Comparative effect of constraint-induced movement therapy and proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation on upper limb function of chronic stroke survivors
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Abstract
Introduction. Stroke is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality globally. The aim of this study was to compare the effect of constraint-induced movement therapy (CiMT) and proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation (PNF) on upper limb function of chronic stroke survivors.

Methods. Overall, 30 stroke survivors were recruited via convenient sampling and consecutively assigned into 2 groups (15 participants each). Group A received CiMT while group B received PNF techniques. All treatments were administered on the affected upper limb, 3 times a week for 6 weeks. Fugl-Meyer assessment (FMA) scale was used to evaluate upper limb function. Descriptive statistics served to summarize the demographic characteristics of the participants and inferential statistics of t-test was used to determine the effect of the interventions within the groups and between the groups on the basis of FMA. All statistical analysis was performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (version 16.0) at the alpha level of 0.05.

Results. The participants’ mean age was 59.53 ± 9.92 and 63.00 ± 7.27 years for group A and B, respectively. No significant baseline difference was observed between the groups in the upper limb FMA (p > 0.05). A statistically significant post-intervention effect was noted for both treatment approaches; however, when the groups were compared, CiMT resulted in a better improvement than PNF.

Conclusions. CiMT and PNF interventions are both beneficial in improving upper limb function, with CiMT being more advantageous. CiMT may be the preferred approach for the management of chronic upper limb post-stroke impairments.
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Introduction

Stroke accounts for about 1.2% of hospital and 7.3% of medical wards admissions in Nigeria [1]. There is an increasing burden of stroke to the health care delivery system in Africa [2, 3]. Stroke is among the causes of high mortality, leading to death in about 1/3 of stroke admissions within 24 hours and more than 1/3 within 30 days in Nigeria [1, 4]. Among stroke survivors, a significant proportion ranging from 1/4 to 1/2 of the survivors present with some level of disability [5].

Recovery after stroke revolves around the severity of the initial impairments of motor, sensory, and cognitive functions [6]. Therefore, stroke rehabilitation is critical in shaping the functions and activities of the survivors [7]. It is focused on utilizing the principle of motor learning and neuromasticity [8]. There have been several rehabilitation approaches for the management of post-stroke impairments [8]. These include, among others, aerobic exercises [9], Bobath approach, proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation (PNF) [10], constraint-induced movement therapy (CiMT) [11], and mobilization and stimulation of neuromuscular tissue [12]. However, the choice of the appropriate method at a specified stage of recovery varies among practitioners [13]. CiMT is a neurological rehabilitation technique that can be applied at both acute and chronic stages of stroke [14–16] and different levels of impairments [17]. It can be administered in hospital and home settings [17, 18]. Studies on upper limb post-stroke recovery showed a significant improvement of motor functions in favour of CiMT as compared with other rehabilitation approaches [19, 20]. In addition, CiMT is relatively inexpensive [21] and hence readily available for practice in low- and medium-income countries.

PNF is frequently used as a method to treat physical dysfunction resulting from damage or disease [22]. Studies conducted on stroke survivors have shown that PNF is effective through minimizing impairments and improving functions of limbs [23, 24] and trunk [25]. PNF techniques improve joint movement efficiency by inducing changes in the sequence of muscles that are activated [26]. This is mediated by changes in the excitation of the cortical motor area and the corresponding motoneurons [26]. Despite the benefits of PNF in stroke recovery, there are few certified International Pro- prioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation Association (IPNFA) physiotherapists in Nigeria. This is due to lack of IPNFA training centres in Africa, placing a huge cost of travelling to other continents to acquire such knowledge.

Research to compare the effect of CiMT and PNF approaches have been conducted; however, most of these studies administered PNF in combination with other approaches. Furthermore, the duration of intervention in the studies was in favour of CiMT groups compared with PNF [27–31]. Therefore, this study is aimed to determine the comparative effect of CiMT and PNF on upper limb recovery.
Subjects and methods

The participants in this quasi-experimental study were consecutively recruited and randomly assigned into 2 groups. The populations for the study were chronic hemiplegic stroke patients attending Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital and Muhammad Abdullahi Wase Specialist Hospital. Chronic stroke patients with mild spasticity (score of 2 or less on Modified Ashworth scale), good cognitive function (score of 20 more in Mini-Mental State Examination), as well as available range of motion of about 10° and 20° or more of fingers and wrist extension respectively (measured with a goniometer) were included. Participants who had had more than 1 episode of stroke or recent occurrence or cognitive impairment were excluded from the study.

Instruments

The upper extremity section of the Fugl-Meyer assessment (FMA) scale was used to measure the pre- and post-intervention recovery level. The FMA scale is a 226-point multi-item Likert-type scale developed as a measure to evaluate recovery from hemiplegic stroke. It is divided into 5 domains: motor function, sensory function, balance, joint range of motion, and joint [32]. FMA is a reliable and valid scale for recovery evaluation after stroke [33, 34].

Modified Ashworth scale was also applied. It is a 6-point scale, with scores ranging from 0 to 4, where lower scores represent normal muscle tone and higher scores stand for spasticity or increased resistance to passive movement [35]. Modified Ashworth scale is a reliable scale for the assessment of post-stroke elbow flexor spasticity [34, 36].

Procedure

All patients were informed about the purpose and procedure of the study, as well as the right to refuse to take part or quit from the study at any moment. A total of 43 patients consented to participate in the study but only 30 met the inclusion criteria and were recruited (Figure 1). The participants were recruited consecutively and randomly assigned into 2 groups: A and B (CiMT and PNF group, respectively), each of 15 subjects. The assessments were conducted at baseline by a rater and at 6th week of intervention by another rater. The raters were trained physiotherapists not involved in the administration of the study interventions and not aware of the group a participant belonged.

Interventions

Group A received CiMT for 45 minutes, with the unaffected upper limb restricted by using triangular bandage, by a trained and experienced physiotherapist. The intervention was conducted 3 times a week for a period of 6 weeks. CiMT was administered in a sitting position, with the hand on the table performing context-specific tasks, which included the use of spoons, cups, and combs repeatedly. The tasks were broken down into smaller components, starting with grasping the objects, and progressed until the task was completed. The exercises were performed at home while sitting, such as the ones practised in the hospital.

Group B received PNF intervention administered for 45 minutes to the upper limb 3 times a week for a period of 6 weeks. Context-specific tasks in this group were performed in the first diagonal (D1) of the upper limb (extension and flexion directions) through combined upper limb patterns (chopping and lifting). The techniques administered included rhythmic initiation, combination of isotonic techniques, normal timing, and timing for emphasis at wrist and elbow. The exercises were performed at home while sitting and lying, such as the ones practised in the hospital. The interventions were performed by a trained physiotherapist with an IPNFA certificate, who had practised for at least 5 years.

Data analysis

Descriptive statistics of mean, standard deviation, frequencies, and percentages were used to summarize the de-
mographic characteristics of the participants. Paired t-test was used to compare baseline data with post-intervention scores of upper limb function within the groups and unpaired t-test served to compare the post-intervention effects between the groups. The statistical analysis was conducted with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 16.0; the p value of 0.05 was applied to determine statistical significance.

Ethical approval
The research related to human use has complied with all the relevant national regulations and institutional policies, has followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, and has been approved by the ethical committees of Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital and Muhammad Abdullahi Wase Specialist Hospital.

Informed consent
Informed consent has been obtained from all individuals included in this study.

Results
A total of 30 participants with chronic stroke (mean duration: 32.87 ± 5.54 weeks) completed the study. The mean age of participants was 59.53 ± 9.92 years in group A and 63.00 ± 7.27 years in group B. There was an equal distribution of males and females with right hemiparesis as the most frequently occurring (60%) in the study. The results showed that there was no significant difference in FMA scores between the 2 groups, which means that the groups were comparable, as presented in Table 1.

The study revealed a significant improvement in the upper limb function on the basis of pre- and post-intervention FMA scores within the CiMT group. On the same vein, a significant improvement was reported in the upper limb function on the basis of pre- and post-intervention FMA scores within the PNF group, as shown in Table 2.

Furthermore, a significant post-intervention difference was shown between the 2 groups, with a better improvement in the CiMT group, as shown in Table 3. When the upper limb function was compared across genders, there was no significant difference in the groups, as presented in Table 4.

Discussion
This study compared the effectiveness of PNF and CiMT in upper limb post-stroke recovery. The observation that no significant difference existed in the baseline scores of upper limb function implies that the participants in the 2 groups were comparable (Table 1). Therefore, any difference in upper limb post-intervention function can be attributed to the effect of the intervention in the groups.

The study revealed a significant post-intervention improvement in upper limb function in the PNF group (Table 2). The clinical implication of this finding is that upper limb treatment with PNF after stroke can lead to significant recovery of the ability to properly use the affected limb. This is line with the finding of De Moraes et al. [37], who observed a significant improvement of upper limb function in stroke survivors after 6 weeks of PNF intervention.

Similarly, the results of this study showed a significant post-intervention improvement in upper limb function in the CiMT group (Table 2). This implies that CiMT is an intervention that can significantly improve the function of the affected upper limb in stroke patients. The outcome corroborates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>CiMT group (n = 15) (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>PNF group (n = 15) (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>59.53 ± 9.92</td>
<td>63.00 ± 7.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (50.7)</td>
<td>7 (49.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (49.3)</td>
<td>8 (50.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right hemiparesis n (%)</td>
<td>8 (53.33)</td>
<td>10 (66.67)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left hemiparesis n (%)</td>
<td>7 (46.67)</td>
<td>5 (33.33)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (weeks)</td>
<td>31.60 ± 5.65</td>
<td>34.13 ± 5.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMA score</td>
<td>36.80 ± 7.62</td>
<td>34.40 ± 9.760</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.459</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIMT – constraint-induced movement therapy
PNF – proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation
SD – standard deviation, df – degree of freedom
FMA – Fugl-Meyer assessment
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The study revealed a significant improvement in the upper limb post-intervention recovery in the study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIMT</td>
<td>47.6 ± 7.51</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>−2.7</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNF</td>
<td>37.1 ± 9.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD – standard deviation, df – degree of freedom
CIMT – constraint-induced movement therapy
PNF – proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation

Table 4. Comparison of upper limb post-intervention recovery between the groups across genders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIMT</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38.0 ± 11.03</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36.14 ± 8.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNF</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46.5 ± 5.95</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>−0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>49.0 ± 9.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD – standard deviation, df – degree of freedom
CIMT – constraint-induced movement therapy
PNF – proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation
a study by Sethy et al. [38], in which better recovery was observed in upper limb function after CIMT in chronic stroke patients.

In this study, the participants in the CIMT group had significantly better scores of upper limb function than those in the PNF group (Table 3). The finding is supported by that of Rehman et al. [29], who observed a better post-intervention performance in the CIMT group when compared with Bobath approach. The difference in outcome between the CIMT and PNF groups after the intervention can be associated with the fact that PNF requires special handling by a physiotherapist, and a correct application of the technique by the participants at home may be minimal. Nevertheless, limb function recovery is not dependent only on rehabilitation intensity but also on other factors, such as socioeconomic status and type of stroke [39], which this study has not taken into consideration.

On the other hand, the results of this study have shown no significant difference in recovery in terms of gender (Table 4). This contradicts the findings by Yu et al. [40], who observed better recovery in males than in females. Alawieh et al. [39] also stated that females usually presented lower pre-stroke physical functioning than males. The contradiction with the previous studies may be a result of the difference in the characteristics of the participants. Our subjects were relatively younger than those in the study by Yu et al. [40] and as such would have functioned better, which could equate females’ results with those of males.

The study provided information on the effectiveness of 2 interventions on upper limb post-stroke function. CIMT turned out to result in a more favourable outcome on stroke in the Nigerian subjects. The choice of intervention between PNF and CIMT will be at the discretion of the clinicians, especially when the opportunity to fund and access PNF training is not readily available.

Limitations

The study limitations include lack of a control group and the inability to assess long-term effects for retention. Another limitation is that the affected part of the brain was not evaluated with radiological investigations.

Conclusions

The study revealed that both PNF and CIMT were effective in the management of upper limb post-stroke function; however, CIMT might be the preferred technique for upper limb function recovery. Furthermore, no gender-related difference was observed in upper limb function post-intervention outcome among chronic stroke survivors. Further studies should be conducted to determine the long-term effect of the interventions.
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