The prognostic impact of MGMT expression on low-grade gangliogliomas: a clinicopathological and immunohistochemical study
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Abstract
Ganglioglioma (GG) is an uncommon brain parenchymal neoplasm. Although most cases have indolent clinical behaviour, a subgroup of GGs does recur, especially in patients with unresectable disease. O6-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) is a DNA repair protein that removes mutagenic and cytotoxic adducts from O6-guanine in DNA. Lack of MGMT protein expression immunohistochemically is related to drug responses in patients with malignant glioma treated with alkylating agents. Furthermore, MGMT promoter methylation has also been investigated as an independent favourable prognostic factor for glioblastoma. The primary management is surgical resection for GGs and gross total resection is recommended. Despite infrequent use of chemotherapy for low-grade GGs, it was still introduced to a subset of patients, especially those who had unresectable disease. We assessed clinicopathological features of nine cases of low-grade GG to further elucidate the relationship between the status of the MGMT protein expression and the prognosis. This series included four men and five women with a mean age of 21.6 years at the first surgery. The mean postoperative follow-up period was 6 years. Only two patients had recurrent disease after 1.7 and 3.2 years of the first surgery. Immunohistochemically, 11.1% exhibited 3+ nuclear staining for MGMT protein, 11.1% exhibited 2+ staining, 33.3% exhibited 1+ staining, and 44.4% exhibited 0 staining. Tumours with more intensive MGMT protein expression (2+~3+ immunostaining) tended to recur more frequently (p < 0.05), corresponding to the worse prognostic predictive value of intensive MGMT staining.

Key words: ganglioglioma, low-grade, MGMT, O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase, WHO grade I.

Introduction
Gangliogliomas (GGs) are benign tumours that were first identified by Perkins in 1926 as a distinct type of intracranial neoplasm [20]. They are typically well-differentiated, slowly growing neuroepithelial tumours, composed of neoplastic, mature ganglion cells, in combination with neoplastic glial cells. Both the glial and neuronal cells are believed to evolve from a common precursor cell in a hamartomatous glioneuronal lesion that subsequently differentiates to form neoplastic glial and neuronal elements [3,29]. GGs represent only 0.4% of all central nervous system (CNS) neoplasms and 1.3% of all brain tumours...
While rare, they are the most common of the glioneuronal neoplasms that arise within the central neuraxis. Although any site within the neuraxis may be affected, most tumours develop in the temporal lobe (approximately 70%) [5,17,28]. Gangliogliomas represent the number one tumour entity in young patients suffering from chronic, intractable focal epilepsy [4,16,18]. The mean/median age at diagnosis ranges from 8.5 to 25 years [9,12,21,28]. The vast majority of GGs have excellent long-term prognosis following adequate surgical resection [12,17]. Local recurrence may occur especially in patients who have undergone subtotal resection [6,17]. The latest World Health Organization (WHO) classification of tumours of the central nerve system (2007) divides GGs into grade I and III, corresponding to ganglioglioma and anaplastic ganglioglioma [14]. Malignant transformation of low-grade GGs is exceptional and generally restricted to the glial component [9,17,21,28]. The primary management is surgical resection for all grades of gangliogliomas and gross total resection is recommended if technically feasible. Adjuvant radiation therapy may delay the time to progression for unresectable tumours [6]. However, the use of chemotherapy is still controversial for low-grade GGs [6,17,25] but is warranted for anaplastic counterparts. The chemotherapeutic regimens introduced for anaplastic GGs are similar to those for high-grade gliomas, i.e. temozolamide, nitrosoureas, procarbazine, thiotepa and platinum-based drugs.

O$_6$-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) is a DNA repair protein that removes mutagenic and cytotoxic adducts from O$_6$-guanine in DNA. Methylation of discrete regions of the CpG island of the MGMT gene is associated with the silencing of the gene [23,27]. The DNA-repair enzyme MGMT is also a key factor in resistance to alkylating agents, because the transfer of alkyl groups to MGMT prevents the formation of lethal cross-links in DNA [15,19]. Furthermore, MGMT promoter methylation has been investigated as an independent favourable prognostic factor for glioblastoma [8]. To investigate the expression status of MGMT protein, and the possible prognostic impact on low-grade GGs, we conducted the current study.

**Material and methods**

This retrospective clinicopathological and immunohistochemical study was approved by the institutional review board of our institutes. Between 1998 and 2012, there were 11 cases of ganglioglioma diagnosed at the Departments of Pathology of Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital and E-DA Hospital. One case of primary anaplastic ganglioglioma was excluded. Another case was also excluded due to lack of residual tissue for further study. As a result, nine cases of ganglioglioma were enrolled in the present study. Clinical data, which included age, sex, location of involvement, treatment and clinical follow-up, were obtained from the medical records. Routine haematoxylin-eosin-stained sections were generated from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue, which was cut to 3 μm-thick. Tumours were graded based on the 2007 revision of the new World Health Organization (WHO) classification of tumours of the central nerve system [14].

Immunohistochemical stains were performed using standard reagents and techniques on an i6000 Automated Staining System (BioGenex, San Ramon, CA). The following antibodies were used for immunohistochemistry: glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) (clone ZCG29, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA; steam in citrate buffer, 1 : 150), S-100 (polyclonal, Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA; 1 : 1000), CD34 (clone QBEnd/10, NeoMarkers, Fremont, CA, USA; steam in citrate buffer, 1 : 400), MIB-1 antigen (clone MIB-1, Dako; steam in citrate buffer, 1 : 100), synaptophysin (clone 27G12, Novocastra, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; steam in citrate buffer, 1 : 200), chromogranin A (clone 5H7, Novocastra, 1 : 150), neuron-specific enolase (NSE) (clone Z51/7, NeoMarkers, Fremont, CA, USA; steam in citrate buffer, 1 : 100), neurofilament (clone NE14, BioGenex; steam in citrate buffer, 1 : 100), neuron-specific enolase (NSE) (clone BBS/NC/VI-H14, Dako; steam in citrate buffer, 1 : 100) and O$_6$-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) (clone ML3.1, NeoMarkers; steam in citrate buffer, 1 : 40). Inactivation of endogenous peroxidase activity was obtained by incubating sections in 3% H$_2$O$_2$ for 15 min. Localization of bound antibodies was performed with a non-biotin polymeric technology (Super Sensitive™ Polymer-HRP Detection System; BioGenex). Immunoreactions were visualized using 3,30-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (ZYMED®, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Appropriate positive controls for each antibody were run in parallel. For evaluation of MGMT, the immunostained slides were assessed for both extent and intensity of staining. The percentage of tumour with positive staining was estimated first. Next, the intensity of staining was semiquantitatively scored from 0 to 3+. The rough percentage of tumour with positive staining and the...
A semiquantitative measure of staining intensity were then tabulated for each specimen (Table I).

Progression-free survival (PFS) interval was defined as the period from first diagnosis to first local recurrence. The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows 11.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Progression-free survival was estimated by the Kaplan-Meier analysis and compared using the log-rank test. A \( p \)-value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant.

Results

Clinical course

The essential clinical information of all 9 cases is summarized in Table II. This series included 4 men and 5 women with a mean age of 21.6 years at first surgery. The most prevalent site was temporal lobes, accounting for 44.4% (4/9) of all cases. The other sites of the brain parenchymal tumours included occipital lobes (2/9, 22.2%), frontotemporal lobe (1/9, 11.1%), cerebellum (1/9, 11.1%), and spinal cord (1/9, 11.1%). The tumours ranged from 1.5 to 6.0 cm in the greatest diameter, and the mean diameter was 3.2 cm. The most common initial symptom was seizure (6/9, 66.7%). Other manifestation included headache, nausea, vomiting, and pain and numbness of right lower extremities.

Clinical follow-up after diagnosis was available for all patients with a mean follow-up period of 6 years (range: 1.6 to 12.3 years). Seven of nine patients (77.8%) had gross total resection at the first surgery and two (22.2%) had subtotal resection. Only one patient who had an unresectable tumour (case 9) received postoperative radiotherapy and chemotherapy of temozolomide. Only two patients (cases 8 and 9) had recurrent disease after 1.7 and 3.2 years of the first surgery. One patient (case 8) underwent radiosurgery for recurrent disease. Temozolomide was administered to one patient (case 9) for the recurrent tumour at first. Because of ineffectiveness of chemotherapy, subtotal resection was finally performed for the recurrent disease. The mean progression-free survival was 4.9 years. All patients were alive at the last clinical follow-up.

Light microscopy and immunohistochemistry

In total, we obtained specimens from nine primary tumours. The histological and immunohistochemical characteristics are listed in Table I. All tumours belonged to WHO grade I. Microscopically, all tumours were composed of both neuronal and glial cell elements (Figs. 1A and 2B). The dysmorphic neuronal cells exhibited cytomegaly, focal binucleation and perimembranous aggregated Nissl substance, and were distributed unevenly in either clusters or scattered individually within the tumour (Figs. 1A and 2B). The glial component was composed of neoplastic astrocytes with small bland-looking nuclei in a patternless fibrillary matrix (Fig. 1A). Calcifications were observed in six of nine tumours (66.7%) (Fig. 2A). Necrosis and haemorrhage were not found.

Immunohistochemically, the glial cell components were immunoreactive to GFAP (Fig. 2C) and S-100 pro-

---

**Table I. Summary of histopathological and immunohistochemical findings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>WHO grade</th>
<th>Necrosis</th>
<th>Haemorrhage</th>
<th>Mitotic count (/10HPF)</th>
<th>Calcification</th>
<th>MGMT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>75%, 1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20%, 1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>75%, 2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>50%, 3+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HPF – high-power field, MGMT – O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase, WHO – World Health Organization

The extent of immunohistochemical staining is expressed semiquantitatively: the percentage of the tumour cells with observable staining, followed by the intensity of staining (0, 1+, 2+, 3+).
While the neuronal cell components showed positive immunostaining for neurofilament, synaptophysin (Fig. 2D), NSE (Fig. 2E) and variable chromogranin A. One of the nine tumours (11.1%) exhibited 3+ nuclear staining for MGMT protein (Fig. 2F), one (11.1%) exhibited 2+ staining (Fig. 1B), three (33.3%) exhibited 1+ staining, and four (44.4%) exhibited 0 staining. The mean PFS was 5.7 and 2.5 years for primary tumours with 0 to 1+ and 2+ to 3+ MGMT staining, respectively.

**Statistical analyses**

The tumours were dichotomised into low and high MGMT expression (0 to 1+ and 2+ to 3+ immunostaining, respectively). The statistical analyses of PFS and parameters were done by Kaplan-Meier analysis and compared using the log-rank test. The PFS was correlated with MGMT expression status (low vs. high expression) with statistical significance ($p = 0.0039$) (Fig. 3). No statistical significance was found between PFS and all the parameters, including gender, initial presentation of seizure, tumour size, tumour site, extent of surgery, and postoperative radiotherapy or chemotherapy.

**Discussion**

Ganglioglioma (GG) is a rare type of brain tumour. These tumours occur throughout the CNS system; however, the majority of GGs are localized in the temporal lobe (approximately 70%) [5,17,28]. The typical GGs are benign tumours with indolent behaviour and correspond to WHO grade I. Only about 1% to 5% of all gangliogliomas exhibit anaplastic features in the glial component [5,17] and are categorized as WHO grade III tumours. Gross total resection is recommended for GGs as the primary management [6]. Despite infrequent use of chemotherapy for low-grade GGs, it was still introduced to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>Age (years)/sex</th>
<th>Initial symptoms</th>
<th>Tumour size (cm)/location</th>
<th>Extent of surgery</th>
<th>RT</th>
<th>RS</th>
<th>CT</th>
<th>Local recurrence and time (years)</th>
<th>Clinical outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>27/M</td>
<td>Seizure</td>
<td>1.5/left occipital GTR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NED, 3.3 years\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23/M</td>
<td>Seizure</td>
<td>NK/right temporal GTR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NED, 7.7 years\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3/M</td>
<td>Seizure</td>
<td>1.5/left temporal GTR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NED, 3.4 years\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6/F</td>
<td>Seizure</td>
<td>3.0/left temporal GTR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NED, 12.3 years\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>39/F</td>
<td>Incidental finding</td>
<td>5.5/left occipital GTR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NED, 1.6 years\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6/M</td>
<td>Headache, N/V, unsteady gait</td>
<td>NK/right cerebellum GTR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NED, 3.3 years\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>19/F</td>
<td>Pain and numbness of right lower extremities</td>
<td>6.0/spinal cord, C6-T5 STR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>AWT, 8.1 years\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>34/F</td>
<td>Headache, seizure</td>
<td>2.5/left temporal GTR</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (1.7)</td>
<td>NED, 10.6 years\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>37/F</td>
<td>Seizure</td>
<td>2.1/left frontotemporal</td>
<td>STR Yes\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes\textsuperscript{ab}</td>
<td>Yes (3.2)</td>
<td>AWT\textsuperscript{d}, 3.8 years\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


\textsuperscript{a} For primary tumour, \textsuperscript{b} for recurrent tumour, \textsuperscript{c} time from first surgery at our hospital to last visit, \textsuperscript{d} The patient underwent subtotal resection for recurrent tumour after ineffective treatment of temozolomide.
a subset of patients, especially those who had unresectable disease [6,17].

The DNA-repair enzyme O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) is a key factor in resistance to alkylating agents [15,19]. Deficiency of the enzyme may increase the sensitivity of brain tumours to alkylating agents [10,26]. MGMT promoter methylation and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) amplification may co-occur in one fifth (19%) of patients with glioblastoma [1]. In the study of Esteller et al. [7], MGMT promoter methylation in glioma was associated with regression of the tumour and prolonged overall and disease-free survival. It was an independent prognostic factor for the patient with carmustine treatment in this study. In another study by Hegi et al. [8], not only was a survival benefit observed in patients treated with temozolomide and radiotherapy among patients whose tumour contained a methylated MGMT promoter, but also MGMT promoter methylation was an independent favourable prognostic factor irrespective of treatment. Theoretically, methylation of the MGMT promoter would affect MGMT protein expression. Some studies have demonstrated an association of MGMT expression by immunohistochemical study with the patient outcome [2,13]. However, others have shown no correlation between MGMT expression and patient survival [22,24].

In this study, we confirmed the indolent clinical behaviour of low-grade GG. Although two of nine cases (22.2%) had recurrent tumours after gross total or subtotal resection in our case series, all patients survived the disease with a mean follow-up period of 6 years (range: 1.6 to 12.3 years). The status of MGMT protein expression demonstrated prognostic value for WHO grade I GGs. Tumours with more intensive MGMT protein expression (2+–3+ immunostaining) tended to recur more frequently ($p < 0.05$), corresponding to the worse prognostic predictive value of intensive MGMT staining. In the current case series, patient 9 was the only one who underwent chemotherapy of temozolomide for postoperative adjuvant
Fig. 2. Brain tumour of case 9. A) Dystrophic calcification. B) A biphasic differentiation pattern composed of glial and neuronal cellular elements. C) The glial component revealed intense staining for GFAP. The ganglion cells and their processes were immunoreactive to D) synaptophysin and E) NSE. F) Tumour cells revealed strong (3+) nuclear staining of MGMT. Note the internal positive control of endothelial cells. A) H&E, ×40; B) H&E, ×400; C, F) GFAP, MGMT immunostains, ×200; D, E) Synaptophysin, NSE immunostains, ×400.

therapy in combination with radiotherapy at first diagnosis, as well as for recurrent disease. The intensive expression of MGMT demonstrated by immuno-histochemistry may explain the possible mechanism of unresponsiveness to temozolomide. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of descrip-
Prognosis vs. MGMT expression in low-grade GGs

In conclusion, the current study demonstrated the indolent clinical behaviour of low-grade ganglioglioma. The intensive MGMT protein staining tended to be worse prognostic factors for patients with low-grade gangliogliomas (p < 0.05). We also supposed the unresponsiveness to temozolomide, a common alkylating agent used for malignant glioma, of case 9, was due to the strong expression of MGMT protein, which could remove alkyl groups to MGMT. For the candidate patients for treatment of temozolomide, we suggest that one should examine the MGMT expression status of the tumour before drug administration.
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