THE RELIABILITY OF MEASURES PROVIDED BY THE UNSTABLE PLATFORM FOR BALANCE ANALYSIS IN YOUNG ADULTS WITH AND WITHOUT VISUAL INFORMATION
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ABSTRACT
Purpose. The Unstable Platform for Balance Analysis (UP-balance) has been used in several studies to evaluate different situations of balance and constraints. However, the reliability of its measurements has not been analysed yet. Therefore, the present study investigated the reliability of the measures provided by UP-balance in young adults in bipedal posture with and without vision.

Methods. For such analysis, 30 young adults, male and female (mean age: 22.9 years; SD = 3.8 years), performed 3 attempts of the task which consisted in maintaining balance on an UP-balance on a bipedal base for 20 seconds, with and without visual information, with perturbations in the medial-lateral and anterior-posterior directions.

Results. The UP-balance variables presented high inter-trial reliability (ICC > 0.76; p < 0.05), except for balance time in the anterior-posterior direction and non-vision condition, which exhibited moderate inter-trial reliability (ICC = 0.73; p < 0.05).

Conclusions. UP-balance seemed to be a reliable instrument for the analysis of balance in situations of instability on a base support.
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Introduction

Postural balance corresponds to the state in which all forces acting on the body are balanced, allowing to maintain or control body mass centre projection within the limits of the supporting base [1, 2]. Dynamic balance is used to maintain posture during controlled movements (unstable situations), while in orthostatic balance (stable/static situations) the maintenance of the desired position and orientation occurs [1]. Force and pressure platforms are the most frequently used instruments for these analyses [3].

Balance tests performed on force platforms have shown that the body sway is greater in elderly than in younger adults [4]; they are predictive of survival among older people [5]. Also, poor results in these tests are associated with difficulties in activities of daily living [6]. Finally, a systematic review showed that some force platform parameters could provide valuable information in predicting future falls and recurrent fallers [7]. However, it has been noted that the greater part of the balance analysis was performed in quiet orthostatic situations. Meanwhile, in most cases, falls are reported in situations of instability (dynamic situations) [8].

Dynamic situations on a force platform have been investigated through the use of disturbance in order to analyse the strategies of stability maintenance or recovery. For example, balance can be investigated in environments that simulate moveable rooms [9, 10],
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scenarios with movement [11], lifting unpredictable loads [12], and a support base of unstable material [9, 13]. Even considering the contributions of these designs with a stable force platform, the instability provided by movement on a support base has received special attention [14] because it seems more ecological compared with fall situations [15].

Dynamic balance analysis can be performed with different protocols. Among the more frequently used and well-known functional tests to evaluate dynamic balance are the Star Excursion Balance Test and Y-Bal- and well-known functional tests to evaluate dynamic different protocols. Among the more frequently used
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This study aimed to verify the reliability of the

measures of UP-balance in young adults with and with-

out vision and with perturbation of the basis of sup-

port in the ml and ap directions. It was hypothesized

that all the variables provided by UP-balance would

present high or moderate reliability. This research

might deliver evidence for the use of UP-balance as

a reliable tool in the analysis of dynamic balance.

**Material and methods**

Participants

The study involved 30 young adults (20 men, 10 wom-

en), selected by convenience, with a mean age of 22.9

years (SD = 3.8), mean height of 1.72 m (SD = 0.10),

mean weight of 71.3 kg (SD = 12.6), and body mass

index of 23.98 kg/m² (SD = 3.13). In accordance with

the short form International Physical Activity Ques-

tionnaire (IPAQ) [31], the distribution of participants

regarding physical activity was: 11 individuals with

moderate physical activity and 19 individuals with

high physical activity. The participants reported ver-

bally that they were not under medication that could

affect their balance and that they had no previous in-

jury (within up to 6 months before the test) that could

affect their balance.

Experimental procedures

First, the participants signed the free and informed

consent. Then, they completed the short form IPAQ,

which allowed to characterize their physical activity

[31]. The weight and height measurements were im-

plemented by using a Wiso (Wiso, São José, Brazil)

(W721) digital weighing device and a Wiso (Wiso, São

José, Brazil) (E210) compact stadiometer.

UP-balance [26] is composed of a wooden plank

(40 × 40 cm) 1.5 cm high, with electronic sensors cou-

pled on its side borders, responsible for capturing mo-

tions when the border touches the ground; it is sup-

ported by a semicircle wooden base 4.4 cm high, 2.4

cm wide, and 2.2 cm in radius. The variables of UP-bal-

ance were sent through an analogue-digital adapter

(v. 1.5) for the Dynamic Balance Task software (v. 1.0)
to an Acer (4349-ZQR) laptop (Figure 1A).

The participants performed a dynamic balance task on the UP-balance in 4 different conditions of distur-

bance: 1st condition – ml, with vision (V); 2nd condition

– ml, non-vision (NV); 3rd condition – ap and V; 4th con-

dition – ap and NV. The order of conditions was ran-

domized among the individuals. The participants were

instructed to remain on the UP-balance for 20 seconds

avoiding the border touching the ground. They began

behind the UP-balance and, after authorization from
the experimenter, they were instructed to step onto the platform keeping the right side supported on the ground in the ml direction of disturbance or the back supported in the ap direction, depending on the condition selected at randomization. The balance task was performed by the participants barefoot, with a bipedal base of support, with the arms extended alongside the body. The feet were positioned parallel to each other, separated approximately shoulder-width apart, and the knees were slightly flexed (Figure 1B).

In the V conditions, the participants were instructed to look at a fixed point marked on the wall (1.80 m distance from the participants, at the height of their eyes). In the NV conditions, a blindfold was used. The individuals were asked to maintain the position of the head imagining that they were looking at the fixed point ahead. There was one familiarization trial for each task condition. Next, 3 trials were performed for each task condition, with a 30-second interval between trials. These procedures are in accordance with previous research [27–30].

Variables and statistical analysis

The independent variables were: UP-balance support base (ml × ap) and visual condition (V × NV). The dependent variables were: (a) BT in seconds, which represented the sum of the times in which each participant remained on the platform without the border touching the ground; and (b) the number of imbalances in absolute frequency (af), which represented the total NT of the platform border on the ground. Initially, the average of the 3 trials for each measure of balance for all conditions was calculated. The inter-trial reliability for each condition was determined by calculating the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) [32] with its 95% confidence interval (CI). A 2-way random-effect model was used to assess inter-trial reliability (ICC2,1) [33]. Furthermore, the standard error of measurement (SEM) and the minimal detectable change (MDC) were determined. SEM was estimated with the following formula:

$$SEM = SD \times \sqrt{(1-r)}$$

where $SD$ is the standard deviation of the measure, and $r$ is the ICC value. MDC was calculated by using the formula:

$$MDC = SEM \times 1.96 \times \sqrt{2}$$

Statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS software (v. 18), with significance established at 5% ($p < 0.05$).

Ethical approval

The research related to human use has complied with all the relevant national regulations and institutional policies, has followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, and has been approved by the State University of Londrina ethics committee (Report No. 1.336.512, CAAE No. 50124115.4.0000.5231).

Informed consent

Informed consent has been obtained from all individuals included in this study.
Results

The mean of the 3 trials at each measure of balance for all conditions was presented in Table 1. ICC, 95% CI, SEM, and MDC values are depicted in Table 2. For visualization of the relationships, scatterplots are provided for BT variables in the V and NV conditions (Figure 2) and for NT variables in the V and NV conditions (Figure 3).

The BTml reliability in the V condition (ICC_{2,1} = 0.89, SEM = 0.52 s) was better than BTml in the NV condition (ICC_{2,1} = 0.76, SEM = 0.70 s). MDC was also better (smaller) for BTml in the V condition (1.45 s) than for BTml in the NV condition (1.96 s). Also, better reliability was presented in BTap in the V condition (ICC_{2,1} = 0.77, SEM = 0.60 s) than in BTap in the NV condition (ICC_{2,1} = 0.73, SEM = 0.65 s). MDC for BTap in the V condition (1.67 s) was better than in the NV condition (1.81 s).

The NTml reliability in the NV condition (ICC_{2,1} = 0.84, SEM = 2.14 af) was better than in the V condition (ICC_{2,1} = 0.82, SEM = 2.15 af). MDC was also better (smaller) for NTml in the NV condition (5.95 af) than for NTml in the V condition (5.96 af). Also, better reliability was presented in NTap in the NV condition (ICC_{2,1} = 0.81, SEM = 2.25 af) than in the V condition (ICC_{2,1} = 0.81, SEM = 2.64 af). MDC for NTap in the NV condition (6.25 af) was better than in the V condition (7.32 af).

All UP-balance variables presented high inter-trial reliability, except for BTap in the NV condition, which demonstrated moderate inter-trial reliability ($p < 0.05$).

Discussion

The present study analysed the reliability of the measures of UP-balance among young adults in the V and NV conditions, with perturbation of the basis of support in the ml and ap directions. In general, the results showed that UP-balance exhibited high reliability for all variables in the V and NV conditions, except for the BTap variable in the NV condition: in this case, moderate reliability was observed. Therefore, the hypothesis that the variables of UP-balance would provide reliability was confirmed.

The study presented greater reliability in the NV than in the V condition in the NT variable (ml and ap). Previous research verified the reliability of data of a force platform in young adults and the elderly [34, 35]. The results demonstrated higher reliability values for most measures in the NV conditions in comparison with the V conditions in both studies. It was suggested that NV was a condition unfamiliar to most participants. Since vision is an important source of postural control, it was proposed that it was probably easier for the individuals to adapt in the V than in the NV conditions [34, 35].

Our results corroborate these studies because it seems that during the NV condition, the participants were unable to change the control of movement to maintain balance, probably owing to the lack of information provided by the visual system on the relative position and movement of the body parts and of the body.
Figure 2. Correlation of the inter-trial measures for the (A) BTml in the V condition, (B) BTml in the NV condition, (C) BTap in the V condition, and (D) BTap in the NV condition.

BT - balance time, ml - medial-lateral direction, ap - anterior-posterior direction, V - with vision, NV - non-vision
NT – number of touches of the platform border on the ground, ml – medial-lateral direction, ap – anterior-posterior direction, V – with vision, NV – non-vision

Figure 3. Correlation of the inter-trial measures for the (A) NTml in the V condition, (B) NTml in the NV condition, (C) NTap in the V condition, and (D) NTap in the NV condition
as a whole in relation to the environment [36]. Consequently, this could cause less variability between the first and the consecutive attempts in the NV conditions, resulting in greater reliability [34, 35].

The results of the present study indicate low SEM values, and MDC values depicting that UP-balance would be able to determine significant changes in balance task performance. These findings are in line with those of other studies which demonstrated moderate-to-high intra-session reliability during dynamic evaluations in young adults [17, 18, 22–25]. A previous study examined the intra-reliability of a developed portable electronic balance measurement system (Clever Balance Board), implying high retest reliability (ICC ranging from 0.90 to 0.96) in healthy and physically active young individuals [23].

More recently, another study analysed the reliability of a computerized wobble board and showed fair-to-excellent reliability, with an excellent inter-session reliability during a single-limb test also in young adults [22]. Despite these reliable research results, the constraints characterizing the tasks of balance are different among the instruments. UP-balance provides perturbation (instability) during the whole period of the task. Other instruments are dedicated to more stable situations [17, 18, 22–25, 37]. Therefore, we believe that UP-balance may be a challenging task for balance analysis and training, given the great instability provided, since postural control responses are related to environmental constraints and task demands [38].

The results obtained by this study corroborate previous findings which suggested that instrumented portable unstable platforms could be reliable tools for inexpensive and efficient dynamic balance assessments [22]. From a practical perspective, UP-balance offers reliable, sensitive, and low-cost information on dynamic movement. For example, alterations by 1.45 s in BTml V and by 1.96 s in BTml NV can be used to assess and train balance by technicians, health professionals, and researchers.

Despite the meaningful findings of this study, some limitations need to be acknowledged. One of them concerns the sample size, selected for convenience. Another limitation is that the sample was limited to young adults. Therefore, future studies should evaluate the reliability of UP-balance measures also in different populations, such as children, adolescents, elderly people, and pathological cases (with a disorder or injury that can compromise balance). Further studies are suggested to analyse the existing relation of UP-balance with other devices measuring postural control and with functional tests of balance. It is also recommended to propose normative data for the classification of balance across different ages.

**Conclusions**

The results showed that the inter-trial measures of UP-balance variables presented high reliability in both visual information conditions (V and NV), except for BTap, which exhibited moderate inter-trial reliability for the NV condition. Therefore, UP-balance seems to be a reliable instrument for the analysis of balance in situations of instability on a base support in adults.
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