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ABSTRACT

Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (BWS) is the most frequent congenital overgrowth syndrome. Its clinical features are variable, including macrosomia, hemihyperplasia, and macroglossia. The most important feature appears to be the increased risk of embryonal tumours, depending on the molecular aetiology of BWS. The underlying molecular abnormalities might be genetic or epigenetic, and they involve the segment of the genome – chromosome 11p15.

The aim of the study is to present the case report of a patient with BWS, who was at the highest molecular risk of developing embryonal tumour associated with this syndrome. The authors emphasise the importance of therapy individualisation in BWS due to variable cancer predispositions associated with molecular aetiology.
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INTRODUCTION

Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (BWS) is the most common among the genetic overgrowth syndromes [1]. Its prevalence is estimated at one in every 13,700 live births, equally in males and females [2, 3]. The incidence appears to be higher in children after in vitro fertilisation [4].

The syndrome is named after Beckwith and Wiedemann, two doctors who described it with three cardinal features, i.e. exomphalos, macroglossia, and gigantism [2, 5]. Since then, the associated phenotype has been expanded. Characteristic features of BWS include macrosomia, macroglossia, hemihyperplasia, omphalocele, visceromegaly, facial naevus flammeus, earlobe creases or pits, and neonatal hypoglycaemia [5–8]. What is most significant, BWS is associated with an increased risk of tumours in childhood. However, clinical manifestations are variable – affected individuals might have a number of features, whereas others might have only one or two. Clinical diagnostic criteria for BWS have not been established, but Shuman et al. published criteria in GeneReviews [9]. According to them, BWS should be considered when three major or one major and two minor criteria are present (Table 1) [9].

All these manifestations are caused by genetic and epigenetic abnormalities involving the imprinted band of the genome – chromosome 11p15. The process of imprinting is based on different expression of genes, depending on the parent who contributed them [3, 5, 9, 10]. Imprinting control regions (ICR1 and ICR2), which are present in the band, such as 11p15, are responsible for regulation of the imprinted gene expression [2, 3, 5, 9–12] (Fig. 1).
The majority of the known cases of BWS are caused by epigenetic abnormalities such as methylation or modification of histones [2, 9]. Epigenetic defects only change gene expression without any impact on the DNA sequence. The most frequent cause of BWS (50% of cases) is loss of methylation (LOM) in the maternal ICR2, which results in reduced expression of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1C gene (CDKN1C). However, this pattern is not the only one observed in BWS. The complex regulation might also be disrupted by other mechanisms, for instance the gain of methylation at IC1 on the maternal chromosome [1, 2]. In addition to epimutations in 11p15, mutations in CDKN1C and chromosome abnormalities such as paternal uniparental isodisomy of 11p15.5 (upd[11]pat) can also be related to BWS [1, 2, 5, 12].

The syndrome is definitively diagnosed by a combination of assessment of clinical features and genetic tests. Methylation-sensitive multiplex ligation probe analysis (MS-MLPA) is a widely used diagnostic tool for detection of DNA methylation changes and copy number changes [3]. However, the diagnosis in many children is only clinical due to the limited sensitivity of genetic tests [2].

CASE REPORT

A four-month-old boy was referred to our clinic for genetic evaluation because of macroglossia and left-sided hemihyperplasia. He was born at 40 weeks to a 21-year-old primigravida by vaginal delivery. He weighed 4.160 kg and was 53.5 cm in length. Both weight and length were above the 95th centile. He had an Apgar score of 9. Initially, the boy was breastfed. However, macroglossia hindered breastfeeding as the only method of nutrition and resulted in partial artificial feeding. In terms of neonatal glycaemia, the data were normal. The family history was not contributory. Dysmorphism examination revealed

---

**TABLE 1. Major and minor findings associated with Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (BWS)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major findings</th>
<th>Minor findings associated with BWS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive family history (one or more family members with a clinical diagnosis of BWS or a history or features suggestive of BWS)</td>
<td>Pregnancy-related findings including polyhydramnios and prematurity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrosomia (traditionally defined as weight and length/height &gt; 97th centile)</td>
<td>Neonatal hypoglycaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacroGLOSSIA (large size of the tongue)</td>
<td>Vascular malformations such as facial nevus flammeus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemihyperplasia (asymmetric overgrowth of one or more regions of the body)</td>
<td>Characteristic facies including midface hypoplasia and infraorbital creases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceromegaly involving one or more intra-abdominal organs including liver, spleen, kidneys, adrenal gland or pancreas</td>
<td>Structural cardiac anomalies or cardiomegaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omphalocele (also called exomphalos) or umbilical hernia</td>
<td>Diastasis recti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytomegaly of the fetal adrenal cortex (pathognomonic)</td>
<td>Advanced bone age (common in overgrowth/endocrine disorders)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 1.** Schematic representation of the imprinting control regions (IC) on chromosome 11p15. IC1 contains imprinted embryonic growth factor IGF2 (expressed from paternal allele) and the noncoding RNA H19 (expressed from maternal allele). Whereas, IC2 contains the cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK)-inhibitor 1C (CDKN1c) protein (expressed from the maternal allele) and the noncoding RNA (KCNQ1); M – maternal allele, P – paternal allele.
also capillary malformation on the abdominal skin and loud murmur due to patent ductus arteriosus. The clinical findings (two major findings: macroglossia, hemihyperplasia, minor findings: structural cardiac anomaly [mitral valve incompetence and patent ductus arteriosus]) aroused suspicion of BWS, which was communicated to the parents. Therefore, genetic tests were performed on a sample of blood. Chromosome analysis reported normal 46, XY. However, epigenetic abnormalities were detected: DNA methylation test of 11p15 revealed gain of methylation of ICR1, which established the BWS diagnosis (Fig. 2).

As a result, tumour surveillance was immediately commenced, consisting of abdominal ultrasonography and serum alpha-fetoprotein assessment every three months until eight years of age. Serum alpha-fetoprotein, blood glucose, electrolytes, urinary creatinine, and complete blood count remained within reference levels. Nevertheless, a routine screening ultrasound revealed a lesion in the left kidney at the age of seven months. After one month of observation, enlargement of the lesion was observed. A subsequent CT scan supported a probable diagnosis of a Wilms tumour (WT), without detecting any distant metastases (Fig. 3). The biopsy confirmed biallelic predominant nephroblastoma.

The patient was treated according to the SIOP (International Society of Paediatric Oncology) Wilms tumour 93-01 protocol [14]. He received neoadjuvant chemotherapy consisting of vincristine and actinomycin for four weeks. After evaluation with post-treatment CT, left partial nephrectomy was performed. Local tumour stage according to SIOP was I [14]. The patient completed post-operative chemotherapy and did not require radiotherapy. He is currently in remission 10 years after initial diagnosis. Of particular importance is the fact that children with BWS are at increased incidence risk for developing embryonal tumours such as WT, hepatoblastoma, neuroblastoma, or rhabdomyosarcoma [5, 15]. The risk for these tumours is approximately 7.5% until eight years of age, and then it gradually decreases to very close to the general population risk [2, 6, 9]. The susceptibility to tumours varies considerably depending on the molecular aetiology of BWS. Another clinically significant fact is that tumour types differ among molecular subgroups [11, 16].

The highest risk for tumour (approximately 28%) is associated with the gain of methylation (GOM) at ICR1, which occurred in our patient. Such an abnormality is relatively infrequent and constitutes only 5% of all known BWS causes [9]. Gain of methylation at the ICR1 gene leads to biallelic expression of insulin-like growth factor-2 (IGF-2) and loss of expression of H19 mRNA. The excessive expression of IGF-2, the hormone promoting growth and proliferation of cells in many different tissues, leads to organomegaly and further tumour development [1, 2]. Moreover, H19 mRNA might act as a tumour suppressor [3].

Although patients with IC1 GOM have the highest tumour risk among all molecular subgroups of patients with BWS, they are mostly predisposed to developing WT (95% malignant tumours in this group). Thus, screening in BWS patients with IC1 hypermethylation should only be aimed at early WT detection [11, 16]. As far as tumour risk in BWS patients with upd(11)pat is concerned, it is estimated to be as high as 16%. However, patients with this abnormality are predisposed to develop any of the tumour types seen in BWS, including WT (7.9%), hepatoblastoma (3.5%), neuroblastoma (1.4%), and adrenal tumours (1.1%). In terms of CDKN1C mutation, the occurrence of this mutation in a patient should be associated with increased predisposition to neuroblastoma (4.2%) [16]. Urinary catecholamine metabolites such as vanillylmandelic acid (VMA) and homovanillic acid (HVA) are well-known markers that could be increased in this neoplasm [17]. Conversely, patients with IC2 LOM seem to have the most favourable prognosis because of the lower tumour incidence (overall risk – 2.6%) compared to other molecular subgroups. As a result, tumour
screening should be individualised depending on the molecular subgroup. Abdominal ultrasound scan (USS) is recommended in every molecular subgroup except for IC2 LOM [16] (Fig. 4).

As far as our patient is concerned, he developed the most frequent tumour (60% of all tumours) observed in children with BWS, i.e. nephroblastoma (WT) [12]. According to Maas et al., the median age at which BWS patients develop WT is 24 months [11]. The most favourable outcome appears to be in children younger than 24 months with localised disease, as in our patient [18]. Nevertheless, blastemal predominant WT reported in our patient relates to worse prognosis in comparison with other subtypes [19]. Due to the highest risk for WT associated with this syndrome, the patient underwent abdominal ultrasound examinations every three months until the age of eight years. In order to detect hepatoblastoma, alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) levels were measured at intervals ranging from six weeks to three months until the age of four years [13]. Nevertheless, in 2016 Maas et al. showed that hepatoblastoma never occurred in patients with IC1 GOM [11]. It remains in accordance with the recent Consensus Statement, which does not recommend AFP screening in any molecular subgroup. It is associated with difficulties in interpreting serum AFP levels as well as the burden of repeated blood sampling [16].

The purpose of screening revolves around detecting tumours at a less advanced stage. Tumours detected earlier are associated with less extensive surgery and less intensive chemo- and radiotherapy, thus with better survival [12]. Benefitting from regular tumour surveillance initiated in our patient after clinical and molecular diagnosis, WT was detected at an early stage, being amenable to nephron-sparing surgery [20]. The fact that WT has the potential for both local spread and distant metastases makes early detection important [21].

Apart from that, clinical manifestations of this syndrome are variable, and they seem to depend on molecular type. Our patient exhibited clinical features, i.e. macroglossia and limb length discrepancy, which appear to be common in a group of patients with IC1 GOM in the study by Maas [11]. Conversely, omphalocele seems to be a real rarity for this molecular group. Neither our patient nor 20 patients with IC1 GOM in the above-mentioned study by Maas suffered from this condition [11]. What is important, neonates born with an omphalocele ought to be monitored for hypoglycaemia [22]. Hypoglycaemia in BWS occurs in approximately 50% of children with BWS and can be explained by hyperinsulinism due to the hypertrophy and hyperplasia of the islets of Langerhans [23]. Although the majority of cases are transient and can be treated by frequent feedings, in up to 20% of neonates hypoglycaemia might be persistent and require medications such as diazoxide and octreotide or even partial pancreatectomy in the most severe cases [16, 22].

It is extremely significant that the clinical manifestations in our patient have become less noticeable with age, as in the literature. Macroglossia has a tendency for regression to the size of the oral cavity [24]. Nevertheless, in some patients tongue reduction and speech therapy are needed. As far as limb length discrepancy is concerned, it is likely to become less distinct. In some cases an evaluation and follow-up by orthopedists should be performed in order to provide information about surgery involving epiphysiodesis [2].

CONCLUSIONS

The presented case demonstrates importance of screening strategies aimed at patients who are at high risk for cancer due to BWS. First of all, proper dysmorphology examination is vital to establish the diagnosis of
BWS, which is clinical in many children. Secondly, the treatment of patients with BWS should be individualised depending on molecular aetiology. Above all, the most important issue is the multidisciplinary approach to these patients based on the cooperation of various specialists including paediatric oncologists, orthopaedists, otolaryngologists, surgeons, orthodontists, speech pathologists, etc. Such appropriate treatment might help in obtaining long-term positive results.
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