Effect of antenatal exercise on mode of delivery in gestational diabetic females: a single-blind randomized controlled trial
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Abstract

Introduction. Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is common in pregnancy. Maternal consequences might include an increased rate of caesarean delivery. This study was conducted to determine the effect of an exercise program on the mode of delivery in gestational diabetic females.

Methods. A prospective, randomized, single-blind, pre-post-test, controlled trial was performed. Overall, 60 pregnant females with GDM were included, at their 20–24 weeks of gestation, aged 25–35 years, with body mass index not exceeding 40 kg/m². The participants were randomly assigned into 2 equal groups: group A, undergoing an exercise program with a moderately restricted diet and insulin therapy, and group B (control group), receiving solely the same diet protocol with insulin therapy.

Results. The chi-square test revealed significant differences between the groups in the mode of delivery ($p < 0.05$), with a significant decrease in caesarean deliveries in group A. Group A showed a statistically significant difference in neonates’ Apgar scores at the 1st and 5th minute of life ($p < 0.05$) compared with the participants in group B.

Conclusions. It can be concluded that antenatal exercises can be considered effective in decreasing labour complications and shifting the mode of delivery towards normal, complication-free delivery in females with GDM and their offspring.
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Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is one of the most common medical complications in pregnancy. Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is defined as carbohydrate intolerance of variable severity with onset or first recognition during pregnancy [1, 2]. GDM affects 3–9% of pregnancies, depending on the population studied. It is especially common during the last 3 months of pregnancy [3]. It is associated with adverse outcomes not only for the mother, but also for the child, whether as a foetus, a neonate, a child, or an adult. Maternal consequences include an increased rate of operative and caesarean delivery, hypertensive disorders during pregnancy, and future risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus, as well as other aspects of metabolic syndrome, such as obesity, cardiovascular morbidities, and recurrent GDM [4, 5]. Also, there are maternal implications secondary to a delivery of a macrosomic foetus, such as an increased rate of caesarean delivery, postpartum haemorrhage, birth trauma, and shoulder dystocia [6–8]. It is widely assumed that caesarean delivery results in higher rates of maternal morbidity and mortality compared with vaginal delivery. Additional evidence exists for a 2–4-fold greater risk of maternal death in women who delivered by caesarean delivery compared with vaginal delivery [9]. In the foetus or neonate, the disorder is associated with higher rates of perinatal mortality, macroomia, birth trauma, hyperbilirubinemia, and neonatal hypoglycaemia [10, 11]. In general, screening and diagnostic tests are performed between 24 and 28 weeks because it is at this point in gestation that the diabetogenic effect of pregnancy is usually manifested [12]. GDM is treated with a diabetic diet, exercise, and possibly insulin injections [3]. Researches have shown that the most physically active women have the lowest prevalence of GDM [13]. The American Diabetes Association and the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics both recommended that lifestyle management including physical activity should be the first choice in the treatment of GDM [14]. Some authors concluded a 1/3 reduction in comorbidities associated with GDM, particularly the risk of acute or elective caesarean delivery [15]. Others reported a reduction in complications associated with pregnancy in GDM women [16]. Despite these conclusions and recommendations, relatively few are studies examining the effect of regular exercise with dietary intervention in the management of GDM and/or in preventing complications associated with GDM. Therefore, the aim of the current study was to determine the effect of a selected exercise program on the delivery mode in GDM women.

Subjects and methods

Design

The study was designed as a controlled trial that compared 2 groups: group A included participants who performed the
exercise program starting from 24 weeks of gestation till the date of delivery, with a moderately restricted diet, typically with 2000–2500 kcal, and insulin therapy [17], while group B involved control participants, who received solely the same diet as group A with insulin therapy. Anonymity was assured through coding of all data. The women were randomly assigned into 2 groups by a blinded and an independent research assistant, who opened sealed envelopes that contained a computer-generated randomization card. No subjects dropped out of the study after randomization.

Sampling

During the 2-year recruitment period from May 2015 to May 2017, 60 pregnant females diagnosed with GDM at their 20–24 weeks of gestation were recruited from the Outpatient Clinic of Bab El-Sharia Hospital, Cairo, Egypt. Their age ranged between 25 and 35 years and their body mass index (BMI) did not exceed 40 kg/m². Females diagnosed with vascular complications, unstable diabetes mellitus, peripheral neuropathy, autonomic dysfunction, nephropathy or retinopathy, twins, placenta praevia, foetal anomalies, intrauterine growth retardation, as well as with a previous history of preterm labour, repeated abortions, antepartum haemorrhage, or pre-eclampsia were excluded from the study.

Procedure

The participants were randomly assigned into 2 groups equal in number; each group included 30 pregnant women on the basis of a block-style randomization scheme [18]. Additional screening for specific inclusion and exclusion criteria and demographic data was taken, including age and BMI. A full assessment of history was performed for each patient in both groups prior to the start of the study in accordance with the items of the data recording sheet. The 3-hour oral glucose tolerance test was conducted for both groups before starting the treatment and at 37 weeks of gestation. All exercise sessions were held by the same physiotherapist. The exercise program parameters were in concordance with the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) guidelines for exercise during pregnancy for sedentary women and with the FITT principle (frequency, intensity, time, and type). The exercise undertaken was characterized by a frequency of minimum 3 times per week, intensity set at moderately hard perceived exertion, time of 60 minutes per day, and type of low impact. These exercise guidelines were utilized for both aerobic and strength exercises for 12 weeks [19]. Moderate-intensity physical activities referred to activities that required moderate physical effort, which made the pregnant women’s breath slightly harder and their heart beat a little faster than normal.

Group A participants performed the exercise 3–4 times per week, starting the active phase of aerobic training with 15 minutes at a target heart rate intensity and increasing the time gradually to a maximum of 30 minutes per exercise session. Each aerobic activity was proceeded by a 10–15-minute warm-up and followed by a 10–15-minute cool-down. The exercise intensity was monitored with the use of target heart rate zones, the Borg scale (rating of perceived exertion), or the ‘talk test’ [20]. Heart rate zones provided in the ACOG guideline corresponded to moderate-intensity exercise (i.e. 60–80% of maximal aerobic capacity, \( V_{O2\text{max}} \)). The type of aerobic exercise was walking on a treadmill to train large muscle groups. Next, circuit resistance training (CRT) exercises were performed with 2 circuits, each of 10 repetitions (with a 2-minute rest between each circuit), with the use of the green colour band (green colour: 1.36 kg, during the first 4 weeks of the training program) and then the blue colour band (blue colour: 1.8 kg, until the end of 37 weeks gestation), with elastic resistance performed at 100% elongation for 15 minutes. The main muscle groups exercised were chest, deltoid, quadriceps, and calf muscles. CRT was performed from a sitting position; one end of the band was fixed beneath the feet, held for 5 seconds, and then released. The exercises included chest push, shoulder flexion, shoulder abduction, knee extension, and ankle planter flexion exercise [21]. The subjects were taught to monitor their own heart rate during exercise to ensure that it did not rise above 140 beats/min. The pregnant women were advised to immediately stop exercising if they exhibited symptoms such as dizziness, dyspnoea, amniotic fluid leaking, or vaginal bleeding [22]. Moreover, group A participants wore a loose-fitting clothing and kept hydrated while exercising in an environment with appropriate temperature and humidity [23]. All exercise sessions were recorded in a log book.

Outcome measures

Mode of delivery

It involved normal vaginal delivery, instrumental vaginal delivery that required the use of special devices such as forceps or a vacuum extractor to deliver the foetus vaginally, and caesarean section.

Apgar score

The Apgar score was applied to assess the neonates’ condition during the critical 1st and 5th minutes of life in both groups, A and B. The Apgar score was determined by evaluating the newborn on 5 simple criteria, referred to as appearance, pulse, grimace, activity, and respiration to aid mnemonic learning. The resulting Apgar score ranged from 0 to 10. Scores below 3 were generally considered critically low, 4–6 as fairly low, and higher than 7 as generally normal [24].

Data analysis

All statistical procedures were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software, version 23 for Windows. The test involved two independent variables. The first one was the tested group, a between-subject factor which had 2 levels (group A receiving exercise, diet, and insulin therapy, while group B only receiving diet and insulin therapy). The second variable was the neonates’ Apgar score (measuring periods), a within-subject factor which had 2 levels (after 1 minute and after 5 minutes). Additionally, the test involved one dependent variable: the neonates’ Apgar score between groups. Preliminary assumption checking revealed that data were normally distributed, as assessed by a normal Q-Q plot. The examination of studentized residuals for values greater than ± 3 proved that there were no outliers. Homogeneity of variances (\( p > 0.05 \)) and covariances (\( p > 0.05 \)) was observed in Levene’s test of homogeneity of variances and Box’s M test, respectively. All these findings allowed the researchers to conduct parametric analysis. So, 2 × 2 mixed design ANOVA was used to compare the tested variables of interest in different tested groups and measuring periods. The alpha level was set at 0.05.
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Results
There were no statistically significant differences \((p > 0.05)\) between the subjects in both groups concerning age, BMI, or gestational age. However, the chi-square test revealed significant differences between the groups in the mode of delivery distribution \((p < 0.05)\) (Table 1).

The statistical analysis with mixed design ANOVA analysed 60 patients assigned into 2 equal groups. It revealed a significant within-subject effect \((F = 306.82, p = 0.0001)\) and between-subject effect \((F = 50.129, p = 0.0001)\). However, there was no significant treatment*time effect \((F = 0.58, p = 0.449)\). Table 2 presents the descriptive statistics (mean ± SD) and multiple pairwise comparison tests (post-hoc tests) of the dependent variable. In the same context regarding the within-subject effect, the multiple pairwise comparison tests revealed that there was a significant increase \((p < 0.05)\) in the Apgar score after 5 minutes compared with that after 1 minute in the neonates of both groups. As for the between-subject effects, multiple pairwise comparisons showed a significant increase in favour of group A as compared with group B at 1 minute and 5 minutes \((p < 0.05)\).

Discussion
A significant number of pregnant women are affected by GDM every year. Its growing prevalence and established relationship to numerous complications and disorders during and after pregnancy demand identification of factors that can prevent the incidence and influence its course. Therefore, this study was conducted to determine the effect of a specialized antenatal exercise program on the mode of delivery and neonatal condition among 60 females suffering from GDM.

The results revealed a statistically significant difference in the mode of delivery distribution, with a significant decrease in the number of caesarean deliveries in the study group compared with the control group. Also, there was a statistically significant increase in the neonates’ Apgar scores at the critical 1st and 5th minutes after delivery, which favoured the neo-

| Table 1. Demographic characteristics of both groups |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                  | Group A         | Group B         | Comparison      |                  |
| **Age (years)**                 | 28.23 ± 2.87    | 29 ± 3.07       | 0.112           | 0.326           |
| **BMI (kg/m²)**                 | 33.01 ± 1.73    | 33.83 ± 1.88    | 0.54            | 0.085           |
| **Gestational age (weeks)**     | 21.33 ± 1.49    | 21.33 ± 1.49    | 0.00            | 1.00            |
| **Mode of delivery distribution, n (%)** |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Caesarean delivery              | 5 (16.6%)       | 19 (63.4%)      | 14.105          | 0.001           |
| Normal delivery                 | 19 (63.4%)      | 7 (23.4%)       |                  |                  |
| Operative vaginal delivery      | 6 (20%)         | 4 (13.4%)       |                  |                  |

SD – standard deviation, BMI – body mass index, S – significance, NS – non-significant

| Table 2. Descriptive statistics and 2 × 2 mixed design ANOVA for Apgar score at different measuring periods in both groups |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                  | Group A         | Group B         | Mean difference | 95% CI          |
| **Apgar score**                 | **Mean ± SD**   | **Mean ± SD**   | **Mean difference** | **95% CI**      |
| At 1st minute                   | 7.23 ± 0.43     | 6.43 ± 0.5      | 0.8             | (0.558–1.042)   |
| At 5th minute                   | 8.7 ± 0.46      | 8.03 ± 0.68     | 0.66            | (0.369–0.965)   |
| Mean difference                 | –1.46           | –1.6            |                 |                 |
| 95% CI                          | (from –1.714 to –1.219) | (from –1.848 to –1.352) |               |                 |

Multiple pairwise comparisons between Apgar score values after 1 minute and after 5 minutes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st minute vs. 5th minute</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th><strong>p-value</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multiple pairwise comparison tests (post-hoc tests) for the Apgar score between both groups at different measuring periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>At 1st minute</th>
<th>At 5th minute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A vs. group B</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* significant at alpha level < 0.05
SD – standard deviation, 95% CI – 95% confidence interval
nates of group A. These observations are in agreement with other reports stating that physical exercise is highly recommended to the broad population before and during pregnancy, and to women suffering from or at risk for GDM [25]. Women suffering from diabetes during pregnancy are more likely to develop hypertensive disorders and pre-eclampsia and have a higher risk of an induction of labour or caesarean section delivery [26]. Our findings are also supported by those who presented a 1/3 reduction in the risk of undergoing acute or elective caesarean delivery among women who developed GDM and conformed to a regular moderate-intensity antenatal exercise program [15].

GDM is associated with an elevated risk for delivering a large-for-gestational-age or macrosomic infant. As a consequence of their size, the offspring of GDM mothers are more likely to suffer from significant birth trauma, such as shoulder dystocia, perinatal asphyxia, bone fractures, and nerve palsy. High foetal birth weight has also been claimed to impose additional risks of caesarean section and cephalopelvic disproportion [27].

Our findings are also supported by Lawani et al. [28], who reported a strong positive effect of adding aerobic and resistance antenatal exercises to the classical antenatal exercise programs on health behaviours and a vaginal low-risk birth in females diagnosed with GDM. Antenatal exercise is a non-pharmacological childbirth preparation method. It is considered both a physical and a psychological training method in accordance with the natural mechanisms of childbirth. Antenatal exercises led to a lower rate of prolonged first stage of labour compared with women who received no training and also resulted in fewer delivery complications as poor muscle tone may cause incontinence, unusual pain during birth, prolonged first and second stages of labour. Regular exercise in the third trimester was associated with lower incidence of high foetal birth weight [29].

However, the results of our study are not in line with several others reporting no effects of exercise or lifestyle (combining diet and exercise) interventions during pregnancy on Apgar score or head circumference [30–32]. In a randomized controlled trial of 105 women, higher mean Apgar scores were observed at the 1st minute, but not at the 5th minute, among newborns of women allocated to training [30]. This was observed in a pre-protocol analysis and not in an intention-to-treat analysis, and the Apgar score at the 5th minute is considered a better sign of newborn wellbeing than that at the 1st minute [33, 34].

The results of our study provide grounds for provision of advice as well as organizing exercise training groups for females diagnosed with GDM and emphasizing the importance of a healthy diet and being physically active to gain the recommended weight during pregnancy and after giving birth and to prevent pregnancy and delivery complications in resource-limited countries.

Limitations

Despite the design of the present study (a randomized controlled clinical trial), the small sample size recruited could be its potential limitation. On the basis of sample size estimation with the power of the study 1–B = 80% to detect the effect size of d = 0.5 with a significance level of < 0.05, 50 participants were needed for each group. At present, it is not possible to study the effect of each antenatal exercise on common risks associated with GDM.

Conclusions

It can be concluded that maintaining a physically active lifestyle throughout pregnancy protects patients against GDM complications. Many scientific and professional reports stress the importance of exercise as an adjunct therapy for GDM women. Antenatal exercises are proven to be effective in decreasing labour complications and shifting the mode of delivery towards normal, complication-free delivery in females diagnosed with GDM and their offspring.
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