Pretreatment with obestatin inhibits the development of acetic acid-induced colitis in rats
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Abstract

Introduction: Obestatin is a 23-amino acid peptide derived from proghrelin, a common prohormone for ghrelin and obestatin. Previous studies have shown that obestatin exhibits some protective and therapeutic effects in the pancreas and stomach. The aim of this study was to examine the effect of pretreatment with obestatin on the development of acetic acid-induced colitis.

Material and methods: Studies were performed on Wistar rats. Before induction of colitis, rats were treated intraperitoneally with saline or obestatin, administered twice at a dose of 4, 8 or 16 nmol/kg/dose. The first dose of saline or obestatin was administered 8 h before the induction of colitis, the second one 7 h after the first dose. Colitis was induced by enema with 1 ml of 4% acetic acid solution. The severity of colitis was assessed 1 or 24 h after administration of enema.

Results: Pretreatment with obestatin administered at a dose of 8 or 16 nmol/kg/dose significantly reduced the area of mucosal damage evoked by enema with acetic acid (p < 0.05). This effect was accompanied by an improvement of mucosal blood flow and DNA synthesis in the colon. Moreover, obestatin administered at a dose of 8 or 16 nmol/kg/dose significantly reduced mucosal concentration of IL-1β and activity of myeloperoxidase (p < 0.05).

Conclusions: Pretreatment with obestatin exhibited a protective effect in the colon, leading to a reduction of colonic damage in acetic acid-induced colitis. This effect was associated with an improvement of mucosal blood flow, an increase in mucosal cell proliferation, and a decrease in local inflammation.
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Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), the main types of which are Cohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis, is a group of chronic inflammations of the digestive tract [1]. They are characterized by a phasic course, with alternate periods of exacerbation and remission. According to epidemiological studies the incidence of IBD is constantly rising [1–4]. Although the etiology of IBD is still unclear, available studies suggest that IBD results from an abnormal immunological response to microflora present in the digestive system, and their pathogenesis is complex, requiring the co-existence of environmental and genetic factors [1, 4, 5]. There are numerous methods to ameliorate the signs and symptoms of IBD, but there is no method to permanent cure this disease [1, 5, 6]. This leads to a search for new therapeutic strategies.

Obestatin is a 23-amino acid peptide, discovered in rat stomach and derived from proghrelin, which is a common prohormone for ghrelin and obestatin [7, 8]. Secretion of obestatin is pulsatile and displays an ultradian rhythmicity similar to ghrelin and growth hormone [9]. Obestatin exhibits opposite effects to ghrelin on gastrointestinal motility and energy metabolism. It has been reported to be an anorexic hormone, reducing food intake, gastric emptying time, jejunal motility, and body weight gain [8–13].

Previous studies have shown that obestatin exhibits protective and therapeutic effects in some organs of the gut [8]. It has been shown that treatment with obestatin accelerates the healing of acetic acid induced gastric ulcers [14]. The therapeutic effect of obestatin has also been found in experimental colitis evoked by dextran sodium sulfate [15]. In the pancreas it has been demonstrated that preventive administration of obestatin inhibits the development of cerulein- and ischemia/reperfusion-induced acute pancreatitis [16, 17] and accelerates the healing of experimental pancreatitis in rats [18, 19]. Also obestatin promotes survival of pancreatic islets, especially β-cells [20]. Moreover, clinical studies have shown that the obestatin/ghrelin ratio could serve as an index of IBD activity [21, 22].

The objective of the present study was to determine the influence of pretreatment with obestatin on the development of acetic acid-induced colitis in rats.

Material and methods

Animals and treatment

The research was performed on Wistar male rats weighing 250–270 g and conducted following the experimental protocol approved by the First Local Commission of Ethics for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals in Cracow (Permit No. 2/2013). During the study, the animals were kept in cages placed at room temperature and a 12-hour light-darkness cycle was maintained. The animals, prior to colitis induction with acetic acid solution, were fasted for 18 h with free access to water. Before and after this period food and tap water were available ad libitum.

One hundred twenty-eight rats were randomly divided into eight equal experimental groups: (1) control rats treated intraperitoneally (i.p.) with saline without induction of colitis; (2–4) rats treated i.p. with obestatin given at a dose of 4, 8 or 16 nmol/kg/dose without induction of colitis; (5) rats; treated i.p. with saline before induction of colitis; (6–8) rats treated i.p. with obestatin administered at a dose of 4, 8 or 16 nmol/kg/dose before induction of colitis.

The animals from each experimental group were divided into two equal sub-groups. In the first sub-groups, the severity of colitis was assessed 1 h after the acetic acid enema. In the second sub-groups, the severity of colitis was assessed 24 h after the acetic acid enema.

The experiments were repeated to obtain 8 animals in each experimental group and each time of observation.

Saline or rat obestatin (Yanaihara Institute, Shizuoka, Japan) was administered intraperitoneally according to the group of animals twice, 8 and 1 h before the rectal administration of saline or acetic acid solution. Obestatin was used at the doses of 4, 8 and 16 nmol/kg/dose, because previous studies showed that these doses exhibited protective and therapeutic effects in the pancreas [16, 18] and stomach [14]. Obestatin was dissolved in saline and then administered in an amount which did not exceed 0.3 ml/dose.

Before the induction of colitis, animals were anesthetized with ketamine (50 mg/kg i.p., Bio-ketan, Vetoquinol Biowet, Gorzów Wielkopolski, Poland). Colitis was induced by intrarectal administration of 1 ml of 4% acetic acid aqueous solution through a polyethylene catheter, which end was inserted into the bowel 4.5 cm deep from the anus. The animals without induction of colitis were treated with saline enema (sham operation).

Measurement of colonic blood flow and mucosal lesions

One or 24 h after enema, the rats were anesthetized again with ketamine. After opening the abdominal cavity and exposing the colon, the measurement of colonic blood flow volume was performed using a laser Doppler flowmeter (Peri-Flux 4001 Master monitor, Perimed AB, Jarfalla, Sweden), in accordance with the methodology described before [23]. The blood flow measurement
was performed each time in five different parts of the descending and sigmoid colon and the main value of five records was expressed as the percentage of the value obtained in the animals from the control group. After the measurement of the colonic blood flow, the area of mucosal damage was assessed using a modification of the method described earlier [24].

Biochemical analysis

After the measurement of the colonic blood flow and area of lesions, biopsy samples of the colon were taken for determination of mucosal DNA synthesis (an index of mucosal cell proliferation), concentration of pro-inflammatory interleukin-1β, activity of myeloperoxidase, and for histological examination.

Determination of DNA synthesis in colonic mucosa

DNA synthesis was determined by measurement of [3H]thymidine incorporation ([6-3H]-thymidine, 20–30 Ci/mmol, Institute for Research, Production and Application of Radioisotopes, Prague, Czech Republic) into the mucosal DNA as described previously [25]. The incorporation of labeled thymidine into DNA was determined by counting 0.5 ml of DNA-containing supernatant in a liquid scintillation system. The rate of DNA synthesis was expressed as a number of tritium disintegrations per minute per μg of DNA (dpm/μg DNA).

Determination of interleukin-1β concentration in colonic mucosa

The samples of the colonic mucosa were homogenized in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 20 mM, pH 7.4). The homogenate was centrifuged at 1,500 g for 10 min at 4°C. The content of interleukin-1β in the supernatant was measured using the Rat IL-1β Platinum Elisa (Bender MedSystem GmbH, Vienna, Austria). The concentration of interleukin-1β in colonic mucosa was expressed as ng per g of tissue.

Determination of myeloperoxidase activity in colonic mucosa

The samples of colonic mucosa were frozen in liquid nitrogen, and, until the measurement was done, stored at −60°C. Myeloperoxidase activity was assessed using a modification of the method described by Bradley et al. [26]. The mucosa was homogenized in 1 ml of 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.0) containing 0.5% of hexadecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide. Then the homogenate was freeze-thawed three times, subjected to sonication in an ice bath for 20 s and centrifuged at 30,000 g for 15 min at 4°C. 100 μl of the supernatant was taken and 2.9 ml of 50 mM phosphate buffer was added, which contained 157 μg/ml of o-dianisidine dihydrochloride and 0.0005% hydrogen peroxide. Hydrogen peroxide reduction through myeloperoxidase causes oxidation of o-dianisidine and produces a stained final product. The intensity of staining was measured spectrophotometrically with a light wavelength of 460 nm. The obtained results were calculated in units per gram of tissue and finally expressed as the percentage of the value observed in the control group.

Histological examination of the colon

Samples of the colon were fixed in 10% buffered formaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. Paraffin sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Slides were examined by two experienced pathologists without knowledge of the treatment given. The histological grading of colonic damage was determined using a scale previously presented by Vilaseca et al. [27]. The histological grading of lesions was made using a scale ranging from 0 to 2 (0 = no lesions; 1 = small lesions < 3 mm; 2 = large lesions > 3 mm). Inflammation infiltration was graded from 0 to 3 (0 = none; 1 small; 2 = moderate; 3 = heavy), depth of the lesions was graded from 0 to 3 (0 = no lesions; 1 = lesions reaching submucosa; 2 = lesions reaching muscularis propria; 3 = lesions reaching serosa). The presence of fibrosis was grading from 0 to 2 (0 = none; 1 = mild; 2 = severe).

Statistical analysis

The results were presented as the main value ± standard error (SEM). Statistical assessment was performed through one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Statistical analysis was performed separately for each time of observation. Differences were considered to be statistically significant if p was less than 0.05.

Results

In the control saline-treated animals without induction of colitis, no lesions of the colon were observed in macroscopic or microscopic examination (Figures 1 and 2; Table I). Also administration of obestatin was without an effect on colonic morphology in rats without induction of colitis (Figure 1; Table I). Rectal administration of 4% solution of acetic acid led to induction of colitis in all rats. In rats treated with saline, 1 h after the induction of colitis, the mucosal damage area was 4.9 ±0.4 mm², whereas after the next 23 h the area
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Figure 1. Influence of pretreatment with obestatin given intraperitoneally at a dose of 4, 8 or 16 nmol/kg/dose (O4, O8 or O16) on the area of colonic lesions observed 1 or 24 h after induction of colitis.

Mean ± standard error. N = 8 animals in each group.

\*p < 0.05 compared to control at the same time of observation; \*p < 0.05 compared to NaCl + colitis at the same time of observation.

Figures 2. A – Representative microscopic image of colonic mucosa observed 1 h after enema with saline in saline-pretreated control rats. B – Representative microscopic image of colonic mucosa observed 1 h after enema with acetic acid solution in saline-pretreated rats. C – Representative microscopic image of colonic mucosa observed 24 h after enema with saline in saline-pretreated control rats. D – Representative microscopic image of colonic mucosa observed 24 h after enema with saline in saline-pretreated control rats. E – Representative microscopic image of colonic mucosa observed 24 h after enema with saline in saline-pretreated control rats. F – Representative microscopic image of colonic mucosa observed 24 h after enema with saline in saline-pretreated control rats. Hematoxylin-eosin stain. Original magnification 400×.
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In saline-treated animals with colitis, histological examination performed 1 h after acetic acid enema showed the presence of large lesions reaching the level of the muscular membrane, with moderate inflammatory infiltration (Table I). Twenty-three hours later, histological examination showed an increase in the severity of colonic damage. There were large lesion ulcers, reaching the muscular or serous membrane. These lesions were accompanied by severe inflammatory infiltration (Table I, Figure 2). In rats with colitis, pretreatment with obestatin reduced the development of colonic damage. One hour after induction of colitis, the area of colonic damage was reduced by 19%, 45% or 35% in rats pretreated with obestatin given at a dose of 4, 8 or 16 nmol/kg/dose, respectively. Twenty-three hours later, the area of the colonic lesion was reduced by 11%, 38% or 44% depending on the dose of obestatin (Figure 1). The reduction in the area of colonic damage evoked by obestatin given at a dose of 8 and 16 nmol/kg/dose was statistically significant in both times of observation, 1 and 24 h after induction of colitis.

Pretreatment with obestatin also reduced histological manifestation of colonic damage evoked by acetic acid (Table I, Figure 2). One hour after induction of colitis, the protective effect of pretreatment with obestatin was found as a decrease in grading and depth of lesions, as well as a reduction in inflammatory infiltration of the colonic wall. Twenty-three hours later, the beneficial effect of pretreatment with obestatin was manifested, apart from the effects mentioned above, by inhibition of fibrosis development (Table I, Figure 2).

In the animals from the control group, DNA synthesis in colonic mucosa reached the value of 38.2 ±1.9 and 37.5 ±2.8 dpm/μg DNA at the time of 1 and 24 h after the saline enema, respectively (Figure 3). Pretreatment with obestatin, in the dosage used, did not exhibit a statistically significant influence on the dynamics of DNA replication in colonic mucosa in animals without induction of colitis. One and 24 h after the rectal adminis-

**Table I. Morphological signs of colonic damage observed 1 or 24 h after rectal administration of saline or acetic acid solution (colitis) in rats pretreated with saline or obestatin given at a dose of 4, 8 or 16 nmol/kg/dose (obestatin 4, obestatin 8 or obestatin 16)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Morphological changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grading of colonic damage (0–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 h:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline (control)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obestatin 4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obestatin 8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obestatin 16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline + colitis</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obestatin 4 + colitis</td>
<td>1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obestatin 8 + colitis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obestatin 16 + colitis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline (control)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obestatin 4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obestatin 8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obestatin 16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline + colitis</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obestatin 4 + colitis</td>
<td>1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obestatin 8 + colitis</td>
<td>1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obestatin 16 + colitis</td>
<td>1–2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers represent the predominant histological grading in each group.
tration of acetic acid solution, DNA synthesis was significantly reduced by around 50% and 62%, respectively. Prior administration of obestatin partially reversed that effect. Pretreatment with obestatin given at a dose of 8 or 16 nmol/kg/dose led to a statistically significant, similar in the case of both doses, improvement of DNA replication in the colon mucous membrane, in the animals with colitis. This effect was observed at both times of observation, 1 and 24 h after the induction of colitis (Figure 3). In contrast, pretreatment with obestatin given at a dose of 4 nmol/kg/dose was without an effect on mucosal DNA synthesis in the colon of rats with acetic acid-induced colitis (Figure 3).

In the groups of animals without the induction of colitis, double intraperitoneal administration of obestatin in the doses used failed to affect the mucosal blood flow in the colon (Figure 4). Induction of colitis led to a statistically significant decrease in the blood flow through the colonic mucosa by almost 72% and 77%, respectively after 1 and 24 h after the enema with acetic acid solution (Figure 4). Prior double administration of obestatin, given at a dose of 8 or 16 nmol/kg/dose, significantly reversed the colitis-evoked decrease in the mucosal blood flow in the colon. This effect of obestatin was found at both times of observation, 1 and 24 h after the induction of colitis. The effect of obestatin administered at a dose of 4 nmol/kg/dose was without a significant effect on mucosal blood flow (Figure 4).

In the control rats, mucosal concentration of pro-inflammatory IL-1β in the colon was around 0.80 ng/g of tissue (Figure 5). Treatment with any dose of obestatin was without a significant effect on the mucosal IL-1β level in the rats without colitis. Administration of 4% solution of acetic acid increased the mucosal IL-1β concentration by 50 and 600% at 1 and 24 h after induction of colitis, respectively. Pretreatment with obestatin at a dose of 8 and 16 nmol/kg/dose reduced the colitis-evoked increase in mucosal IL-1β concentration. This effect was statistically significant at 1 and 24 h after induction of colitis in rats pretreated with obestatin given at a dose of 16 nmol/kg/dose. In the case of animals pretreated with obestatin given at a dose of 8 nmol/kg/dose, a significant reduction in mucosal IL-1β concentration was observed 24 h after induction of colitis. One hour after induction of colitis, pretreatment with obestatin given at a dose of 8 nmol/kg/dose reduced mucosal IL-1β concentration, but this effect was statistically insignificant in comparison to the value observed in saline-treated animals with colitis (Figure 5). Pretreatment with obestatin administered at a dose of 4 nmol/kg/dose failed to affect the mucosal concentration of IL-1β in the colon of animals with colitis (Figure 5).

Administration of obestatin given at the doses used failed to have a significant effect on the mucosal myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity in the colon of rats without induction of colitis (Figure 6). One hour after the enema with acetic acid, MPO activity in colonic mucosa was increased almost by 100%, whereas 23 h later almost a 5-fold increase in MPO activity in colonic mucosa was observed. Pretreatment with obestatin reduced the colitis-evoked increase in colonic activity of MPO. The effect of obestatin, administered at a dose of 4 nmol/kg/dose, was statistically insignificant at both times of observation. In contrast, obestatin given at a dose of 8 or 16 nmol/kg/dose signifi-
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Significantly inhibited the mucosal MPO activity in the colon of the animals with colitis. This inhibitory effect was similar for both above doses of obestatin and was observed at both 1 and 24 h after induction of colitis (Figure 6).

Discussion

This study provided important observations showing that obestatin affects maintenance of the integrity of the mucous membrane in the colon. Pretreatment with obestatin inhibited the development of acetic acid-induced colitis in rats, which was found as a decrease in the area of colonic damage. This effect was associated with the improvement of mucosal blood flow and DNA synthesis, and with a reduction in the colitis-evoked increase in mucosal IL-1β concentration and myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity.

Preservation of the integrity of gastrointestinal mucosa is dependent on maintaining a dynamic balance between damaging factors acting on the mucous membrane and mechanisms responsible for mucosa protection. Adequate cell proliferation plays an important role among the mechanisms responsible for integrity of gastrointestinal mucosa. Reduction in cell proliferation, excessive apoptosis and increased cell loss play a key role in the development of mucous membrane atrophy and ulcers [28, 29]. On the other hand, increased cell renewal leads to increased mucosal protection in the gastrointestinal tract and promotes healing of the damage in the gut [30–33]. Mucosal cells are reconstructed by mitosis of stem and progenitor cells. DNA synthesis occurs in the S phase during the cell cycle and it is mandatory for cell division [33]. It can be concluded that DNA synthesis dynamics reflect the vitality of cells, as well as cell proliferation. As a result of our present research, it was demonstrated that rectal administration of acetic acid led to a considerable decrease in DNA synthesis in the colonic mucous membrane. That decrease was well correlated with the expanse of the area of inflammation. It indicates that there is a relationship between cell proliferation and pathogenesis of acetic-acid-induced colitis. Our present study has also shown that administration of obestatin in the animals without colitis induction had no significant influence on DNA synthesis in colonic mucosa. This finding indicates that administration of obestatin at these doses does not induce cell hyperproliferation and mucosal hypertrophy in animals with normal mucosa. In contrast to that, pretreatment with obestatin before induction of colitis led to partial, but significant reversion of the colitis-evoked decrease in mucosal DNA synthesis. This observation indicates that improvement of cell vitality and proliferation is involved in the protective effect of obestatin in the colon.

Vascular mechanisms play a key role in the processes of protection and healing of mucosa in the gut [34–36]. Experimental studies have demonstrated that a decrease in gastric blood flow increases the severity and area of gastric ulcers after exposure of gastric mucosa to damaging factors [34]. Similar effects of reduction in organ blood flow on mucosal integrity have been observed in other parts of the digestive tract such as the oral cavity [35], esophagus [36], duodenum [37] and colon [38]. Our present results are in agreement with those observations. Rectal administration...
of acetic acid solution led to a reduction in blood flow in the colon, and this effect was associated with the development of colonic wall damage. Our present study also showed that administration of obestatin prior to colitis induction caused the improvement of blood flow in colonic mucosa, leading to a decrease in the area and severity of colonic mucosa damage. That observation suggests that the protective effect of obestatin on the colonic mucosa is related, at least in part, to improvement of blood flow in this membrane.

In this study, we evaluated the severity of colitis by measurement of mucosal concentration of IL-1β and MPO activity. IL-1β plays an essential role in the development of local and systemic inflammation [39]. This pro-inflammatory cytokine is mainly produced by monocytes and tissue macrophages. Interleukin-1β is involved in mediating both acute and chronic inflammation. It initiates the inflammatory cascade by activating the expression of further pro-inflammatory cytokines, mainly interleukin-6, TNF-α and prostaglandins [39, 40]. Interleukin-1β is synthesized in immature form, which is proteolytically processed to its active form by caspase-1 [41]. The interleukin-1 gene cluster has been mapped to the long arm of chromosome 2 and consists of three genes, IL-1α, IL-1β and IL-1 receptor antagonist genes, encoding IL-1α, IL-1β and IL-1 receptor antagonist proteins, respectively [42]. There are studies suggesting that some kinds of polymorphisms in the IL1B gene are associated with an increased risk of diseases such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [43] or chronic periodontitis [44]. In the experimental and clinical research, it has been demonstrated that administration of a receptor antagonist or immunoglobulins against IL-1β prevents the increase in IL-6 and TNF-α in inflammation, as well as decreasing the severity of inflammation [40, 45–48]. Our present study indicated that acetic-acid-induced colitis leads to an increase in mucosal concentration of IL-1β in the colon. Prior administration of obestatin partly, although significantly, reversed that effect. In contrast, administration of obestatin in animals without colitis did not affect IL-1β concentration in colonic mucosa.

The grade of tissue infiltration by neutrophil granulocytes is reflected by the level of MPO activity because it is an enzyme that is present in granularities of these cells [49, 50]. During inflammation, MPO is released from neutrophils, leading to the creation of hypochlorous acid and other free radicals, which have strong bactericidal and antiviral properties. However, free radicals which are created in this process also cause damage to host cells by destroying proteins, DNA and lipids [50]. In the present research, enema with acetic acid solution led to a significant and potent increase in myeloperoxidase activity in the colonic mucosa. Prior administration of obestatin, in animals in which colitis was induced, led to a significant reduction in the colitis-evoked increase in MPO activity in the colonic mucosa. On the other hand, administration of obestatin failed to affect MPO activity in the colonic mucosa in animals in which colitis was not induced.

The effects of obestatin on IL-1β concentration and MPO activity in colonic mucosa in rats with colitis suggest that the protective effect of obestatin is related to the inhibitory impact on the development of inflammation. The lack of effects of pretreatment with obestatin on mucosal IL-1β and MPO in animals without colitis suggests that this polypeptide has no influence on the immune system activity, as well as migration, and activity of leukocytes in the colon without inflammation.

In conclusion, our present results indicate that pretreatment with obestatin protects the colonic mucosa against acetic acid-induced damage. This observation suggests the potential applicability of this peptide in maintenance of IBD patients in remission.
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