The leukemogenic role of (iASPP) in acute leukemia

Sohier Abdel Almawgood¹, Nehal Salah Hasan¹, Mai Yousef²

Abstract

Introduction: The ASPP family (apoptosis – stimulating proteins of p53) comprises three proteins, ASPP1, ASPP2 and iASPP, that interact with and modulate the behavior of p53. ASPP1 and ASPP2 enhance the ability of p53 to induce apoptosis by causing p53 to up regulate the expression of proapoptotic genes specifically rather than genes involved in cell cycle arrest. Inhibitory member of the ASPP family (iASPP) acts as inhibitor for the p53, it was originally identified as a nuclear protein that interacts with and inhibits NFκB p56 RelA and inhibits p53-mediated cell death as well.

Material and methods: To examine the role of iASPP in acute leukemic patients, we analyzed iASPP mRNA expression in acute leukemia by semi quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).

Results: The results showed that the median level of iASPP in acute lymphoblastic leukemia and acute myeloid leukemia patients was significantly higher than those in cells from normal donors (p=0.04). The expression of iASPP in ALL and AML patients was not associated with age, gender, hemoglobin, platelets; blasts count in bone marrow, treatment outcome but was associated with blasts count in peripheral blood and total leucocytic count in AL patients.

Conclusions: The results of the present study suggest that iASPP may play a role in leukemogenesis and/or disease progression of acute leukemia.
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Introduction

Regulation of genomic stability and protection from malignant transformation are complex processes involving a variety of different mechanisms, including permanent cell cycle arrest after expression of an activated oncogene [1].

The activation of p53 is a guardian mechanism to protect primary cells from malignant transformation; however, the details of the activation of p53 by oncogenic stress are still incomplete [2].

p53 acts to prevent potentially dangerous mutations being passed on during cell division either by halting the cell cycle until the damage has been repaired, or by inducing apoptosis in order to eliminate the damaged cell [3].

The ASPP family (apoptosis – stimulating proteins of p53) is comprised of three proteins, ASPP1, ASPP2 and iASPP. These interact with, and modulate the behavior of p53 [4].

ASPP1 and ASPP2 enhance the ability of p53 to induce apoptosis by causing p53 to up regulate the expression of proapoptotic genes specifically...
rather than those genes involved in cell cycle arrest. ASPP1 and ASPP2 also induce apoptosis via p53 homologues p63 and p73 in a similar manner [5].

The third family member, iASPP (Inhibitory member ASPP family), originally identified as a nuclear protein that interacts with and inhibits NFkB p56 ReA, was named ReI-associated inhibitor (RAI) [3]. iASPP/RAI is substantially shorter than ASPP1 and ASPP2 and inhibits p53-mediated cell death [6].

The over expression of iASPP greatly enhances the transforming activities of Ras. In addition, iASPP expression is up regulated in human breast carcinomas and in acute myloid and lymphatic leukemia [7].

The aims of this study were to investigate iASPP expression in Egyptian cases of de novo acute leukemia using semi-quantitative RT-PCR and correlate the findings with the clinical and laboratory data of the patients.

Material and methods

Forty patients with de novo acute leukemia were selected from the Pediatric and Medical Oncology Department of the National Cancer Institute of Cairo University. The study also included a control group with twenty age and sex matched subjects. Samples were collected from December 2005 to February 2006. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the National Research Center, and all participants gave their written informed consent.

All patients had the following carried out:

History taking and a physical examination with careful notation and assessment of clinical signs relevant to leukemia such as hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, lymphadenopathy, gums or skin infiltration.

Laboratory investigations including complete blood count, routine biochemical profile, bone marrow (BM) examination for: FAB classification, cytochemistry and immunophenotyping study by marrow infiltration.

Detection of the expression of iASPP by RT-PCR was carried out through two steps:

1. mRNA preparation

Total RNA was extracted from whole blood using QIA AMP RNA kit (QIAGEN) in which erythrocytes were selectively lysed and leucocytes were recovered by centrifugation. The leucocytes were then lysed using highly denaturizing conditions allowing the isolation of intact RNA. After homogenization of the lysate by a brief centrifugation through a KIA shredder spin column, ethanol was added to adjust binding conditions and the sample was applied to the QIA amp spin column.

RNA was bound to the silica gel membrane during a brief centrifugation step. Contaminants were washed away and total RNA was eluted in 30 μl or more of RNase free water for direct use in any downstream application.

2. Semi-quantitative analysis of RT-PCR products

Amplification was carried out in Pekrin Elmer Thermocycler 9600 with a final volume of 50 μl (Applied Biosystems). Each PCR sample contained 8 μl of template RNA in: 10 μl of 5x Qiagen one step RT-PCR buffer (Tris-CL, KCL, (NH4)2SO4, 12.5mM MgCl2, DTT; Ph 8.7), 2 μl of Qiagen one step RT-PCR enzyme mix, 2 μl of dNTP, 26 μl of RNase free water and a pair of 1 μl of specific primers (sense: 5’CGTGGATTTCCTACACCG 3’, anti-sense: 5’ TCCCTTGAGGCGCTTCGTTG 3’). These were designed to amplify a 452 bp fragment of iASPP. All reaction tubes were transferred to a thermal cycler and incubated at 50°C for 30 min for cDNA synthesis then, incubated at 95°C for 15 min to inactivate the reverse transcriptase and to completely denaturize the template. The iASPP amplification reactions consisted of 32 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 45 sec, annealing at 55°C for 45 sec, and extension at 72°C for 60 sec.

As an internal control, a 271 bp fragment of β-actin was also amplified using its specific primers (sense: 5’ TCATGGTGGAGACCTTACG 3’, anti-sense: 5’ GTCTTTGCATGTCCACG 3’) which was performed with 28 cycles of 60 sec at 94°C for denaturation, 60 sec at 53°C for annealing and 60 sec at 72°C for extension.

An amount of 15 μl PCR product with a DNA marker (OX174/Hae III promega) were subjected to electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide, and then photographed by ultraviolet light (Figure 1). Relative expression of iASPP was calculated according to the following formula: R=Densiometric units of iASPP/Densiometric units of β-actin. Considering that the 95% confidence interval of iASPP expression in normal specimens ranged between 0-0.53 (Figure 1B), we defined patients over 0.53 as over expressed patients (Figure 1A).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of results was performed using SPSS 7.5 for Windows. Non parametric data were described in the form of median and range, (SD) while qualitative data was presented in the form of number and percentage. Chi-square test was used to test association between two qualitative variables. Quantitative data compared using two sided Student t-test, where a p-value of ≤0.05 was considered significant.

Results

The main presenting signs of leukaemia were easily fatigability and pallor in all patients in the ALL and AML groups. Splenomegaly and hepatome-
galy were found in 35% of AML patients and about 50-55% in ALL groups (Table I).

Among the ALL group, 6 cases (30%) were pre-B ALL (μ chain), 8 cases (40%) were common ALL (CD 10), 4 cases (20%) were mature B ALL (surface Ig), 2 cases (10%) were T-ALL (CD 3,7) (Table II B). In the AML group, 4 cases (20%) were M1 (CD 13,33, HLA-DR+), 7 cases (35%) were M2 (CD 13,33, HLA-DR+), 4 cases (20%) were M3 (CD 13,33, HLA-DR–), 3 cases (15%) were M4 (CD 13,33,14,15), 1 case (5%) was M5 (CD 14), 1 case (5%) was M6 (Glyco- phorin A) (Table II B).

iASPP expression in ALL and AML patients was significantly greater than in the control group (p=0.04), while no difference was present between AML and ALL groups (p=0.6). The frequency of iASPP over expression was 32.5% (35% in ALL and 30% in AML patients) (Table II B).

There was a significant correlation between iASPP expression and peripheral blood blast cells in both ALL and AML patients (p=0.001 and 0.001 respectively). There was no significant correlation between iASPP over expression and outcome of patients (p>0.05).

Discussion

The balance between cell division and cell death is vital for the maintenance of homoeostasis in metazoan organisms, and the disruption of this balance contributes to many pathological conditions [3].

The p53 tumor suppressor exerts anti-proliferative effects, including growth arrest, apoptosis and cell senescence, in response to various types of stress. Tight regulation of p53 activation is imperative for preventing tumor genesis and maintaining normal cell growth.

iASPP has an oncogenic role through its inhibition of p53 and hence could play role in tumor development [10]. Also over expression of iASPP may play an important role in leukemogenesis and may affect the progression of the disease [11, 12].

Both retrospective and prospective reports have studied iASPP as an inhibitor of p53 protein [3, 5, 6, 8, 13-15].

In the current study, iASPP expression was assessed compared to the internal control, β-actin, in each sample.

In our study, the iASPP expression in ALL patients showed a significant increase as compared to the control group (p=0.04) (Figure 2). All patients had a mean level of expression of 0.47±0.37, while the control group had a mean level of expression of 0.19±0.16. This is in accordance with Zhang et al., 2005 [11], who reported in his work that the mean level of expression in ALL patients was 0.33.
There was also a statistically significant increase in the iASPP expression in the AML group of patients compared to the controls \( (p=0.04) \) (Figure 2). Their mean level of expression was \( 0.45\pm0.32 \) as compared to the control group who had a mean level of expression of \( 0.19\pm0.16 \). Again this corresponds well with Zhang et al., 2005 \[11\] who reported that the mean level of expression in AML patients was \( 0.43 \).

However there was no significant difference in iASPP expression between acute lymphoblastic leukemic cells and acute myeloid leukemic cells \( (p=0.6) \). This could be explained by the fact that the main role of iASPP is inhibiting the p53 mediated apoptosis, thus leading to down regulation of p53 in cases of acute leukemia. Several authors have documented the fact that dysregulation of p53 is found in both types of acute leukemia, whether ALL or AML \[16-18\].

On comparing iASPP expression in the studied AL patients and the different laboratory parameters, no association was detected with age, gender, hemoglobin level, platelet count, total leukocytic count and blasts count in BM. A significant correlation was only detected with the peripheral blood blast count \( (p=0.001) \) (Figure 3-4). However, it has been reported that iASPP gene expression in newly diagnosed ALL patients, was not related with any parameter other than CD34 expression \[11\].

Regarding the subtypes of each of the acute leukemia cases, no correlation was found between the different subtypes and iASPP expression due to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ALL (n=20)</th>
<th>AML (n=20)</th>
<th>Controls (n=20)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>23.24±15</td>
<td>31.03±14.6</td>
<td>20.47±13.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy fatigability</td>
<td>20 (100%)</td>
<td>20 (100%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphadenopathy</td>
<td>7 (35%)</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenomegaly</td>
<td>11 (55%)</td>
<td>7 (35%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatomegaly</td>
<td>10 (50%)</td>
<td>7 (35%)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( N/A \) - non-applicable

### Table IIA. Laboratory results of ALL, AML and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ALL (n=20)</th>
<th>AML (n=20)</th>
<th>Controls (n=20)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hb (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>7.9±1.8</td>
<td>7.7±1.1</td>
<td>11.5±1.4</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>88.9±32</td>
<td>89.7±53</td>
<td>269.46±105</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>218±7.3</td>
<td>18.3±5.89</td>
<td>7.9±2.02</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB. Blasts (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>17.7±5.9</td>
<td>20.8±8.01</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM. Blasts (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>78.25±16.6</td>
<td>66.11±18.83</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table IIB. Laboratory results of ALL and AML (continue)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter/Classification</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AML (FAB)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL (IPT)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-ALL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-B ALL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mature B ALL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f-ALL</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>67.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( p \) significant \( \leq 0.05 \)

Hb – hemoglobin, TLC – total leukocytic count, PB – peripheral blood, BM – bone marrow

N/A – non-applicable
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the small number of cases in each group. It was reported that iASPP gene expression in M3 and M4 were significantly lower than in other subtypes of AML [11]. However, we found that iASPP is over expressed more frequently in M2 cases (5/7) than in other subtypes of AML patients.

On investigating the treatment outcome and the level of iASPP expression in the different types of acute leukemia, 70% of over expressed cases showed complete remission, while 30% died during induction. In patients expressing normal levels of iASPP, 70% of them entered into complete remission after the first phase of induction. On the other hand, 30% died during the first induction. There was no correlation between iASPP over expression and outcome of the patients (p>0.05). However, others have mentioned that high levels of iASPP expression caused cells to become more resistant to the destructive effects of chemotherapeutic drugs [19]. This finding could not be confirmed in our work due to the small number of patients included in the study. More studies with larger number of patients need to be carried out to clarify the relationship between iASPP over expression and the outcome of patients.

Conclusions

Previous results have shown that iASPP contributes to leukemogenesis and enhanced cellular proliferation. We agree with others [20] that this may open the field of research for new therapeutic drugs with new targets of treatment.
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