Controversies and difficulties of CT and MR imaging evaluation – on the basis of a case study of liposarcoma in the retroperitoneal space
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Introduction

Soft-tissue sarcomas are rare tumours, constituting 1-2% of all malignant tumours. Approximately 10-20% of these tumours are located in the retroperitoneal space. Out of all retroperitoneal tumours, those most likely to occur are liposarcoma, leiomyosarcoma, and malignant schwannoma [1].

Because of its location, slow growth, and associated with it a long latency period, retroperitoneal sarcomas reach large sizes. Peak incidence falls between 40 and 60 years of age. The most common symptoms include pain and enlarged abdomen circumference; gastrointestinal symptoms occur sporadically. Retroperitoneal sarcomas often infiltrate adjacent tissues and organs such as kidney, colon, duodenum, pancreas, and large vessels. Lymph node metastases are rare [1, 2].

The basis of diagnostics is radiological tests: abdominal ultrasound, computed tomography or magnetic resonance. Biopsy made under the control of the ultrasound image or CT scan allows diagnosis by histopathology. Sometimes it is difficult on the basis of biopsy to determine the type of sarcoma and its degree of malignancy. That is why some surgeons recommend laparotomy with biopsy [3-5].

Treatment of retroperitoneal sarcoma is based on a combination of surgical treatment with radiotherapy and chemotherapy.

The aim of surgery should be to achieve complete tumour removal with a wide margin of healthy tissue.

In the case of retroperitoneal sarcomas, it is often necessary to perform resective surgery, type “en bloc”, including the removal of infiltration of tissues and organs such as stomach, small intestine, large intestine, pancreas, spleen, kidney, and part of the liver. In specialized centres, this type of surgery is performed in 83% of cases [4, 6, 7].

In the case of non-radical surgery with low differentiation of tumour, radiotherapy is used complementarily; the total dose should be above 50 Gy. Increasingly, intraoperative brachytherapy is combined with pre- or postoperative radiotherapy to reduce side effects of high dose irradiation of the abdominal organs and reduce the number of local recurrences. Using only intraoperative irradiation is insufficient [8, 9].

There have been no beneficial effects of chemotherapy on overall survival.

Case report

A woman aged 45 was admitted to the family doctor because of pain in the left lumbar area, with local spreading. Ultrasound of the abdomen revealed a small degree of slack in the left kidney. Basic laboratory tests were without deviation. Expanded diagnostic tests using abdominal CT were performed; they showed a focal lesion of 6 cm with fuzzy contours in the lower part of the kidney. CT examination revealed a lesion of 6 cm in the lower border of the kidney, with unclear borders; the lesion was hypoechoic in US, and hypodense in CT. Magnetic resonance imaging showed a focal lesion of 6 cm in the lower border of the kidney, with unclear borders; the lesion was hypointense on T1 weighted images, and hyperintense on T2 weighted images. Biopsy under CT control was performed; histopathology showed a liposarcoma.

The patient underwent “en bloc” operation, consisting of resection of the lesion, with wide margins of healthy tissue, and a segment of the left kidney. Biopsy was performed under CT control; histopathology confirmed the diagnosis of liposarcoma. Intraperitoneal irradiation was performed; the total dose was 50 Gy. After 6 months, the patient was alive and well; there was no recurrence or metastasis.
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the left kidney, with infiltration of the psoas major muscle, and spleen displaced upwards. The patient was referred to the Urological Clinic in Poznan, where she underwent radical removal of the tumour; histopathological diagnosis was fibromyxoid liposarcoma G1. After a month, a control MRI examination of the abdominal region was performed, which showed a large retroperitoneal tumour (Fig. 1). The patient was referred to the Oncology Centre in Warsaw, where she had further surgery, “en bloc”, consisting in removal of the tumour, left kidney, spleen, and part of the pancreas with a margin of healthy tissue (total 20 cm). During the procedure, intraoperative brachytherapy was performed, with the dose of 20 Gy. Histopathological examination of the postoperative material confirmed liposarcoma G1.

After the surgery the patient received supplementary teletherapy. The total dose was 50.4 Gy (1.8 Gy fractional dose). The patient was in good condition after the treatment. The patient remained under strict control of oncologists.

The tomographic tests of the abdomen showed no recurrence of cancer (Fig. 2). One year after the completion of the treatment the patient had gastric complaints – intra-abdominal pain, anorexia, constipation, vomiting. Gastric ulcer was diagnosed with the presence of *Helicobacter pylori*; a conservative treatment was implemented – with no improvement.

The patient was admitted to the Department of Surgery of the University of Medical Sciences in Poznan with suspected intestinal obstruction. Laparotomy was performed. Post-radiation small bowel obstruction was diagnosed; gastroenterostomy and Brown’s entero-enterostomy anastomosis was performed. In the collected material, in the histopathological examination no tumour cells were found.

The patient remained under oncological control. Her general condition was good, and additional examinations were without deviation.

After half a year, more monitoring abdominal CT examinations were performed. In the place where the left kidney had been removed, an extending downward infiltration was detected – the image suggested recurrent tumour (Fig. 3). The patient was disqualified from repeated surgery because of large changes of over 10 cm; she was qualified for symptomatic treatment. The patient was in good condition, did not report any pain, and additional examinations did not detect any symptoms of recurrence of the disease. Repeat CT examination performed after another 6 months confirmed local recurrence, but showed no progression of the described infiltration (the change was not enlarged). Due to the good general condition of the patient and the continuing changes in radiological tests, the patient was referred to the chemotherapy clinic for consideration of chemotherapy treatment with cytostatics. Before the treatment it was de-
It must be remembered that for a long period, reaching even a few years, liposarcomas are clinically silent. It happens that in radiological tests sarcomas do not show contrast intensification or give false positive results (these are confused with scar changes after treatment) [17].

In the described case, all radiographic images obtained during the follow-up examinations were analysed; the last CT examination image, taken 30 months after the end of the treatment (surgery and radiotherapy), is identical to the first control CT of the abdominal cavity; it shows no recurrence of cancer and only scar changes.

**Discussion**

The basic treatment of liposarcoma is surgery and the lack of completeness of resection significantly worsens the prognosis. Five-year survivals after resection of the tumour range from 25 to 70% and the recurrence rate is estimated at 40-80% [10-12]. Distant metastases to the liver or lungs are rare. The most common cause of treatment failure is local recurrence.

Overall survival is connected with the degree of tumour malignancy. Tumours with a high degree of malignancy are associated with 3-6 times higher risk of death than tumours with a small or medium degree (G2, G1) [13].

The indications for radiotherapy are non-radicalness of the surgery, recurrence, and a high degree of malignancy [14].

Research is being conducted on the effects of chemotherapy, using doxorubicin or imatinib; however, no positive impact on the overall effect of treatment has been found. The use of perioperative chemotherapy was unsuccessful [4, 9].

Encouraging results in survival were obtained by using intraoperative brachytherapy and external beam therapy [15, 16].

Conducting randomized trials in patients with retroperitoneal sarcoma is to contribute to determining the type of therapy used for healing.
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