The evaluation of treatment efficacy with cyclosporine combined with another basic drug in patients with monotherapy-resistant rheumatoid arthritis
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Summary

The aim of the study was: (1) to evaluate the efficacy of the treatment with cyclosporine combined with another disease-modifying drug in RA patients who failed to respond to mono- or polytherapy; (2) to adjust an effective dose of the drug in this treatment; (3) to assess the frequency and nature of adverse effects; and (4) to find out why the therapy had been discontinued. The study comprised 29 patients suffering from rheumatoid arthritis diagnosed according to the ACR criteria, in whom an active inflammatory process continued despite the fact that for 6 months they had been treated with full doses of at least one basic drug. Subjects who had been treated with cyclosporine, with uncontrollable arterial hypertension and kidney insufficiency were not included in the study. At the time of inclusion all the subjects were given steroids at up to 15 mg of prednisolone, and one to three basic drugs. While the therapy with the basic drug was continued, cyclosporine was added in increasing doses starting from 1.5-2.0 mg/kg b.w./24h until a maximum dose of 5 mg/kg b.w./24h was reached. The disease activity was assessed according to modified ACR criteria. An improvement was observed in 24 (82.8%) patients, including 3 (10.3%) in whom the improvement was substantial, 8 (27.6%) where it was intermediate, and 13 (44.8%) – minor. Five (17.2%) patients failed to improve. The dose which was considered effective was 100–300 mg daily (mean 155 mg/24h, i.e. 2.5-3.0 mg/kg b.w./24h). The treatment was discontinued in 14 (48.2%) patients, most often (24.1%) due to adverse events; in 5 (17.2%) patients the treatment

Streszczenie

Celem pracy była ocena skuteczności skojarzenia leczenia cyklosporyną z innym lekiem modyfikującym przebieg choroby u chorych na RZS oporne na leczenie jednym lub wieloma lekami podstawowymi, dopasowanie wielkości skutecznej dawki leku u poszczególnych chorych w tym leczeniu, ocena częstości i rodzaju działań niepożądanych oraz ocena przyczyn zaprzestania terapii. Badaniami objęto 29 chorych na reumatoidalne zapalenie stawów rozpoznawanych wg kryteriów ACR, u których – mimo 6-miesięcznego leczenia pełnymi dawkami minimum jednego z leków podstawowych – utrzymywały się cechy aktywnego procesu zapalnego. Wykluczono chorych uprzednio leczonych cyklosporyną, ze złe kontrolowanym naciskiem tętniczym i niewydolnością nerek. W chwili zakwalifikowania do badania wszyscy otrzymywali steroidy w dawce do 15 mg preparatu Encorton oraz od 1 do 3 leków podstawowych. Utrzymywano leczenie lekiem podstawowym, dodawano cyklosporynę w dawkach wzrastających od 1.5–2 mg/kg m.c. na dobę do maksymalnej 5 mg/kg m.c. na dobę. Aktywność choroby oceniano wg zmodyfikowanych kryteriów ACR. Poprawę obserwowano u 24 chorych (82,8%), z czego u 3 dużą (10,3%), u 8 umiarkowaną (27,6%) i u 13 niewielką (44,8%). Nie obserwowano poprawy u 5 chorych (17,2%). Dawkę uważana za skuteczną wynosiła 100–300 mg dziennie (średnio 155 mg/24 godz., tj. 2,5–3 mg/kg m.c. na dobę). Leczenia zaprzestano u 48,2% chorych, najczęściej – u 24,1% – z powodu wystąpienia objawów niepożądanych. U 17,2% chorych przyczyną odstawienia był brak poprawy wczesnej lub późniejsze zaostrzenie objawów.
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was discontinued because of lack of early improvement or delayed aggravation. Cyclosporine used in combination has been proved to be able to improve the condition of patients who do not respond to the therapy with other basic drugs. An effective dose of the drug is about 2.5-3.0 mg/kg b.w./24h. Adverse events leading to the discontinuation of the treatment were observed in every fourth patient, and along with lack of improvement were the most frequent cause of discontinuing the treatment. These results, though, have been biased by the fact that the study comprised subjects in a severe inflammatory state resistant to other forms of therapy. Once this context is considered, this mode of treatment is worth recommending to be applied more widely.

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic disease of autoimmunity etiology, which, when severe, leads to disablement and premature death of patients. It is also the most common, potentially treatable, cause of severe joint functional impairment. The main causes why treatment is ineffective in remote prognosis include [1] inappropriate therapy and [2] introducing therapy relatively too late in the course of the disease. It is now believed that the treatment with drugs modifying the course of the disease should be introduced early, right after the onset of first manifestations, and should be continued with varied modifications throughout a patient’s life. When the treatment gradually stops being effective, it should be corrected by supplementation with a new drug or exchanged for another (‘saw-tooth’ strategy). This management of the disease is becoming more and more acceptable and applied in many countries [4, 5, 16-18].

At present methotrexate is believed to be the basic drug modifying the disease. The main mechanism of its action is inhibiting the production of interleukin 1 by monocytes and macrophages. Yet methotrexate alone rarely results in full remission, and the improvement is often below 50% [16]. It should then be combined with another drug so that its efficacy will be better. Cyclosporine A seems to be a good candidate for this purpose, since it mainly acts via inhibiting interleukin 2, 15 and TNF-alpha produced by T lymphocytes and synoviocytes. When used in monotherapy, cyclosporine A proved effective both in early and severe RA.

The most important problem is its nephrotoxicity, expressed by higher serum creatinine concentration and arterial hypertension. These complications can be managed when the dose of the drug is reduced. Many reports point out that even low dosage of the drug is able to delay erosion formation. Since methotrexate and cyclosporine A have different mechanisms of action, and their adverse effects do not overlap, when used together their effect may add up [6, 8, 12, 19-21]. Other combinations of basic drugs are also acceptable; it has been proved that their efficacy is higher whereas their toxicity is not. There is no consensus, though, on when and which combined treatment should be applied, and what should be the order in which drugs are combined. The experience in this field has been relatively small so far, and the findings are ambiguous [4, 5, 10, 13].

The aim of the present study was to assess whether adding cyclosporine to the treatment with another basic drug (when the latter proved not fully effective) will result in clinical remission, and whether it will increase adverse effects.

Material and methods

The study comprised 29 patients (21 women and 8 men) suffering from rheumatoid arthritis diagnosed according to ACR criteria who, despite a 6-month treatment with full doses of at least one basic drug, continued to manifest an active inflammatory process in the form of:

1) six or more painful joints;
2) six or more swollen joints;
3) disease activity being assessed by a physician at more than 50 mm out of 100 mm in the pain Visual Analogue Scale (VAS);
4) disease activity being assessed by a physician at more than 50 mm in this scale;
5) ESR more than 28 after one hour or CRP concentration more than 2.0 mg/dl.

The criteria of exclusion from the study were as follows: previous treatment with cyclosporine, uncontrollable arterial hypertension, serum creatinine level over the standard 1.4 mg/dl. All the subjects included were treated at the Out-patient Clinic of the Department of Internal Diseases and Rheumatology of CSK MON.

The patients were aged between 18 and 81 years (mean 54.2), and the disease had lasted 2 to 27 years (mean 11.24). Radiographic examination of hand revealed...
lesions in Steinbrocker’s I in 4 subjects, II in 6, III in 12, and IV in 7. In the course of the disease Waaler and Rose test was positive in 24 subjects. At the time of inclusion all the patients were treated with steroids – the dose could not exceed calculated 15 mg of prednisolone per 24 hours, and it could be reduced to 10 mg/24h. If the dose had to be increased or another disease-modifying treatment introduced, the therapy with steroids was considered ineffective. Twelve patients were given methotrexate at 15-20 mg weekly, four – sulfasalazine at 2.0 g/24 h. The remaining 13 subjects were administered other basic drugs – one of them only prednisolone. The other 12 received drugs in combinations (methotrexate with sulfasalazine – 3, methotrexate with azathioprine – 1, methotrexate with chloroquine – 1, methotrexate with sulfasalazine and chloroquine – 1, methotrexate with chloroquine and pyritinol – 1, cyclophosphamide with chloroquine and pyritinol – 1, gold salts with pyritinol – 2, D-penicillamine with pyritinol – 1, sulfasalazine with pyritinol and chloroquine – 1).

The treatment with a basic drug was continued (when the treatment was combined it was the first drug mentioned, in 19 patients it was methotrexate), and initially daily a dose of 100 mg (1.5-2.0 mg/kg b.w./24 h) of cyclosporine was added (Sandimmun Neoral, Novartis). The dose was increased every 6 weeks by 0.5 mg/kg b.w./24 h, without exceeding the dose of 5 mg/kg b.w./24 h, until improvement was visible or adverse events appeared. Two weeks after the treatment introduction blood pressure and creatinine concentration were measured. When the parameters increased by 30% of the baseline values or exceeded the cut-off point (blood pressure >160/95 mm Hg; creatinine >1.4 mg/100 ml), the treatment was discontinued. During the therapy, these parameters were measured every 4-6 weeks.

The disease activity was assessed according to ACR criteria modified to the Clinic’s abilities [2, 7]:

1) number of swollen joints;
2) number of painful joints;
3) patient’s evaluation in the 100 mm scale (VAS);
4) physician’s evaluation in the 100 mm scale (VAS);
5) ESR and CRP levels.

The improvement was assessed individually for each patient with reference to the baseline examination using the following gradation: minor – by 20%, intermediate – by 50%, and substantial – by 70%. There had to be at least 3 above-mentioned criteria met (1st and 2nd were mandatory + one of the remaining ones).

The subjects’ condition was routinely evaluated every 6 weeks, but no less frequently than every 3 months. The analysis has included only those subjects who were examined at least three times.

In its aims, the study was:

1) to evaluate how effective treating with cyclosporine combined with another disease-modifying drug is;
2) to find what the effective dose of the drug in such a therapy is;
3) to find the frequency and character of relevant adverse events;
4) to analyse why the therapy has been discontinued.

Results

Until the results were analysed, the patients underwent the therapy for 4-69 months (mean 12.72 months). The results of the treatment are presented in Table 1.

The dose which was considered effective was 100 – 300 mg daily (mean 155 mg/24 h; i.e. 2.5-3.0 mg/kg b.w./24 h).

The reasons for therapy discontinuation are listed in Table 2.

The treatment was discontinued in 14 (48.2%) patients. Most frequently (in 7 patients, i.e. 24.1%) the cause was adverse effects. These included: urgency to urinate in 2 patients, reversible increase of creatinine concentration in 1, increase of arterial blood pressure in 1, vomiting in 1, hirsutism in 2. In 2 patients the therapy was discontinued due to lack of improvement after 3 months of treatment, in other 3 it was because their disease aggravated following a period of improvement. Thus the therapy failed in 5 patients (17.2%). Two subjects stopped taking the drugs without any reason. The remaining 15 patients are continuing the treatment.

Discussion

The temporary purpose of the treatment in rheumatoid arthritis is to manage the aggravation of the disease and to keep the improvement achieved. In

**Table 1. Efficacy evaluation of the treatment with cyclosporine combined with another basic drug**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Improvement</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage in the group studied (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>substantial</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intermediate</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minor</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>44.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>82.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Reasons for discontinuing cyclosporine in the group studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reasons for discontinuation</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage in the group studied (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no improvement</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aggravation following improvement</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adverse events</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This way a patient’s complaints are reduced and, in the long term, the formation of erosions is slowed down and so is the destruction of joints resulting in disability. Only a drug which delays the erosion formation within 2-3 years can be considered as one modifying the course of the disease. The following drugs have been proved to possess such features: gold salts, sulfasalazine, methotrexate, and other so called disease-modifiers, including cyclosporine. Although either cyclosporine or methotrexate rarely leads to full remission, the delay in radiographically confirmed erosion formation as early as after a year is visible when they are treatment active agents. In this respect the efficacy of cyclosporine is similar to that of other disease-modifying drugs including parenteral gold [3, 6, 9, 11, 22]. Erosion formation was even more delayed when methotrexate was combined with cyclosporine. This delay was significantly greater than when either of the drugs was used in monotherapy. Thus they might act synergistically [14, 20].

In the present study, using cyclosporine along with another basic drug (most often methotrexate) resulted in an improvement in most (82.8%) patients. A satisfying improvement (>50%) was rather uncommon since it was observed only in 11 out of 29 patients (37.8%). No beneficial effects were observed in 5 (17.2%) patients, which resulted in the discontinuation of their therapy. These results do not confirm those by other authors, which might be due to the fact that the present study included those patients who had not responded to other forms of treatment, including high doses of methotrexate or combined therapy sometimes with as many as four drugs. Marchesoni et al. [14] reported a satisfying improvement after combined treatment in 50% patients, in 47% it was substantial. These authors treated patients in an early stage of the disease. Similarly, Więsław-Szewczyk et al. [20] reported an improvement in 15 out of 16 patients. The improvement was as high as 70% in 66% of them. Also in this study the patients were in an early phase of RA. The remission persisted in as many as 41% of their patients after 2 years of treatment.

The present study has not included a radiographic analysis of lesions. A mean effective dose in the combined treatment was 2.5-3.0 mg/kg b.w./24 h, which concurs with other reports in literature [20].

The most frequent (24% of patients) cause of the treatment discontinuation was the development of adverse events. Other authors reported them in 10-23.3%, so less frequently or similarly to the group studied here, depending on a dose and the subjects’ pre-selection. In the present study, the subjects were negatively selected in an advanced stage of the disease, where complications observed in every fourth patient are relatively rare. Other authors point out that complications resulting from combined treatment are just as frequent or less than is the case following treatment with other disease-modifying drugs [6, 11, 15]. Just like it was reported by Marchesoni et al, no characteristic complications were observed. Hirsutism (2 patients) and urinary tract complaints (3 patients) were a little more frequent. Arterial hypertension and higher serum creatinine level were uncommon. Inexplicably, two patients stopped their treatment despite some observable improvement probably due to what they had read or been told by their families. Other authors reported much more frequent kidney dysfunction or hypertension, but they used a previously applied dose of 10 mg/kg b.w./24h [18, 21].

Summing up, cyclosporine in combined treatment can result in an improvement in patients who do not respond to other basic drugs. The effective dose of the drug is 2.5-3.0 mg/kg b.w./24 h. Adverse effects leading to drug withdrawal observed in every fourth subject, along with the lack of improvement, were the most frequent reason for treatment discontinuation. These results, though, have been biased by the fact that the study comprised subjects in a severe inflammatory state resistant to other forms of therapy. Once this context is considered, this mode of treatment is worth recommending to be applied more widely.
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