Ultrasonographic evaluation and comparison of articular cartilage of the femur condyles between the limbs in patients with an elevated Q angle
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Abstract

Introduction. TPatellofemoral pain may be caused by an increase of the pressure of the joint surfaces in the patella and the femur, and, as a consequence, a premature abrasion of joint cartilage. The aim of the study was an ultrasonographic evaluation and comparison of articular cartilage of the femur condyles between the limbs in patients with an elevated Q angle.

Methods. The total of 13 women aged 35–45 years with the Q angle exceeding 15° took part in the research. The measurement of the Q angle was carried out with a goniometer. The thickness of joint cartilage was measured with the ultrasound scanner HONDA HS-2200. Shapiro-Wilk test for normality was applied. The variance test ANOVA for independent samples allowed to evaluate the differences of the measured parameters. The Bonferroni test was applied for multiple comparison.

Results and conclusions. The analysis did not depict any statistically significant differences in the thickness of cartilage of the lateral condyle (p = 0.83) or the medial condyle (p = 0.12), or in the Q angle (p = 1.00) between the right and left limb. The changes in thickness of the joint cartilage covering the lateral and medial condyles occurred symmetrically in both limbs.
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Introduction

Patellofemoral pain is one of the problems affecting the knee joint that appear in clinical practice. According to the previous research, it more often concerns physically active patients [1–4] and women [5].

The reason for pain in the front part of the joint is multifactorial. The direct determinants are mainly the injury and damage of the joint structures. However, when no injury is responsible for the damage, pain results mainly from an increase of the pressure of the joint surfaces in the patella and the femur, and, as a consequence, a premature abrasion of joint cartilage [6–10]. The patella is a sesamoid for the quadriceps femoris muscle. It increases the strength of its movement while the knee straightens up [11]. In this way, it carries significant forces, reaching up to 1/2 of the body mass while walking [12] and exceeding 7 times the body mass while crouching [13].

The Q angle determines the direction of the force movement of the quadriceps femoris in the knee joint. It lies between the line from the anterior superior iliac spine (ASIS) to the centre of the patella and the line from the centre of the patella to the tuberosity of tibia [14]. The value of the Q angle above 15°–20° is generally considered to cause malfunc-

tion of the extensor apparatus of the knee and patellofemo-
ral pain [15–21]. Some authors also point at an increased risk of occurrence of chondromalacia patellae [22–24], as well as the dislocation and subluxation of the patella [25–29].

The aim of the study was an ultrasonographic evaluation and comparison of articular cartilage of the femur condyles between the limbs in patients with an elevated Q angle.

Subjects and methods

Subjects

The total of 22 healthy women aged 35–45 years volunteered for the study. After Q angle measurement, they were qualified for testing (Q angle > 15°). Out of these, 13 women took part in the research (average age ± SD, 42 ± 3.7 years). The determined values (average ± SD) of height, weight, and BMI were, respectively, as follows: 1.66 ± 0.09 m, 56.77 ± 6.87 kg, 20.65 ± 3.1 kg/m². The analysis referred to both knee joints. The value (average ± SD) of the Q angle in the examined joints was 23.6 ± 3°. The criteria excluding from the research were: an injury in the area of the knee joint, surgical intervention, and pain affecting the joint for the previous 4 weeks.

The participants of the research were informed about its purpose and took part in it voluntarily.

Methods

A goniometer was used to measure the Q angle. The measurement was performed in the back lying position with a straightened knee joint and totally relaxed quadriceps femoris muscle. A washable marker was used to indicate the approximate points of placing the goniometer: the ASIS, the centre of the patella, and the tuberosity of tibia. The examined limb was placed perpendicularly to the surface of the bed on which the subject was lying (the line going through the centre of the heel and the second toe was perpendicular to the surface of the table). The measurement was carried out for both limbs. All measurements were performed by the same person.
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The thickness of the joint cartilage covering the lateral and medial condyle of the femur was measured with the use of an ultrasound scanner HONDA HS-2200 with a line probe HLS – 584M, 6.0 – 8.5 – 11.00 MHz (Honda, Japan). The knee joint was put into maximal flexion. The line probe was placed horizontally, right above the patella, perpen-dicularly to the surface of the condyles of femur. The thickness of the homogeneous and the low- or non-feature structure of the cartilage was measured on the transmitted image; the software of the ultrasound scanner was applied (Figure 1). The method is characterized by a good reproducibility in the measurement of the thickness of joint cartilage covering the lateral and medial condyle of the femur [30]. The measurement was carried for both limbs. All measurements were performed by the same person.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was carried out with the application of the Statistica 13.1 software. The Shapiro-Wilk test allowed to prove a normal data distribution. The variance test ANOVA for independent samples was used to evaluate the differences of the measured parameters. The Bonferroni test was applied for the multiple comparison. The diversification of the measured parameters was considered to be significant at the level of $p < 0.05$.
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Results

The thickness of joint cartilage of the surface of the medial femur condyle and lateral femur condyle, as well as the Q angle determined for the left and right knee joints are presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Lower limb (average ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left ($n = 13$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFC thickness [mm]</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFC thickness [mm]</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q angle [°]</td>
<td>23.6 ± 3.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LFC – lateral femur condyle, MFC – medial femur condyle

The undertaken analysis did not depict any statistically significant difference in the thickness of joint cartilage of the lateral femur condyle ($p = 0.83$) or medial femur condyle ($p = 0.12$) (Figure 2), or in the Q angle ($p = 1.00$) between the right and left limb in the examined women.

Discussion

The authors of other studies concerning the value of the Q angle in the knee joint most often delineate data for a single person [31, 32] or a group of people [33–36]. Measurement is sometimes limited only to the right limb [37, 38] or the limb...
affected by symptoms [39]. Hvid et al. [32] provide information about symmetrical occurrence of the Q angle in both limbs; 29 men and women with patella pain took part in the research, and no differences in the Q angle for the right and left limb for any examined person were found. Neither did Messier et al. [40] depict any statistically significant differences between the sides in their study involving a 16-person group. We suggest that data for both limbs should be gathered for scientific purposes, and the obtained results be interpreted not only in reference to group analyses, but in some cases individually as well.

Limitations

One of the limitations of the study is a small size of the group. In further research, the investigated group should be expanded. Secondly, most of the earlier studies on the Q angle date back to the 1980s and 1990s. Currently, there are no references to the results of the influence of the Q angle on the knee joint structure evaluated by imaging examinations. Thirdly, the Q angle and the position of the patella in the joint also depend on other factors, such as femoral anteversion, width of the pelvis, and other anthropometrical parameters. Lastly, the patella retinaculum, or tension of the knee ligaments. These factors must be taken into account in advancing the clinical implications of the results.

Conclusions

Changes in the thickness of joint cartilage covering the lateral and medial condyles occur symmetrically in both limbs among women aged 35–45 years with the Q angle >15°. No statistically significant differences in the value of the Q angle between the right and left side were depicted.
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