THE MOST COMMONLY USED METHODS OF TREATMENT FOR BRUXISM – A LITERATURE REVIEW
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ABSTRACT

Bruxism is referred to as grinding and clenching of the teeth. It is a disorder affecting an increasing number of patients. This disease causes severe ailments that negatively influencing the daily functioning and manifesting, among the others, as toothaches, masticatory muscle pain, headaches, and tooth hypersensitivity. The aim of the work is to analyse the contemporary treatment methods and methods of alleviating the effects of the disease. In addition, it evaluates the usefulness of these methods in daily dental practice. The article shows the contemporary view of treatment, including the multidisciplinary approach. The authors present treatment methods of bruxism, such as: splints, physiotherapy, psychotherapy, biofeedback, and pharmacological treatment. The variety of methods currently used and the lack of clear guidelines for treatment of bruxism should be emphasised. The article also draws attention to the need to modify the daily behaviour of the patient through relaxation exercises or biofeedback, in order to eliminate bruxism and not just alleviate its symptoms. A multidirectional approach and treatment of bruxism can produce satisfactory and lasting results.
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INTRODUCTION

In the past, bruxism was referred to as a parafunction involving grinding and clenching of the teeth, mainly at night (nocturnal bruxism). In 2012, a group of experts from around the world dealing with the subject of bruxism defined it as “a repetitive jaw-muscle activity characterised by clenching or grinding of the teeth and/or by bracing or thrusting of the mandible” [1]. At the same time, these scientists question the definition of bruxism as a parafunction, because it indicates only negative causes, and they also suggested that bruxism episodes can also improve the airflow through the airways [1]. Regardless of the definition of bruxism, the associated disorders, such as pathological tooth wear, masticatory muscle pain, headaches, or toothaches (unconnected with any inflammatory process or tooth decay), are an increasingly frequent reason for patients to present to the dental office. Therefore, new effective treatment methods are needed. The management of bruxism is mainly based on treating or alleviating symptoms caused by increased force occurring while clenching the teeth.

CURRENT VIEWS ON TREATMENT

Most researchers underline the need for the multi-treatment of bruxism patients, including, as well as dental treatment (e.g. restorations), psychotherapy, physiotherapy, medication, and speech therapy [2-4]. Others indicate that there is no specific treatment for nocturnal bruxism,
but it can be eliminated through everyday behaviour modification, relaxation exercises, or biofeedback, while other methods merely serve to reduce the destructive impact of the disease [5]. The treatment plan should include, along with treating symptoms and reducing occlusal disorders, psychological and physical stress reduction and changing neuromuscular patterns [6].

**SPLINTS**

The basic method of treatment is the use of occlusal splints made of hard material. These appliances are aimed at muscle relaxation and protection of teeth from further damage [7-9]. Some authors describe decreased muscle tone demonstrated in EMG in about 50% of patients with occlusal splints. There are various types of splints, for example: maxillary occlusal splint (MOS), mandibular advancement device (MAD), canine protected hard stabilisation splint, Bruxogard soft splint, and others. Many studies have confirmed the effectiveness of splints in reducing the number of bruxism episodes per hour of sleep [10-13]. Singh et al. [14] proved MOS and MAD to be equally effective in improving the quality of sleep in respondents with bruxism, but a greater reduction in bruxism episodes per hour of sleep occurred in ones using MAD. Landry et al. [15] achieved similar results, showing a twofold decrease in that parameter. However, MAD use was associated with greater discomfort and thus resulted in a lower level of satisfaction than that seen in MOS users [7, 15]. Karakis et al. [13] showed no statistically significant changes in occlusal force after three and six weeks of the application of a canine protected hard stabilisation splint, but such a change occurred after six weeks of Bruxogard soft splint usage. However, when comparing using the craniomandibular index (CMI) showing the degree of dysfunction, muscle soreness on palpation, and pain around temporomandibular joints, the use of both splints made of hard or soft materials resulted in a significant improvement. The authors suggested that differences in the distribution of occlusal forces and reduced muscle fatigue can arise from different mechanisms of action of both splint types [13]. As early as in the 1990s, Wright et al. [16] and Pettengill et al. [17] indicated the usefulness of hard and soft splints in the short-term treatment of temporomandibular symptoms. Scopel et al. [18] showed regression of pain between four and nine weeks from the commencement of splint therapy. Other types of splints that, according to Giannakopoulos et al. [19], can be used in the immediate treatment of painful disorders of the temporomandibular joints are prefabricated oral splints with water-filled elastic pads (Aqualizer), vacuum-formed co-polyester oral splints, and Michigan-type hard splints. In that case, the use of hard stabilising splints is time-consuming due to the necessary engagement of a technical laboratory, and thus difficult to apply in immediate treatment. It was shown that all those types of splints caused a decrease in pain, but statistically significant effects of the treatment in a two-week period were achieved by using vacuum-formed co-polyester oral splints. According to other researchers, hard splints, as well as soft ones, proved to be effective in long-term treatment [20]. However, that is not a perfect method, because episodes of grinding and clenching may re-intensify after the end of the treatment [7].

According to Gomes et al. [4], using only an occlusal splint did not significantly affect the electromyographic activity of the masseter and temporalis muscles; a combination of massage and splints yielded no improvement in EMG either (after a four-week treatment period). Nevertheless, regarding improvement in the intensity of temporomandibular disorders based on the Fonseca Patient History Index, the best results were found for a simultaneous combination of a massage and occlusal splint. The use of just one of those solutions or a silicone splint did not offer significant improvement. No change in electromyographic activity was reported in earlier studies by Weggen et al. [21] either. In contrast to those authors, Zhang et al. [22] achieved changes in EMG in their subjects after four weeks of treatment. Although the use of splints in patients with bruxism does not cure the condition, it was repeatedly demonstrated that it leads to a reduction in myofascial pain and improves the quality of life and the maximum opening of the jaw [8, 13, 23-25]. Some studies showed a reduction in the initial muscle electromyographic activity after the treatment with splints, followed, however, by a subsequent return to the initial level [26, 27], which may be due to muscle adaptation mechanisms [4].

Another type of splint used in the treatment of bruxism is a nociceptive trigeminal inhibition splint (NTI), placed between the upper central and lower incisors, resulting in immediate teeth disclusion during parafunctional activity [28]. The use of the splint causes immediate but short-term reduction in bioelectric potentials if the splint has a height of less than 6 mm, but if the height is equal to or greater than 6 mm, its use is followed by an immediate EMG increase [29-32]. Baad Hansen et al. [33] observed no changes in EMG after two weeks of NTI splint use.

Dalewski et al. [28] observed no significant changes in potentials in either of their patient groups (occlusal splint and NTI users). Neither the occlusal splint nor the NTI had a significant impact on the standardised muscle activity test results.

Another type of splint, successfully used in the discussed ailments, is the sublingual relaxation splint (SRS), which is a kind of relaxation splint for the lower dental arch. Gawriolek et al. [34] in their research used the S. Włoch method, which includes SRS, self-awareness training, and myorelaxation exercises. They obtained a decrease in pain in patients and a mandibular velocity in the range close to that of the control group, which indicates the usefulness of SRS in relieving symptoms of bruxism.
PHYSIOTHERAPY

Physiotherapy plays an important role in the treatment of bruxism. It is used primarily to reduce its negative effects. Physiotherapeutic methods include, among others, massage, manual therapy, therapeutic exercises (including relaxation exercises), electrotherapy, muscular awareness therapy, moist heat, laser, and microwaves [35-44]. The massage of masticatory muscles serves, among others, to reduce pain and tension of the muscles, improve their blood circulation, and prevent the adherence of tissues [8, 36, 45]. Studies have shown no changes in EMG after that type of therapy [4, 26, 27, 47]. Electrotherapy methods include, among others: TENS (transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation) and MENS (microwave current electrical nerve stimulation). Rajpurohit et al. [39] examined both kinds of currents administered to patients with bruxism and masticatory muscle pain. After MENS application, they found repair processes in tissues at the cellular level, a reduction in pain measured on VAS (visual analogue scale), and reduction in tenderness of examined muscles using a digital pressometer. After applying TENS, repair processes were not registered, and there was a lower reduction in pain and tenderness than in the first group. According to the authors, MENS use can produce better results, especially in patients after injuries, because, in addition to analgesic effects, it can also have repairing ones. However, they pointed out the need to expand the research due to the small number of patients and lack of previous studies in that direction. They also took into account the possibility of using MENS as a complement to the use of TENS in the treatment of masticatory muscle pain in patients with bruxism.

Research on the use of TENS in patients with TMD (temporomandibular disorders) highlighted the safety of use, non-invasiveness, analgesic effect, myorelaxation, and lowered electromyographic activity [48, 49]. Besides the theory of the peripheral effect of electrotherapy, the theory of central action, i.e. the theory of the control gate, was introduced in 1965 [50]. Transcutaneous electrostimulation, similar in action to a laser, can also be used to increase the range of the opening of the jaw (in patients with that kind of dysfunction) [51].

Shanavas et al. [52] compared the use of TENS and analgesics in the treatment of pain symptoms in TMD. Studies showed that a combination of electrical stimulation and the use of analgesics and myorelaxants is more effective in treating pain than pharmacological therapies alone. Similar effects in the treatment of masticatory muscle pain, using only TENS, were reported by Rodrigues et al. [49], Kato et al. [53], and Moger et al. [54]. The last showed an analgesic effect mainly in the treatment of muscular and chronic pain.

Treacy [43] compared muscular awareness relaxation training (MART) and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS). Using MART gave an increase in the maximum range of motion while opening the mouth and reducing masseter and pterygoid muscle tension. The advantage of that technique is the involvement of the whole body (by drawing attention to posture, breathing, etc.), while TENS is a purely local action. Both those methods enabled a significant reduction in electromyographic activity. Considering all the evaluated parameters, Treacy [43] achieved significantly better results in patients treated with MART. The author emphasised the need to encourage patients to use self-regulatory therapies in order to reduce the tension of the whole body.

Muscular awareness relaxation training is performed during daily activities, by alternating tension and relaxation of muscles and picturing calming images with training diaphragmatic breathing [35, 55]. Therapeutic exercises also have a place in the treatment of bruxism; however, most authors point to the need for further studies because of the small number of examined patients and lack of a precise description of exercises (intensity, frequency, number of repetitions, duration) [35, 51, 55]. On the basis of collected articles on the treatment of TMD (temporomandibular disorders), Moraes et al. [55] specified stretching and relaxing, coordination improvement, and strengthening and endurance increasing exercises. Stretching exercises, which may be useful in bruxism, are applied in cases of reduced movement ability in order to alleviate pain by improving local blood flow and to reduce the muscle tone [44, 56-58].

PSYCHOTHERAPY

Another important element of the multidirectional bruxism therapy is psychotherapy, which can include, among others, cognitive-behavioural therapy and biofeedback or relaxation exercises. Cognitive-behavioural therapy is a solution based on changing the pattern of behaviour, which is only possible if there is a change in the mind-set of the patient [59]. The cognitive-behavioural model is composed of many different elements, for example, relaxation, the ability to control behaviour, and various exercises to consolidate new behavioural patterns [60]. Drawbacks mentioned by Ommernorn et al. [38] are time-consumption and require long-term follow-up in order to maintain learned patterns of behaviour. When using that treatment, it is important to create the treatment protocol and then strictly monitor it. An important issue is also drawing patients’ attention to nasal breathing and then shifting the patient to this type of breathing. According to Orthlieb et al. [61], no improvement after 3-6 months of starting the therapy indicates the need for re-diagnosis.

BIOFEEDBACK

Biofeedback consists of informing the patient of their body functions and convincing the patient to change their behaviour to improve health [62]. Its purpose is to
bring about a learned response to a stimulus, e.g. visual, audible, electrical, or vibrational. When using that technique in the treatment of bruxism, a bruxism episode generates a stimulus that allows the patient to recognise and induce a conscious reaction (mainly muscle relaxation) in patients clenching their teeth during the day. During sleep, there are two types of stimuli – interrupting the continuity of sleep and not waking the patient – in order to trigger a subconscious response after sending a signal to the brain. Previous studies indicated that biofeedback cannot be based on a sleep interrupting stimulus. The stimulus in the form of CES (contingent electrical stimulation) may also cause local effects, including biochemical changes [63, 64]. Disadvantages of that type of treatment may comprise increasing fatigue and daytime sleepiness as a result of waking during night rest [65]. In addition, an electrical stimulus, if its parameters are improperly set, may cause pain. Other stimuli may also be experienced as unpleasant [63]. So far, the therapeutic value of biofeedback in the treatment of bruxism has not been fully recognised [66]. It is a method that is expected to produce permanent reduction in the severity of bruxism, but this has not been confirmed yet [46].

Shedden Mora et al. [67] compared biofeedback-based cognitive-behavioural therapy (BFB-CBT) to standard splint therapy. The use of CBT and biofeedback aims to increase awareness of parafunctions, reducing muscle tension and severity of bruxism [68]. Studies showed a (statistically and clinically) significant improvement in terms of pain intensity and pain-related disability in patients undergoing splint therapy and in those undergoing BFB-CBT, but the latter group was more satisfied with the overall effect of the treatment. Those patients also showed greater ability to cope with pain. When comparing occlusal splints and BFB-CBT, according to the authors, they proved to be equally effective in the treatment of pain [67]. All authors clearly indicated the need for further research in that direction.

PHARMACOLOGICAL TREATMENT

Pharmacological treatment can be used as a complement to the treatment of effects of intense, very severe bruxism. Some of the used drugs are: dopamine agonists, anxiolytics, buspirone, non-benzodiazepine hypnotics, antiepileptic drugs, or botulinum toxin [69-72].

Huynh et al. [73] compared propranolol and clonidine use in the treatment of nocturnal bruxism. The use of propranolol did not significantly influence the frequency and severity of bruxism compared to the placebo group. The group receiving treatment with clonidine showed more than 60% reduction in the frequency of bruxism episodes. In both groups, no difference in questionnaires filled out after waking up was noticed. Both drugs may cause prolonged morning pressure reduction and pressure reduction during the day in some patients, hence the use of those drugs in patients with low morning pressure should be carefully considered. Another side effect of using clonidine is dry mouth felt after waking up.

Studies conducted by Moosavi et al. [74] on experimental trihexyphenidyl (anticholinergic, THP) use in the treatment of bruxism were performed on four patients in whom prior treatment with other drugs resulted in no improvement. In each of those individuals, bruxism symptoms subsided after implementation of THP. One to two weeks after the end of the treatment the symptoms returned. The authors reported minor side effects compared to other commonly used drugs because of the low dose of the drug (1-2 mg THP). Due to the small group of examined patients, general conclusions could not be drawn. Many drugs previously used in the treatment of the disease proved to be ineffective in some cases, such as tricyclic antidepressants [75]. In some cases, serotonin reuptake inhibitors can cause episodes of bruxism, which can be effectively prevented by buspirone administration [76].

The use of currently popular botulinum toxin can sometimes cause serious complications and has considerable side effects, for example: alterations in saliva consistency, itching, bruising, headache, facial pain, anxiety, muscle stiffness, ringing in ears, inadvertent weakness of the facial muscles, swallowing, speech, etc. [77-80]. Injections of botulinum toxin have a short-term effect. Different results were received by Kim et al. [81] in their research on the treatment of patients with myofascial pain, trismus, and/or TMJ sounds. All patients who underwent treatment with botulinum toxin type A (BTX-A treatment) had previously been treated with physiotherapy, pharmacotherapy, behavioural therapy, or a stabilisation splint. Most of them had parafunctions such as bruxism. In that group, Kim et al. [81] received a statistically significant reduction in muscle tenderness and pain without significant adverse effects. It was possible to reduce muscle tenderness for a period of three to six months. Meunier et al. [82] also observed no significant side effects. Similar effects in reducing myofascial pain were obtained by Sidebottom et al. [83]. Guarda-Nardini et al. [84] conducted a double-blind trial on patients with bruxism or myofascial pain, using botulinum toxin in one group and a placebo in the other. When comparing the severity of pain at rest and during chewing, they noted improvement in those parameters in the Botox group. The same group also reported a slight increase in the range of motion, but no increase in mastication efficiency was registered in either of the groups.

It should be noted that most authors point to the need for further research in order to find an optimal treatment of patients with bruxism. An individual approach and therapy taking into account systemic diseases and earlier treatment should be never neglected.
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