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ABSTRACT
Purpose. The New Lifestyles NL-1000 pedometer is a suitable device for cost-efficient assessment and promotion of physical activity owing to low cost and accuracy. This study examined if step rate as determined by the NL-1000 pedometer predicted the rate of oxygen uptake (VO₂) across different activity types in young healthy adults and evaluated the accuracy of such prediction. It was also investigated if height, body mass index (BMI), and sex contributed to the prediction.

Methods. The study involved 36 healthy young adults (21 ± 4 years; 16 women). The participants completed 8 activities, each lasting 6 minutes: (a) sitting; (b) slow walking; (c) fast walking; (d) jogging; (e) moving a box; (f) washing dishes; (g) ascending-descending stairs; and (h) vacuuming. We measured VO₂ with a portable open-circuit spirometer and step rate with the NL-1000 pedometer worn on the non-dominant hip. We used multi-level regression to predict VO₂ and determined the absolute percent error of the VO₂ prediction model with the leave-one-participant-out cross-validation procedure.

Results. Significant predictors of VO₂ were step rate and its square (p < 0.001; R² = 0.72), but not height, BMI, or sex. Absolute error across all activities combined was 29.7 ± 27.6%. Absolute error differed between activities (p < 0.001).

Conclusions. Pedometer-determined step rate and its square were significant predictors of VO₂ across different activities in healthy young adults. Height, BMI, or sex did not contribute to VO₂ prediction. Accuracy of prediction across activities was low to moderate.
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Introduction
Physical activity (PA) has numerous health benefits and can prevent cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, some cancers, and all-cause mortality [1]. To obtain health benefits, adults should participate in at least 150 min ∙ wk⁻¹ of moderate-intensity PA or 75 min ∙ wk⁻¹ of vigorous intensity aerobic PA, or an equivalent combination of moderate and vigorous-intensity PA [2]. However, only 21% of adults in the United States meet the recommended level of PA [3]. The data show a need for effective and feasible PA promotion.

For effective PA promotion, we need an easy and accurate device of quantifying PA levels. PA intensity can be assessed by measuring the rate of oxygen uptake (VO₂) – an index of energy expenditure. VO₂ can be directly evaluated with open-circuit spirometry; this method, however, is expensive and cumbersome. Therefore, researchers and practitioners utilize indirect methods for estimating PA intensity. Pedometers provide a simpler and inexpensive objective approach to PA assessment, as well as immediate feedback to individual users [4]. They can also be applied as motivational tools for encouraging people to participate in PA [5].

Pedometers measure steps and allow determination of step rate (steps ∙ min⁻¹), which is a known determinant of VO₂ during locomotion [6]. Consequently, researchers have used pedometer-determined step rate for estimating VO₂ and have developed step-rate thresholds for PA intensity [7–10]. To this end, the step rate of 100 steps ∙ min⁻¹ has been identified as a threshold for moderate-to-vigorous PA or 3 METs (1 MET is the average resting VO₂ or 3.5 ml ∙ kg⁻¹ ∙ min⁻¹) [7–9]. Other researchers, however, have shown that the thresh-
old varies as a function of leg length or height [11, 12]. This is logical because height and leg length are associated with step length, which is another determinant of VO2 during locomotion [6]. Although height is a determinant of VO2, whether height contributes to VO2 prediction from step rate across locomotor and non-locomotor activities has not been examined and more research is needed. As step rate is primarily relevant for locomotion, most previous pedometer studies have focused on locomotion activities [12–14]. However, daily PA is not limited to locomotion, and there is a need to examine how accurate pedometer output is in predicting VO2 during other activities of daily living. Finally, it has also been demonstrated that sex and body mass index (BMI) are associated with VO2 [15]; thus, research is necessary to establish the extent to which easily determined factors can improve the prediction of VO2 from pedometer output.

Furthermore, the accuracy of predicting energy cost varies with pedometer type, and the developed equations depend on the activities [15–17]. Therefore, there is a need for more research in examining the extent to which output from other pedometers can be utilized in estimating the VO2, and PA intensity, during different activities of daily living. An electronic pedometer that has been recommended for use in research and PA promotion is the New Lifestyles NL-1000 pedometer [18, 19]. The NL-1000 pedometer has acceptable accuracy in measuring steps not only in laboratory but also in free-living conditions [20]. The NL-1000 has the same piezo-electric mechanism with the NL-2000, which is known as an accurate pedometer [21], but it is cheaper than the NL-2000. The NL-1000 pedometer might be suitable for cost-efficient assessment and promotion of PA owing to its low cost and accuracy. However, the ability of this pedometer to predict VO2 during different activities has not been examined.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to examine whether step rate as determined with the NL-1000 pedometer, height, sex, and BMI predict VO2 across different types of activities in healthy young adults and to evaluate the accuracy of such a prediction model. We hypothesized that step rate would be a significant predictor of VO2 and that there would be differences in VO2 predictability among the different activities.

**Material and methods**

**Participants**

Overall, 36 healthy adults (16 women and 20 men; age: 21 ± 4 years) participated in this study. Their anthropometric variables were as follows: height: 170.7 ± 9.8 cm; weight: 69.3 ± 13.3 kg; and BMI: 23.6 ± 3.1 kg/m2. We recruited the participants from the university and the surrounding communities. They were included in the study if they were adults aged 18–45 years, without known cardiovascular, pulmonary, neuromuscular, or orthopaedic problems, and without mobility difficulties – this information was obtained with a health history questionnaire.

**Procedures**

The subjects attended a data collection session and their anthropometric variables were obtained, and steps and VO2 were measured at rest and during a set of tasks. They had refrained from food and caffeine for 3 hours, and from exercise for 24 hours prior to the session. At the beginning of the session, we measured height in cm with a portable stadiometer (213, Seca, USA) and weight in kg with a scale (813, Seca, USA), and we determined BMI in kg · m–2. We then fitted the participants with the data collection equipment described below and had them sit quietly for 10 min to ensure that they adequately rested from any possible activity level prior to coming to the laboratory.

Thereafter, we collected data during sitting, 3 locomotion activities, and 4 other activities of daily living. The sitting period and each activity trial lasted 6 min. Sitting was always conducted first. The order of the locomotion activities and other activities of daily living was randomized. Half of the participants completed the locomotion activities first, and the other half carried out the other activities of daily living first.

Locomotion activities were performed on a treadmill (Woodway Pro, Waukesha, USA) and included: walking at 1.12 m · s–1 (2.5 mph) with 0% grade; walking at 1.57 m · s–1 (3.5 mph) with 5% grade; and jogging at 2.24 m · s–1 (5 mph) with 0% grade. Other activities of daily living included: washing dishes; vacuuming a carpeted floor area of 7.6 m2 covered with shredded paper; moving a box weighing 5 kg between 2 carts approximately 7 m away; and ascending and descending a 20-step staircase with steps 20.3 cm in height. These activities were selected in an attempt to include a sample of locomotor, household, and occupational activities of various intensities on the basis of past research [16, 22]. We asked the participants to perform these other activities of daily living at their preferred pace for 6 min each. The subjects rested while sitting for 6 min between the activity trials to allow VO2 to reach resting levels, thus eliminating any possible carry-over effects on energy expenditure.
We measured relative gross VO₂ in ml ∙ kg⁻¹ ∙ min⁻¹ using a breath-by-breath portable metabolic system (K4b², Cosmed, Chicago, USA). We calibrated this system 1 hour prior to each data collection session following the procedure specified by the manufacturer. VO₂ was determined as the average over the last 3 min of each activity. We measured the participants’ weight in kg while wearing shoes and all equipment for determining VO₂. The subjects also wore the NL-1000 pedometer (New Lifestyles, Inc., Lee’s Summit, USA) on their non-dominant hip with a waist-strap. We recorded the steps during each 6-min trial and divided the total steps by 6 min to determine the step rate in steps ∙ min⁻¹. We used step rate because this variable has a known relationship with VO₂ during locomotion and has been recommended as one that can classify PA intensity [9, 23].

Statistical analysis

To develop an equation predicting VO₂, we used multi-level modelling because, unlike simple regression, this type of regression accounts for the nesting of multiple observations within each participant [24]. Possible predictors (fixed effects) included step rate, step rate square, height, BMI, and sex. Step rate square was considered because, upon visual inspection of the data, the relationship between VO₂ and step rate appeared curvilinear. Potential random effects were the intercepts and slopes of the VO₂ to step rate relationship across participants. We developed the model gradually, starting with step rate, and then tested additional factors. We evaluated the inclusion of fixed and random effects to the model by the difference in –2 log-likelihood between models against a χ² distribution with one degree of freedom.

We then attempted to cross-validate the regression model with the leave-one-participant-out approach [25]. Specifically, we ran the multi-level regression model on the data from all participants except one (the left-out participant). We then used the resulting regression coefficients to predict the VO₂ data points for the left-out participant. We conducted this procedure 36 times, until the data from all 36 subjects were used for cross-validation. Thus, we had the actual VO₂ and the estimated VO₂ across tasks for each of the 36 left-out participants.

We statistically tested differences between actual and estimated VO₂ across activities using mixed-model (method by task) within-subject analysis of variance (ANOVA). We applied the Greenhouse-Geisser adjustment when compound symmetry was violated on the basis of Mauchly’s test and, in the presence of significant interaction, we conducted dependent-samples t-tests between methods for each task with Bonferroni-adjusted alpha (0.05 / 8 = 0.006).

We further calculated the absolute percent error for the left-out participant at each task and across all tasks combined as the absolute value:

\[
\frac{(\text{actual VO₂} - \text{estimated VO₂})}{\text{actual VO₂}} \times 100
\]

Finally, we evaluated the agreement between actual and estimated VO₂ for left-out participants with Bland-Altman plots separately for each activity and all activities combined [26]. Statistical analyses were run in the SPSS Statistics 23 software (IBM, Armonk, USA), and the alpha level was 0.05 when not adjusted for multiple comparisons.
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Informed consent

Informed consent has been obtained from all individuals included in this study.

Results

Significant predictors of VO₂ in the multi-level regression model were step rate and its square (p < 0.001; \( R^2 = 0.72 \); Table 1, Figure 1). Height, sex, or BMI were not significant predictors of VO₂. The model included random intercepts. Random slopes were not a significant factor in the model.

There were differences between actual and estimated VO₂ across tasks as shown by significant method by task interaction in within-subject ANOVA (p < 0.001; Table 2). The VO₂ estimated with the regression model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b</th>
<th>SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept*</td>
<td>7.6296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step rate (steps ∙ min⁻¹)*</td>
<td>0.0872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step rate² [(steps ∙ min⁻¹)²]*</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( b \) – unstandardized coefficient, \( SE \) – standard error

* p < 0.001, \( R^2 = 0.72 \)
was higher than the actual VO₂ for sitting and walking at 1.12 m ∙ s⁻¹ \((p < 0.001)\); however, the estimated VO₂ was lower than the actual VO₂ for ascending and descending stairs and for vacuuming \((p < 0.001)\). There were no statistically significant differences between actual and estimated VO₂ for the remaining activities. Absolute error across all activities combined was 29.7 ± 27.6%. From a descriptive standpoint, there were differences between tasks in absolute percent error (Table 2); absolute percent error was very high for sitting and walking at 1.12 m ∙ s⁻¹, and it was lowest for walking at 1.57 m ∙ s⁻¹.

The Bland-Altman plot across all tasks combined showed that the difference between actual and estimated VO₂ was on average nearly zero; however, there was large variation in the difference among individual data points (Figure 1). Visual inspection of the separate plots for the 8 tasks showed overestimation of VO₂ for walking at 1.12 m ∙ s⁻¹ and sitting, and underestimation for ascending-descending stairs and vacuuming; for the remaining tasks, there was no evidence of mean overestimation or underestimation (Figure 2). Furthermore, the predictability of individual scores varied to a substantial extent within and between tasks.

### Discussion

The presented study examined whether step rate as determined by the NL-1000 electronic pedometer predicted VO₂ across different activities in young healthy adults. The main findings were that pedometer-determined step rate and its square constituted significant predictors of VO₂, but height, BMI, and sex did not contribute to the prediction. The accuracy of the prediction model was reasonable across all activities combined, but varied across different activities.

Step rate and its square were significant predictors of VO₂. Step rate determines walking speed together with step length \([6]\); thus, it is not surprising that step rate contributed to the prediction. The inclusion of step rate and its square results in a better prediction of VO₂ across different activities.
rate square in the model was justified by the curvilinear nature of the relationship between step rate and VO₂, a finding in accord with past research [8, 10]. Our finding, however, that height, BMI, or sex did not significantly contribute to VO₂ prediction contrasts with previous research [11, 15]. The disparity between previous studies and our observations could possibly be due to methodological differences. Our protocol involved various activities of daily living, including non-locomotion ones, to examine the relationship between step rate and energy expenditure. In contrast, previous studies used only locomotion activities, during which height can determine step length – another known determinant of energy expenditure [6].

Figure 2. Bland-Altman plots of the difference between actual and estimated rate of oxygen uptake (VO₂) as a function of actual VO₂ for each of the 8 tasks. Solid and dotted lines are mean and 95% limits of agreement, respectively.
Although equations developed with pedometer out-

put tend to underestimate energy expenditure dur-

ing upper-body activities [16, 17, 27], the curvilinear 

function likely resulted in better estimates of \( VO_2 \) 

during dishwashing, when upper-body muscular ac-

tivity occurs at nearly-zero step rates. Curvilinear 

terms have previously been included in equations for pre-

dicting \( VO_2 \) from step rate [8, 10].

Other models, however, have included only linear 
terms for step rate [7, 12, 30]. Although equations with 

quadratic terms are more complicated, the inclusion 
of step rate square is substantiated on the basis of 

previous research demonstrating that the energy ex-

penditure of locomotion is a curvilinear function of 

step rate [6]. Furthermore, Abel et al. [8] demonstrated 

that a curvilinear model had better predictability of 

energy expenditure across different speeds of loco-

motion than a linear model. In summary, our findings 

indicate that predictability of \( VO_2 \) from pedometer 

output varies across different tasks.

The results of this study have implications for PA 

research and intervention programs. Using our pre-
diction equation, we can calculate that the step-rate 
threshold for moderate-intensity PA (defined as step 
rate at 10.5 ml \( \cdot \) kg\(^{-1} \) \cdot min\(^{-1} \) or 3 METs) was 102 steps 
\cdot min\(^{-1} \). This value is nearly identical to the previous 
general recommendation of reaching 100 steps \cdot min\(^{-1} \) 
for moderate-intensity walking [7, 9]. This finding, 
taken together with the relatively high predictability 
of the regression model across activities observed here-
in, indicates that the NL-1000 pedometer has reason-
able potential in monitoring PA activity levels through-
out the day in young healthy adults.

As discussed earlier, however, step rate seemed to 

misclassify the intensity for several activities. For ex-

ample, moving boxes and vacuuming were performed 
at moderate intensity by our participants, but the step 
rates were 71 and 17 steps \cdot min\(^{-1} \), respectively – below 
the calculated moderate-intensity threshold. And, 
although the step rate during ascending-descending 
stairs was at the moderate-intensity threshold (102 
steps \cdot min\(^{-1} \)), the actual intensity was vigorous 
(27 ml \cdot kg\(^{-1} \) \cdot min\(^{-1} \) or 7.7 METs).

Therefore, PA professionals should use the NL-1000 
electronic pedometer with caution when attempting 
to engage people in non-locomotor activities of vari-

ous intensities. Professionals may apply this pedom-
eter with greater confidence for engaging people in lo-

comotion activities of moderate-to-vigorous intensity. 
This is particularly important since walking is the 
most commonly performed PA [31].

The following limitations of the present study 
should be considered. First, the results may not gen-

Nevertheless, step rate and its square explained 
a remarkable portion (72%) of the variance in \( VO_2 \) and 
mean error in the Bland-Altman plot was nearly zero, 
indicating a high potential of the resultant model to 
accurately predict \( VO_2 \) across locomotor and non-loco-

motor activities combined. Although the average dif-
erence between actual and predicted \( VO_2 \) in the Bland-

Altman plot across all activities was negligible, the 
absolute error across all activities combined was rela-
tively large. The magnitude of absolute error seems to 
primarily be the outcome of the differences in predic-
tion error between different activities. Most notable was 
the high absolute error during sitting and slow walking, 
which largely influenced the error across all activities 
combined. Collectively, our findings indicate that step 
rate and step rate square can be used to estimate energy 
expenditure; however, predictability differs between 
different tasks.

A better picture of the predictability of \( VO_2 \) from 
step-rate arises when examining the absolute error with 
Bland-Altman plots separately for each activity. The 
model showed reasonable estimates of \( VO_2 \) for some 
activities: fast walking with 5% incline, jogging, mov-
ing boxes, and washing dishes. However, the model 
overestimated \( VO_2 \) during sitting and slow walking, 
and underestimated \( VO_2 \) during ascending-descend-
ing stairs and vacuuming.

These findings are in general agreement with previ-
ous research. It is known that pedometers are generally 
accurate in measuring steps during locomotion [13, 
14, 21]. However, they tend to underestimate steps 
during slow walking [13, 14]. Also, the model overestimated 
the \( VO_2 \) during sitting, which may be the outcome of 
a relatively large intercept (7.6296 ml ∙ kg\(^{-1} \) ∙ min\(^{-1} \)).

The underestimation of \( VO_2 \) during ascending-de-
scending stairs was also in agreement with past find-
ings [27, 28]. Although this task requires more \( VO_2 \) 
than over-ground walking [27, 28], pedometers can-
not differentiate the movement between the walking 
and ascending-descending stairs [29]. Underestima-
tion of \( VO_2 \) during vacuuming was also reported pre-
viously [16, 17], a finding that may relate to upper-body 
movements, which increase the energy expenditure 
but cannot be captured by pedometers.

This underestimation might relate to the curvilinear 
term included in our prediction model. A curvi-
linear model tends to increase the \( y \)-intercept of the 
\( VO_2 \) to step rate relationship compared with a linear 
model. However, this curvilinear function and high 
intercept may have produced the finding of greater ac-
curacy during washing dishes compared with sitting. 
Although equations developed with pedometer out-
put vary across different tasks.

The following limitations of the present study 
should be considered. First, the results may not gen-
eralize to other types of pedometers because we used a specific pedometer model. Second, our sample was limited to young healthy individuals; thus, the prediction model may not apply to children, older adults, and people with health conditions. Finally, the magnitude of error for some of the activities may be associated with the fact that they were self-paced and may have had an intermittent nature, which influences the VO₂.

However, the study also had noticeable strengths. It is the first study that developed a model for predicting VO₂ with output from the NL-1000 electronic pedometer. We elaborated the prediction equation based on many different activities, including non-locomotor activities. We cross-validated the prediction equation with the leave-one-participant-out cross-validation procedure, which increases our confidence in the results. And we used multi-level modelling, which accounts for the nesting of observations within each participant.

Future research could examine the accuracy of pedometers in predicting VO₂ during free-living activities. It would also be valuable to test the accuracy of several different pedometers and different placement sites.

Conclusions

In conclusion, step rate and its square as measured by the NL-1000 electronic pedometer are significant predictors of VO₂ across different activities in healthy young adults. Height, BMI, or sex do not contribute to VO₂ prediction. The accuracy of the prediction across activities is low to moderate. The NL-1000 pedometer has the potential to monitor PA levels across different activities in young healthy adults.

Disclosure statement

No author has any financial interest or received any financial benefit from this research.

Conflict of interest

The authors state no conflict of interest.

References


15. Nielson R, Vehrs PR, Fellingham GW, Hager R, Prusak KA. Step counts and energy expenditure as esti-


