Digital body maps reinvent the way we perceive and discuss pain and discomfort
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Abstract
The revival of pain drawings as digital body maps for visualizing pain and discomfort changes the way we understand patients and communicate with them. Data stemming from simple drawings of musculoskeletal pain helped reveal the significance and impact of single versus multi-site pain reports. To achieve the next big step, we will need to go beyond pain site assessment and capture a more complete clinical picture of pain as it unfolds. Recent digital pain mapping studies show hidden patterns of pain expression within these marked pain sites. This mini-review reflects on the knowledge obtained from simple paper pain drawings, touches upon new digital technologies, and discusses how these technologies will allow us to reinvent the way we perceive and discuss pain.
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Introduction
The revival of pain drawings as digital body maps for visualizing pain [1–5] and discomfort changes the way we understand patients and communicate with them [1]. A review of the literature following the introduction of pain drawings suggests that little information about a patient’s condition can be extracted from the pain drawing alone. For many years, pain drawings were performed on simple body charts reflecting an androgynous outline of the human body or body parts, with little or no anatomical detail, as shown in Figure 1. Furthermore, the instructions on how to perform a pain drawing differed across studies. As an example, patients indicated the general location of their pain by marking an X, selecting a body region, drawing a circle, or shading an area. Sometimes scribbles or arrows were used to specify complex expressions or possibly radiating pain. Without a doubt, the integrity of these earlier drawings and simplicity of the body charts could create debatable interpretations. For that reason, researchers reduced a patient’s expression of their pain to results based on generalized location.

Fortunately, a number of research groups continued to acquire pain drawings and pain location information despite what may have been viewed as a limitation. Through incremental steps, researchers began to uncover important associations between the locations of pain and symptom severity, quality of life, and pain mechanisms. As a result, our knowledge about pain locations has shifted our understanding and changed the way we perceive and discuss pain. In the recent two decades, data stemming from simple methods of musculoskeletal pain drawing have helped to reveal the significance of single versus multi-site pain reports. Presently, digital pain mapping studies show that there may be hidden patterns of pain expression within these marked pain sites [5].

Insights revealed
The number of pain sites marked on a body correlates with disability severity [6], and an increase in the number of sites may serve as a predictor of functional deterioration. An earlier study by Coggon et al. [7] showed that extensive pain, involving six or more pain sites, was more strongly correlated with physical and psychosocial risk factors than that with three or less pain sites. These sites include low back, neck, right/left shoulder, right/left elbow, right/left wrist/hand, and right/left knee. However, co-location of these pain sites rather than their total number emerged as a most peculiar finding. Coggon et al. [7] observed that if additional pain sites were present, they were highly likely to be co-located.

Figure 1. (A) A traditional and simple outline of an androgynous body, often used in paper-based drawings, and (B) common reporting characteristics for completing pain drawings, e.g. marking with an X, circling a region, shading an area, or scribbling
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on the opposite side of the body, particularly for the knee, wrist/hand, and shoulders. In addition to this observation, individuals reporting one pain site were also likely to indicate pain at an adjacent location (on the same side of the body), especially in the case of the upper limb, neck, and shoulder regions.

A study conducted among individuals older than 55 years in the Polish population revealed that the number of pain sites might have a socio-economic impact. Kozak-Szkopek et al. [8] observed that those aged 65 years or older more frequently pointed at pain in low back and lower limbs, and these individuals also reported higher pain intensity and greater use of medical care. The question to be answered is how and why more pain sites occur. A prospective cohort study in the Norwegian population revealed that regardless of the number of pain sites assessed at baseline, symptoms of anxiety and/or depression, sleeping problems, and obesity may account for an increased number of pain sites assessed 11 years later [9]. An additional finding by Mundal et al. [9] was that a higher level of education (e.g. college or university) was associated with a reduction in the number of pain sites.

The phenomenon of multiple pain sites also overlaps with another concept: that pain may spread beyond the original site of injury. Conceivably, pain that spreads would support the notion of adjacent pain sites emerging as co-location pain sites. However, pain occurring on the opposite side of the body requires a deeper consideration of the mechanisms which may drive the emergence of additional pain sites. In order to establish a complete clinical picture of this phenomenon, we will need more than just a record of multiple pain sites. Instead, technologies capable of capturing the pattern of pain as it unfolds will allow us to discover new pain patterns and reinvent the way we perceive and discuss pain.

Moving forward with digital technologies

With the introduction of touch-screen technology, patient-reported pain drawings can now be acquired with digital applications or drawing platforms as displayed on smartphones and personal computer tablets. These digital applications serve as reliable equivalents to paper-based pain drawings [1, 2, 10], with added and foreseeable future advantages. In the same way, digital pain drawings provide information about the number of pain sites and pain location. Moreover, the amount of detail, as shown in Figure 2, and easier quantification of pain areas and patterns are more readily achieved with digital solutions. Additional benefits include the ability to contrast one or more pain drawings at different time points, to determine common features within or between patient populations [4, 5], and to map other qualities of pain or discomfort [11], such as burning or aching sensations. Indeed, other methods of digitizing pain drawings exist, such as scanning paper drawings and modifying pre-existing paint and drawing tools [4]. Granted, the digitization of pain, by way of pain drawings, has a foreseeable benefit of becoming a digital e-health tool within the clinic and a big data tool for clinical research.

Today, physiotherapists are confronted with increasingly complex patient histories and tighter consultation schedules. Patients with complex histories require considerably more time to communicate their symptoms. Simultaneously, physiotherapists are reliant on the patients’ description and accurate recall of their symptoms to understand and guide treatment. Thusly, there is a need for tools to assist clinical workflow, reduce operating expenses, and improve the quality of care. As an example, patient-completed body maps allow the physiotherapist to process information about the location and bodily distribution of pain and discomfort parallelly, rapidly, and automatically. Digital body maps therefore represent an innovative visualization tool that can facilitate communication between the patient and physiotherapist and boost the recognition and understanding of relatively complex health conditions.

Patient-reported pain drawings can be viewed as a digital body map reflecting the area, location, and co-location of multiple pain sites or the spreading of pain. In other words, digital body mapping captures the distribution of bodily pain and discomfort while simultaneously enabling automatic extraction of pain map metrics. It is tempting to speculate how much can be captured with digital body maps and to what extent the recognition and understanding of relatively complex conditions and syndromes are facilitated. Surely, pain drawings acquired daily, weekly, or monthly would help obtain insightful longitudinal data sets and identify symptom trajectories with more ease when reviewed in a clinical setting. Moreover, displaying the pain maps on innovative visualization platforms has a tremendous potential for clinicians...
and researchers. A presentation of longitudinal data sets, for example, stimulates our innate ability to visually process information in parallel about the location and distribution of pain and discomfort rapidly and automatically. At this point in time, researchers and clinicians alike can move beyond location-based metrics to re-displaying and extracting critical and clinically relevant features about a patient’s pain and discomfort as they unfold.
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