plane, static weight bearing and the longitudinal arch of the foot. One could observe a tendency towards a greater weight bearing on the lower extremity and a lower arch of the foot on the side of elevated iliac spines.
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