The association of quantitative insulin sensitivity indices (HOMA-IR and QUICKI) with anthropometric and cardiometabolic indicators in adolescents
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Abstract

Introduction: Homoeostasis model assessment (HOMA-IR) and the quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (QUICKI) are used to evaluate insulin resistance. The aim of this study was to investigate the association between quantitative insulin sensitivity indices and anthropometric and cardiometabolic indicators in adolescents.

Material and methods: This descriptive-analytic cross-sectional study was conducted on 80 adolescents aged 12 to 13 years in Isfahan, Iran. Anthropometric, cardiometabolic and QUICKI and HOMA-IR indicators were measured. In the results analysis, Pearson correlation coefficient and regression analysis were used.

Results: There was a significant positive correlation between most of the anthropometric indicators and the HOMA-IR index and a significant negative correlation with QUICKI (all p < 0.0001). Moreover, serum triglyceride level had a significant negative correlation with QUICKI index (R = −0.33, p = 0.002) and systolic blood pressure (R = 0.44, p < 0.0001), and triglyceride level (R = 0.66, p < 0.0001) had a significant positive correlation with HOMA index. The results of these two indices were highly correlated in most of the anthropometric and biochemical indices, except for the waist circumference to the neck circumference ratio and systolic blood pressure, which had a significant positive association with HOMA-IR, but did not show a significant association with QUICKI index.

Conclusions: A significant correlation between anthropometric and cardiometabolic indicators with insulin resistance indices (HOMA-IR and QUICKI) was found. Moreover, the results of these two indices were highly correlated in most of the anthropometric and biochemical indices, except for the waist circumference to the neck circumference ratio and systolic blood pressure.
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Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is the most common glandular disease in the world and yearly is responsible for 4 million deaths [1]. The number of people with diabetes rose from 108 million in 1980 to 422 million in 2014 [2]. Diabetes is a metabolic disease that is associated with a disorder in insulin sensitivity throughout the body. Several studies suggest that body fat distribution can play a major role in metabolic abnormalities associated with obesity, related to the strong relationship that abdominal obesity has with insulin resistance, metabolic disorders and cardiovascular disease [3–5].

Obesity, related to the strong relationship that body fat distribution can play a major role in metabolic abnormalities associated with obesity, related to the strong relationship that abdominal obesity has with insulin resistance, metabolic disorders and cardiovascular disease [3–5]. Body mass index (BMI), waist measurement (WC), waist to hip ratio (WHR), waist to hip circumference, sagittal abdominal diameter and neck circumference are the most commonly used anthropometric indices for obesity monitoring [6–8].

Although some mechanisms have been proposed in the field of insulin sensitivity, more extensive studies are needed to precisely identify factors correlating with insulin resistance [9]. Although many studies have already been conducted on adult populations, there are few studies in child and adolescent populations [10]. As insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia are found in children and adolescents before maturity, it is clear that we should seek the cause of this disorder in that course [11]. Although insulin resistance in adults has the strongest association with abdominal circumference, determination of the association between insulin resistance and anthropometric measurements at an earlier age requires further studies [12, 13].

Several indicators are now used for assessment of insulin sensitivity/resistance. For example, the homoeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) index has recently been used in many studies. This index indicates insulin resistance based on the amount of fasting plasma glucose and insulin. Moreover, some recent studies suggest that the quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (QUICKI) can be a good alternative for HOMA-IR and it is believed that HOMA-IR shows insulin resistance less than the truth [14, 15].

Thus, according to the available studies, lack of sufficient evidence and absence of any study conducted in Iran on the association between QUICKI and HOMA-IR with anthropometric and cardiometabolic indicators in adolescents, the aim of our study was to investigate the association between insulin sensitivity indices (QUICKI and HOMA-IR) and anthropometric and cardiometabolic indicators in 12 to 13-year-old adolescents in Isfahan, Iran.

Material and methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted on 80 teenagers aged 12 to 13 years old (40 boys and 40 girls) in collaboration with the Cardiovascular Research Center of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences in Isfahan, Iran. The subjects were selected from one high school of Isfahan city by simple randomization sampling. The inclusion criteria included: age 12 to 13 years, have reached sexual maturity, and consent to participate in the study. After collecting written consent from the students and their parents, the subjects were invited to the Cardiovascular Research Center for data collection. A socio-demographic questionnaire was completed and anthropometric indices (including height, weight, BMI, waist circumference, neck circumference, and wrist circumference) were measured using the following methods. To measure the body weight, subjects were made to stand motionless on a Seca scale with minimal clothes and without shoes. The scale was calibrated to zero before each reading. Height was measured by height measuring systems (least count 0.5 cm) and subjects were made to stand in a straight position, keeping heels together and without shoes. Waist circumference (WC) and neck circumference were measured in a standing position with an elastic plastic measuring tape without any pressure on it with the least count 0/1 cm. The WC was measured in the middle of the lowest rib and the highest part of the pelvis [16]. The maturity of all subjects was assessed by a medical doctor.

We also collected blood samples from the participants after at least 10 h overnight fasting and the fasting glucose, fasting insulin, triglyceride (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), TGs and total cholesterol levels were assessed. We applied a validated protocol of blood pressure measurement.

This testing provided a measurement of the serum concentrations of total cholesterol, TG, HDL-C, and calculated LDL-C. The Friedewald formula was used to calculate LDL-C from total cholesterol, triglycerides, and HDL-C. This formula calculates LDL-C by subtracting the sum of HDL-C and very low-density lipoproteins (VLDL) (triglycerides/5 from total cholesterol). Moreover, insulin sensitivity was calculated by the following formulas according to QUICKI and HOMA-IR [17]: 

\[
\text{QUICKI} = \frac{1}{\log(\text{fasting insulin } \mu U/ml) + \log(\text{fasting glucose } mg/dl))},
\]

HOMA-IR = (fasting insulin $\mu U/ml) + (\text{fasting glucose } mg/dl)/405.

Ethical approval

The study was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences (code: IR.MUI.REC.1395.3.369).

Statistical analysis

In this study, we used SPSS software, version 20 for statistical analysis of the data. Pearson cor-
relation coefficient and linear regression analysis were used to analyze the data. The significance level for all analysis was considered as $p < 0.05$.

**Results**

The general characteristics of the participants are summarized in Table I. All of the participants were 12 to 13 years old. The participants had a wide range of BMI, but the average BMI of the subjects was within the normal range based on the WHO charts for age and sex (mean BMI = $19.18 \text{ kg/m}^2$).

The mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure was normal (10.8 ±1.3 and 6.40 ±0.88 respectively). Normal levels of TG (102.85 ±47.64), total cholesterol (153.98 ±31.28), LDL-C (94.88 ±27.98) and HDL-C (38.17 ±10.91) were reported by comparing the blood lipid levels with the CEP standard values.

The values of insulin resistance indices by sex are also presented in Table II. All subjects were in the normal range of QUICKI (> 0.38) and HOMA-IR (< 2.5) indices. The independent $t$-test indicated that the mean QUICKI in girls was higher than boys ($p = 0.04$), but the mean HOMA-IR.

**Association of anthropometric and biochemical indicators with insulin resistance indices**

After adjustment for sex, there were significant negative correlations between QUICKI and all of the anthropometric indicators including weight, BMI, WC, neck circumference, waist circumference to height ratio, waist circumference to neck circumference ratio and neck circumference to wrist circumference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Boys (n = 40)</th>
<th>Girls (n = 40)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age [years]</td>
<td>12.7500</td>
<td>12.7250</td>
<td>0.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight [kg]</td>
<td>45.0125</td>
<td>47.4375</td>
<td>0.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height [cm]</td>
<td>1.5517</td>
<td>1.5360</td>
<td>0.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP [mm Hg]</td>
<td>10.7750</td>
<td>10.8125</td>
<td>0.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP [mm Hg]</td>
<td>6.3625</td>
<td>6.4625</td>
<td>0.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist circumference [cm]</td>
<td>15.8450</td>
<td>15.6000</td>
<td>0.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference [cm]</td>
<td>67.9075</td>
<td>70.7875</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck circumference [cm]</td>
<td>30.9000</td>
<td>32.4875</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride [mg/dl]</td>
<td>1.0320</td>
<td>1.0250</td>
<td>0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol [mg/dl]</td>
<td>1.4732</td>
<td>1.6062</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL [mg/dl]</td>
<td>88.6275</td>
<td>1.0112</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL [mg/dl]</td>
<td>37.4875</td>
<td>38.8500</td>
<td>0.580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose [mg/dl]</td>
<td>83.6750</td>
<td>85.1250</td>
<td>0.311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting insulin [µU/ml]</td>
<td>0.6225</td>
<td>0.5175</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height to wrist ratio</td>
<td>9.8259</td>
<td>9.9063</td>
<td>0.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference to height ratio</td>
<td>0.4375</td>
<td>0.4604</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Girls (n = 40)</th>
<th>Boys (n = 40)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QUICKI</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ence ratio (all \( p < 0.003 \)). Among cardiometabolic indices, TG levels had a significant negative correlation with QUICKI. The association of other cardiometabolic indicators with QUICKI were not statistically significant. Regarding anthropometric indices, there were significant positive correlations between most anthropometric indicators including weight, BMI, WC, neck circumference, waist circumference to height ratio, neck circumference to height ratio, waist circumference to neck circumference ratio and neck circumference to wrist circumference ratio with HOMA-IR index (all \( p < 0.0001 \)). Among cardiometabolic indicators, SBP and TG levels had positive correlations with HOMA-IR index (\( p < 0.0001 \)), while it was not statistically significant for other cardiometabolic indicators (Table III).

By comparing QUICKI and HOMA-IR, we found that these two indices are correlated with all of the anthropometric and biochemical indicators, except for waist circumference to neck circumference ratio and systolic blood pressure, which had a significant positive correlation with HOMA-IR, but did not show a significant relationship with QUICKI.

**Discussion**

The results of the present study indicated significant negative correlations between QUICKI and most anthropometric indicators such as weight, BMI, WC, neck circumference, waist circumference to height ratio, and neck circumference to height ratio, and also significant positive correlations between these anthropometric indicators and HOMA-IR. Moreover, among cardiometabolic indicators, there was only a negative correlation between TG and QUICKI and a positive correlation between TG, SBP and HOMA-IR. Other cardiometabolic indicators did not correlate with insulin resistance indices.

Our results for anthropometric indicators were similar to the results of other studies. Szapary et al. [17] reported that higher values of HOMA-IR were associated with development of metabolic syndrome, obesity, and lower serum HDL levels in adolescents under 18 years old. Our study also demonstrated that individuals with higher HOMA-IR had higher weight and BMI values.

Similar findings were reported in another study performed on 691 healthy teenagers (295 with normal BMI, 205 overweight and 199 obese) indicating a significant positive correlation between HOMA-IR and BMI [18].

In the study done by De Luis et al. [19], a significant positive correlation between HOMA-IR and BMI was found, and those who were obese had higher HOMA-IR levels than people with normal BMI. Moreover, in the study from Webber et

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>QUICKI</th>
<th></th>
<th>HOMA-IR</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight [kg]</td>
<td>−0.48</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI [kg/m²]</td>
<td>−0.45</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference [cm]</td>
<td>−0.45</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck circumference [cm]</td>
<td>−0.43</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference to height ratio</td>
<td>−0.37</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck circumference to height ratio</td>
<td>−0.32</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference to neck circumference ratio</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck circumference to waist circumference ratio</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP [mm Hg]</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP [mm Hg]</td>
<td>−0.21</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride [mg/dl]</td>
<td>−0.33</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol [mg/dl]</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL [mg/dl]</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL [mg/dl]</td>
<td>−0.21</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = body mass index, SBP = systolic blood pressure, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, LDL = low-density lipoprotein, HDL = high-density lipoprotein, QUICKI = quantitative insulin-sensitivity check index, HOMA-IR = homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance, NS = not significant.
al. [20], HOMA-IR had a significant positive correlation with BMI, and in subjects with BMI above the 85th percentile, who were considered as overweight, HOMA-IR levels were higher than in those under the 85th percentile, which confirms our results.

The results of a study conducted by Murdock et al. [21], to determine the effect of BMI on insulin and lipid resistance on 125 children before puberty and 122 children after puberty, a significant negative correlation between BMI and QUICKI values in teenagers was observed that was in line with our results.

In another study conducted on women with gestational diabetes mellitus, HOMA-IR levels were associated with low QUICKI levels and high BMI values [22]. The findings of another study conducted on 259 healthy and obese adults and 47 healthy and obese immature adolescents to determine the insulin resistance via QUICKI indicated that patients with a history of glucose intolerance, diabetes and hypertriglyceridemia have a lower QUICKI than healthy people and also QUICKI was not significantly correlated with BMI in obese children [23]. In contrast, there was a significant negative correlation between QUICKI and BMI in the present study. The difference may be due to the BMI of the teenagers. This study was performed on obese adolescents, while in contrast, the BMI of our adolescents was under the 85th percentile. It is likely that the association between QUICKI and BMI will be significant only if the overweight individuals are compared to those with normal weight. Concerning the association between HOMA-IR and QUICKI with cardiometabolic indicators, a study of 522 children (286 obese children and 236 children with normal BMI) showed that HOMA-IR was associated with systolic and diastolic blood pressure, but in our study there was no relationship between diastolic blood pressure and HOMA-IR. On the other hand, it revealed that the QUICKI in obese children was lower than in normal children. It is necessary to note that the study was conducted on children aged 6 to 15 years [24], which differed from our age range. Stagakis et al. [25], in a prospective study in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, observed a significant positive correlation between HOMA-IR levels and systolic blood pressure, which confirms the results of the present study. The present study revealed that there is a significant positive correlation between WC and HOMA-IR and a negative correlation between WC and QUICKI.

Two other studies investigating the association of insulin resistance indices demonstrated a significant negative correlation between WC and QUICKI. Similar to the results of this study, in another study, a significant positive correlation between WC and HOMA-IR levels and a significant negative correlation between and QUICKI were observed [26, 27].

In a study by Chen et al. [28], which was conducted to evaluate the level of insulin resistance in men with erectile dysfunction (ED), the QUICKI was associated with BMI. Also in this study, LDL/HDL had a significant negative correlation with the QUICKI, which was not significant in our study. Perhaps the high insulin resistance index of individuals is the reason for this mismatch, which in turn reduces NO release, endothelin level elevation and subsequently affects the LDL/HDL levels.

In another study of 99 children before puberty and 118 children after puberty, subjects with higher levels of TG/HDL had higher HOMA-IR levels and lower QUICKI [29], which were also confirmed in the present study.

In general, our study was conducted on adolescents of both genders and the relationships of both insulin resistance indices (QUICKI and HOMA-IR) with anthropometric and cardiometabolic indicators were investigated and compared. In addition to the mentioned advantage, this study has some limitations. The cross-sectional nature of the study makes it impossible to establish a cause and effect relationship between the variables. We also did not collect data on diet and physical activity, which can have a role as confounders. Our sample size was small and a longitudinal study with larger sample size is recommended in further studies to achieve transparency and certainty in the results.

In conclusion, in the present study, all anthropometric indicators including weight, BMI, WC, neck circumference, waist circumference to height ratio and neck circumference to height ratio had significant negative correlations with QUICKI and significant positive correlations with HOMA-IR. Moreover, among cardiometabolic indicators there was a significant negative correlation between TG and QUICKI whereas systolic blood pressure and TG had a significant positive correlation with HOMA-IR.
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