Non-invasive ventilation and intra-abdominal pressure – an association or dissociation?

Abhijit S. Nair¹, Salvatore Notaro², Antonio Esquinas³

¹Department of Anaesthesiology, Ibra Hospital, Sultanate of Oman ²Intensive Care Unit, AORN dei colli Vincenzo Monaldi Hospital, Naples, Italy <u>³Intensive Care Unit</u>, Hospital Morales Meseguer, Murcia, Spain

Dear Editor,

We have read with great interest the original study by Adrian Regli *et al.* titled "The effect of non-invasive ventilation on intra-abdominal pressure" which analysed the effect of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) on intra-abdominal pressure (IAP) [1]. This observation is very interesting and controversial. However, we believe that some interesting aspects should have been considered in this study.

It is interesting to know the relationship of IAP and body position during NIV. Previous studies evaluated the influence of supine position and variations in head of bed (HOB) elevation on IAP values. However, in this study, the methods aspect and conclusions are not clearly defined. In the first study, the authors described the measurement of IAP, which is usually performed supine. However, for NIV there is no standard position, and therefore the impact of elevated IAP could be difficult to understand in the standard awake NIV approach. The main question is whether the elevated or normal measurement of IAP is a fleeting or sustained effect. The events happening during NIV therapy are not clear. This aspect is controversial and not yet clarified in patients with non-invasive ventilation and at risk for increased intra-abdominal pressure. Elevation of the HOB angle from 0 to 30° significantly increases IAP. It seems that the measurement of IAP at a HOB angle of 15° was more reliable than 30° [2, 3]. The impact of NIV mode and setting pressure is another controversial topic. From previous studies, we know that increased IAP was related to the type of respiratory mode with the

more increased IAP observed by BIPAP and CPAP modes [4]. Other factors that were not reported by the authors are the impact of fluid balance with NIV [5]. We find the pCO₂ trends during this study controversial and in need of clarification. The indications for which NIV was indicated were not specified and nor were the associated comorbidities, e.g. COPD, which predisposes to different dynamic lung compliance and trends in pCO₂. How the authors analyse the pCO₂ and NIV is not clearly described. Further clinical trials need to clarify the optimal NIV protocols and the relevance of its relationship with IAP.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 1. Financial support and sponsorship: none.
- 2. Conflict of interest: none.

REFERENCES

- Regli A, Nanda R, Braun J, et al. The effect of noninvasive ventilation on intra-abdominal pressure. Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther 2022; 23: 46397. doi: 10.5114/ait.2022.113488.
- Daugherty EL, Liang H, Taichman D, Hansen-Flaschen J, Fuchs BD. Abdominal compartment syndrome is common in medical intensive care unit patients receiving large-volume resuscitation. J Intensive Care Med 2007; 22: 294-299. doi: 10.1177/0885066607305247.
- Samimian S, Ashrafi S, Khaleghdoost Mohammadi T, et al. The correlation between head of bed angle and intra-abdominal pressure of intubated patients; a pre-post clinical trial. Arch Acad Emerg Med 2021; 9: e23. doi: 10.22037/aaem.v9i1.1065.
- Vasquez DG, Berg-Copas GM, Wetta-Hall R. Influence of semi-recumbent position on intraabdominal pressure as measured by bladder pressure. J Surg Res 2007; 139: 280-285. doi: 10.1016/ j.jss.2006.10.023.
- Rafiei MR, Aghadavoudi O, Shekarchi B, Sajjadi SS, Masoudifar M. Can selection of mechanical ventilation mode prevent increased intra-abdominal pressure in patients admitted to the intensive care unit? Int J Prev Med 2013; 4: 552-556.

Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther 2022; 54, 2: 194

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Abhijit S. Nair, Department of Anaesthesiology, Ibra Hospital, Sultanate of Oman, e-mail: abhijitnair95@gmail.com