ABSTRACT

Purpose. This study aimed to investigate the influence of the outfield goalkeeper game situation on the running performance of teammates and opponent players during professional futsal matches.

Methods. The movement trajectories of 126 professional players during 6 official matches were analysed with a video-based tracking system (30 Hz). Distance covered and percentage of distance covered in different speed ranges were calculated to identify differences per team and per sub-phase of the game (traditional vs. outfield goalkeeper situation).

Results. The teammates of the outfield goalkeeper spent a higher percentage of the distance covered in the standing and walking speed range (36.27 ± 15.38%) compared with the teammates of the traditional goalkeeper (31.44 ± 7.74%). The outfield goalkeeper spent a smaller percentage of the distance covered in the standing and walking range (41.13 ± 10.86% vs. 80.77 ± 4.30%) and a higher percentage in the low- and medium-intensity running range (31.59 ± 5.59% vs. 15.07 ± 2.74% and 14.33 ± 3.90% vs. 2.50 ± 1.19%, respectively), as well as covered a greater distance (77.41 ± 16.00 m/min) than the traditional goalkeeper (33.81 ± 3.66 m/min).

Conclusions. These findings provide coaches with a background concerning the requirements during the outfield goalkeeper situation as guidelines for final exercises in training sessions.
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play, short repeated sprints (recovery < 15 s) were more frequent than repeated sprints with longer recovery times in between, regardless of the playing position [3]. This emphasizes that futsal is a highly intense and dynamic sport, since players react to high-intensity runs of the opponents. With regard to the total distance covered during a match, literature reported smaller values in friendly matches than in official matches [8] and during the second match-half compared with the first one, even when only in-play time was considered [9]. Furthermore, the research shows an increase in sprint duration in the second half compared with the first half [3]. However, the aforementioned physical demands may change when a team chooses to play with an outfield goalkeeper (OGK).

OGK is an often-used tactic of the team at losing hand during the end of a match. According to the FIFA laws of the game [10], any teammate may substitute the goalkeeper and does not necessarily need to inform the referee or wait for a stoppage in the game. This tactic is often applied by the team at losing hand during ball possession to increase scoring opportunities [11]. When using an OGK, the attacking team will outnumber the defending team, which may lead to a different spatial organization for both the attacking and defending team [2]. Moreover, the sprint duration increases in the second half compared with the first half [3]. Up to date, it is unclear if this increase is a result of the goalkeeper substitution.

The findings that sprint duration increases in the second half and that in an OGK situation the positioning of players changes lead to the question if this change in running performance will arise specifically owing to the OGK situation. To the extent of our knowledge, this issue has only been addressed in tactical behaviour during training situations [2] or during official matches [12]. One can argue that players of the defending team need to cover greater distances at a higher speed than the attacking team because of the numerical inferiority. Additionally, if there is numerical superiority in terms of outfield players, it is expected that the attacking team may present a lower distance covered by the outfield players.

The purpose of this research was to characterize the running performance of the goalkeeper, the outfield players, and the opponent players in the OGK situation and to compare these demands with the traditional goalkeeper (TGK) situation in professional futsal matches. We hypothesized that in the OGK situation, the defending team would cover a greater distance and at higher intensities than the attacking team in comparison with the TGK situation. Furthermore, we expected higher requirements on running performance of OGK compared with TGK.

**Material and methods**

Participants and automatic tracking system

The automatic tracking system of the DVideo software [4] was used to obtain the trajectories of 126 professional futsal players, including goalkeepers. A total of 30 TGK (average duration: 29.5 minutes) and 24 OGK (average duration: 1.1 minutes) situations during 6 official matches of the Brazilian First Division Futsal League were analysed, which resulted in 150 and 144 teammates and opponents data samples for TGK situations, respectively, and 31 teammates and 37 opponents data samples for OGK situations. Overall, 6 official matches of the Brazilian First Division Futsal League were examined. The matches were recorded by 2 digital cameras (30 Hz), fixed at elevated positions in the gymnasium. Each camera covered approximately 3 quarters of the court, with an overlapping region between them. The images were transferred to a central computer and synchronized by identifying a common event in the overlapped region, such as a kick by a player. The players’ 2D positions relative to the court as a function of time were obtained with an automatic tracking system. The average error of 9.8 cm and 0.8% was presented for the determination of player position and the distance covered, respectively [9]. The system specifications are available elsewhere [3, 9]. Here, we used the same database as in the aforementioned companion papers to (re)analyse distinct aspects of futsal matches performance. Finally, the players’ trajectories were filtered by using a third-order low-pass digital Butterworth filter with a cut-off frequency of 0.4 Hz.

**Data analysis**

The distance covered by each player was calculated as the cumulative sum of the displacement between 2 consecutive frames. The total distance covered by each player was normalized for the minutes the player participated in the match [9]. In addition, the percentage of the distance covered was computed by using the following speed ranges [13]: $S1 \leq 6 \text{ km/h}$ (standing and walking); $6 < S2 \leq 12 \text{ km/h}$ (low-intensity running); $12 < S3 \leq 15.4 \text{ km/h}$ (medium-intensity running); $15.4 < S4 \leq 18.3 \text{ km/h}$ (high-intensity running).
and S5 > 18.3 km/h (sprinting). Finally, the instants of time when the goalkeeper played as an outfield player were identified and the analyses were performed separately for the TGK and the OGK situations.

Statistical analysis

Prior to each analysis, the Lilliefors test was performed to identify if the data were normally distributed and Levene’s test to establish the variance of the data. Because normal distribution or homogeneity of the variance was absent in all situations (except for the data of the distance covered by the goalkeepers), the Box-Cox transformation was performed to reduce anomalies such as non-additivity, non-normality, and heteroscedasticity. A two-way analysis of variance (two-way ANOVA) was used to compare the total distance covered by the players with reference to 2 factors: game situation (TGK and OGK situation) and team (opponents and teammates of TGK and OGK). The percentage of distance covered by outfield players was compared through a three-way ANOVA with reference to speed ranges (S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5), game situation (TGK and OGK situation), and team (opponents and teammates of TGK and OGK). The distance covered by goalkeepers was compared through an independent t-test (TGK and OGK). A one-way ANOVA was utilized to compare the percentage of distance covered by the goalkeepers in each speed range in accordance with the speed ranges (S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5). When differences were found, Tukey’s post-hoc test was applied. A significance level of α = 0.05 was used for all statistical analyses. The effect sizes were calculated and classified as small ($d > 0.20$), medium ($d > 0.50$), and large ($d > 0.80$) [14]. The variables are expressed as means ± standard deviations. All statistical procedures were performed with the MATLAB® software (2015a, MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA).
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Results

The relative distance covered by OGKs (77.43 ± 16.00 m/min) was significantly greater ($p < 0.01; d = 4.62$, large) than that covered by TGKs (33.81 ± 3.66 m/min). However, no significant difference in the distance covered by the outfield players ($F(1, 361) = 0.17; p = 0.68$) was found (Figure 1). An example of goalkeepers’ trajectories during approximately 2 minutes of a given match (stoppages included) and a representation of the players’ positions in the TGK and OGK situations are shown in Figure 2.

As for the percentage of distance covered in the different speed ranges (Table 1), TGKs presented higher values in the S1 range. In contrast, OGKs showed a higher percentage of distance in the S2 and S3 ranges ($F(4, 89) = 124.61; p < 0.01; d = 4.28–5.63$, large).

![Figure 1. The mean distance of the teammates and opponents of the traditional and outfield goalkeeper](Image)
When comparing the percentage of distance covered by outfield players (opponents and teammates of TGK and OGK) in the different speed ranges (Table 2), a significant difference was only found for the teammates of OGK, who presented higher values as compared with the teammates of TGK (F(4, 1809) = 2.22; p < 0.01; d = 0.51, medium).

**Discussion**

The main purpose of this study was to investigate differences in the running performance between the TGK and OGK situations in futsal. The results showed
that OGK, in comparison with TGK, spent a smaller percentage of distance covered in the S1 range and a greater percentage of distance covered in the S2 and S3 ranges. OGK covered more than twice the relative distance (m/min) of TGK. Also, the teammates (attacking team) of OGK presented a higher percentage of distance covered in the S1 range (walking) compared with the teammates (attacking team) of TGK.

That OGKs covered greater distances and presented a smaller percentage of distances in S1 may be explained by the fact that OGK is mainly involved in offensive sequences, for which they must often enter the field around the half-way line and stay in that position to be able to be substituted as soon as possible after losing ball possession. Also, OGK needs to move similarly to an outfield player, to be part of the attacking play. These behaviours are clearly represented in Figures 2A and 2B, which depict the different goalkeepers’ trajectories, as well as their influences on team organization on the court. This may explain similarities between the speed percentages for OGK and those for the teammates of OGK in the OGK situation (rightmost column in Tables 1 and 2). Even though sprinting is not significantly different for OGK compared with TGK, there seems to be a clear difference (0.64% vs. 6.50%). Part of this increased demand for sprinting from OGK may be because they need to get in and out of the court very quickly. So, for practical implications, OGK training focused on high-intensity running is needed. On the other hand, TGK often stays around the goalkeeper area, which may explain the lower high-speed running and total distance covered.

An interesting finding of this study, with a medium effect size, is the higher percentage of distance covered in the S1 range for the teammates (attacking team) of OGK compared with the teammates (attacking team) of TGK (Table 2). This observation could be assigned to the fact that in numerical superiority, the attacking players have less space per person (5 instead of 4 players in the attacking half) and, therefore, fewer opportunities to move at high speeds. Additionally, since there is no significant difference in the total distance covered by the teammates (Figure 1), spending more time in lower speed ranges may represent an increase in the recovery periods during the match for the teammates of OGK. The increase in distance covered in S1 can enhance the high-intensity efforts in association football because more time spent in S1 could indicate more recovery time between sprints. This increase in distance covered in S1 might also enhance high-intensity efforts in futsal [15] as futsal is a high-intensity sport in which repeated sprints with longer recovery time occur more often than repeated sprints with longer recovery [3, 13].

Another explanation for the finding that the teammates of OGK (attacking team) spend a greater percentage of distance in S1 may be related to the tactical structure that the players adopt with this strategy. Both the attacking and defending team may change their tactical plan to adapt to the OGK situation, as identified in Figures 2C and 2D. Owing to the numerical superiority, the attacking players play the ball around and stay more in one specific region of the court (which results in a higher percentage of distance in S1) in order to exhaust the opponents. On the other hand, in the OGK situation, the defending team tries to compensate for the numerical outfield player advantage of the attacking team. This might be done by using zone defence and seeking to reduce the spaces afforded to the attacking players in the region of the goal [2]. Travassos et al. [2] showed an increased synchrony between the defending team and the ball, but the opposite for the OGK team (attacking team). This indicates that if the attacking team uses OGK, the defending team switches from man-marking to a zonal defensive system. By zonal marking, defending players constantly move to protect their goal area by following the ball movement, instead of focusing on the movement of the opponent players [2, 12]. Attacking players use a more static system to play the ball around and to find a weakness in the defence [2, 12]. This situation probably increases the S1 percentages for attacking players. It is not clear if one of the teams or both teams adapt their tactical system to the OGK situation or what the causal relation is between the change in situation and the result that the teammates of OGK (attacking team) spend a greater percentage of distance in S1. To understand this difference, further research is needed that would investigate the causal relationships between the changes in running performance and the changes in tactical behaviour during an OGK situation. The vector coding technique used by Moura et al. [16] could be useful to examine which team is leading in an OGK situation, since the technique provides a clearer insight into which team is leading the behaviour of the opponent at any moment of time, helping to understand the changes in running performance.

The limitations of the study are mainly related to data acquisition. In indoor sports, the use of real-time technologies, like global positioning systems or local positioning measurement [1], would enable a larger dataset. This, however, requires a local antenna system, which comes at a high cost. Instead, an accurate and low-cost video-based system was used, which, in
addition, increased the processing time substantially. Moreover, notational data regarding event-data, such as shots on target, pass length, or pass accuracy, would add more contextual information about the match dynamics changes with the participation of OGK. Furthermore, understanding what portion of the increase in the total number of sprints is caused by the TGK and OGK running to the substitution area would be beneficial. Unfortunately, this was beyond the scope of this study and should be investigated in further research. It is also necessary to examine the possible change of the attacking or defensive system from 1 vs. 1 to zonal in the OGK situation. An in-depth analysis of which team is possibly leading a change in the coordination patterns will give more insights into the change in running performance.

The OGK running performance is greater in the higher speed ranges during the match compared with TGK. This is important for strength and conditioning coaches in tailoring their training programs to real match situations in which OGK is needed. For example, an OGK situation at the end of a training session simulates a higher running performance of defending players, unlike a TGK situation. The recovery of the attacking team might be faster, and attacking players learn to adapt to tactical changes of the defensive side, which might be useful to create more goal scoring opportunities.

Furthermore, OGK may be chosen as a tactical strategy to reduce energy expenditure within the team and enhance recovery because of the longer time spent in lower speed ranges. One can argue that with an increased recovery time, the ability to perform more or longer sprints at the end of the match will increase. Finally, it could be important to simulate these match situations during training sessions to prepare the athletes to better cope with the subtle changes in physical match demands.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the implementation of OGK in futsal changes the running performance during the game, both for the goalkeepers and for the outfield players. OGK has a higher total distance covered and spends a greater percentage of time in higher speed ranges than TGK. The OGK situation also changes the running performance of the outfield players, but only in the attacking team (i.e. OGK teammates). No change in running performance was found for the defending team, which may be due to a modification in the defensive system. These observations are useful for coaches and strength and conditioning practitioners, giving them insights into the running performance in the OGK situation and serving as guidelines for training.
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