The term proteomics was used for the first time in 1995 to describe large-scale protein analyses. At the same time proteomics was distinguished as a new domain of the life sciences. The major object of proteomic studies is the proteome, i.e. the set of all proteins accumulating in a given cell, tissue or organ. During the last years several new methods and techniques have been developed to increase the fidelity and efficacy of proteomic analyses. The most widely used are two-dimensional electrophoresis (2DE) and mass spectrometry (MS).

In the past decade proteomic analyses have also been successfully applied in biomedical research. They allow one to determine how various diseases affect the pattern of protein accumulation. In this paper, we attempt to summarize the results of the proteomic analyses of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cells. They have increased our knowledge on the mechanisms underlying AML development and contributed to progress in AML diagnostics and treatment.
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**Introduction**

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a malignant, clonal, highly genetically heterogeneous disease of blood-forming tissue. AML is characterized by impaired production of normal morphotic blood elements, accumulation of abnormal cells called blasts and invasion of various organs and tissues. For many years, AML diagnostics was mainly based on classic laboratory blood tests (morphological and cytochemical assessment of leukemic cells). On the basis of these tests, the French-American-British AML classification was created, which makes it possible to distinguish eight basic subtypes of the disease (AML M0-M7) (Table 1) [1]. The currently used AML classification system was proposed in 2008 by the World Health Organization (WHO). It considers the morphological features of leukemic cells, their immunophenotype and the results of cytogenetic and genetic analyses (Table 2) [2]. Its introduction resulted in increasing the number of AML subtypes to approximately 30. The new AML classification is of significant prognostic importance; however, it practically does not affect the therapeutic patterns. Uniform treatment is recommended in the majority of cases, which is not appropriate with the multitude of AML subtypes. In addition, the clinical and laboratory test-based AML prognostic models are characterized by a low predictive value. Therefore, it is absolutely necessary to take further action to explain the molecular mechanisms that underlie leukemic transformations. Their identification would certainly contribute to the development of new strategies for AML treatment and new forms of the so-called targeted therapy. Currently, the group of basic factors inducing cancer transformation include abnormal activation of signal transduction pathways (STP), defective regulation of the cell cycle and apoptosis disorders [3, 4].

Large-scale research techniques developed in recent years (genomic, proteomic, metabolic) have created completely new possibilities of simultaneous analysis of an enormous number of factors affecting all physiological and pathological processes that occur in living systems. Solely, a comparative analysis of gene expression profiles in various AML subtypes provided a sufficient amount of information to propose a new classification system and define new subgroups of potential prognostic importance [5, 6]. The microarray techniques used in this research made it possible to assess the level of individual mRNA accumulation. Unfortunately, DNA microarrays based methods are burdened with numerous flaws. Firstly, they are extremely complicated and costly. Additionally, the quantity of the generated transcript does not always correspond to the quantity of protein produced. Hence, it is still necessary to identify new and more reliable biomarkers. Comparative proteomic analysis is one of the most interesting and promising approaches. It facilitates the examination of very complex protein mixtures by quantitative and qualitative assessments of their individual components.

The term “proteomics” was first used in 1995 to define large-scale analyses of proteins. At the same time, proteomics was defined as a new field of...
science focusing on the proteome, i.e. a set of all proteins occurring at a given time and at a given place in an organism. Depending on the character and scope of research conducted, we can refer to a cellular proteome, tissue proteome or the proteome of the whole body. In the last years, a range of techniques and methods have been developed which increase the efficiency and effectiveness of proteomic analyses. These procedures include two-dimensional electrophoresis (2DE), mass spectrometry (MS), and complex chromatographic, biochemical and immunolocalization approaches. Recently, the techniques involving protein microarrays have been developed. Although, useful in both qualitative and quantitative proteome analyses they are still not very popular. By using them one can identify post-translational modifications or assess the activity of a selected set of proteins. Protein microarrays are also free of limitations of conventional techniques, such as Western blot and ELISA.

### Table 1. French-American-British AML classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AML subtype</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>AML with minimal differentiation, 3-5% of cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>AML without maturation, 15-20% of cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>AML with maturation, 25-30% of cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL), 10-15% of cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>acute myelomonocytic leukemia, 20-30% of cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5a</td>
<td>acute monoblastic leukemia; 2-7% of cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5b</td>
<td>acute monocytic leukemia; 2-5% of cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>acute erythroid leukemia; 3-5% of cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td>acute megakaryoblastic leukemia; 3-5% of cases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. WHO AML classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AML subtype</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AML with recurrent genetic abnormalities</td>
<td>AML with translocation t(8;21)(q22;q22) AML with inv(16) AML with 1q23 translocations AML with RAR fusion t(15;17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML with multilineage dysplasia</td>
<td>after a preceding myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) without previous MDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML therapy-related</td>
<td>alkylating agent and radiation Therapy Related AML topoisomerase II Inhibitor Related AML (MDS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML not otherwise categorized</td>
<td>AML minimally differentiated (FAB – M0) AML without maturation (FAB – M1) AML with maturation (FAB – M2 and M3) acute myelomonocytic leukemia (FAB – M4) acute monoblastic leukemia and monocytic leukemia (FAB – M5a and M5b) acute erythroleukemia (FAB – M6) acute megakaryoblastic leukemia (FAB – M7) acute basophilic leukemia acute panmyelosis with myelofibrosis myeloid sarcoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Proteomics in the studies of acute myeloid leukemia pathogenesis and development

Hematopoiesis is a series of complex, dynamic and precisely arranged processes that include self-renewal and differentiation of bone marrow stem cells. The differentiation of the common myeloid precursor cells lead to the formation of cells of granulocytic and monocytic lines (Fig. 1). Even slight disturbances within just one process may result in the development of various diseases, starting from bone marrow aplasia to myelodysplastic syndromes and leukemia. Therefore, all changes occurring during hematopoiesis are strictly regulated by signal transmission pathways and transcription networks modulating gene expression. In recent years, several epigenetic regulatory networks have also been identified. They control DNA methylation and histone modification and, in this way, shape the profiles of gene expression, both protein and microRNA encoding ones [7]. By employing high-throughput proteomic methods one can simultaneously follow all of these processes at the protein level. Thus, proteomic can play a very important role in the exploration of molecular mechanisms involved in leukemogenesis.

In AML patients, C/EBPα p30 mutation in the gene encoding the transcription factor C/EBPα is observed particularly frequently—in approx. 9% of patients. C/EBPα plays an important role in granulopoiesis as its dysfunction or absence leads to the inhibition of myeloid cell differentiation and, thus, to the development of leukemia [8, 9]. Research on myeloblasts with the mutated C/EBPα gene permitted the identification of several events leading to leukemic transformation. 2DE and MS analyses showed that the concentration of the Ubc9 protein increases in these cells. As a result, an effector of the mutated transcription factor which participates in the modification of C/EBPα p42 protein was identified. This post-translational modification (sumoylation i.e. the attachment of SUMO protein—a small ubiquitin-like modifier), inhibits C/EBPα α-p42 and this interaction is necessary for granulocytic line differentiation. These observations were additionally confirmed by genomic analyses. It was demonstrated that the increased expression of the Max and C/EBPα genes stimulates granulocytic differentiation, while Max gene silencing effectively inhibits this process [11]. Additionally, Pullikkaran et al. [12] have recently shown that peptidyl-prolyl isomerase, PIN1, is formed in AML cells. It was found that the mutated transcription factor C/EBPα p30 induces the expression of the PIN1 gene. The authors showed that the suppression of the PIN1 gene leads to the myeloid blast differentiation and, its increased expression, causes the maturation block similar to observed in patients with primary AML and the C/EBPα mutation. It was also found that PIN1 increases the stability of the c-June protein by inhibiting its ubiquitination, and this way blocks granulocyte differentiation [12]. There are, however, several other factors that influence the granulocyte differentiation. Hahn et al. [13] discovered that inhibitors of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) induce differentiation by a mechanism unrelated to EGFR. Tsao et al. [14], suggested that the changes in cellular concentration of PPARgamma re-
Carcinogenesis is often initiated by changes in the pattern or level of protein phosphorylation. STAT5 (STAT – signal transducers and activators of transcription) are such proteins which undergo constitutive phosphorylation in AML cells. In some cases, phosphorylation of these proteins correlates with the occurrence of mutations in FLT3 and KIT genes. This phenomenon has recently been quite well explored [15, 16]. However, the mechanism of STAT5 protein phosphorylation is still unclear in the case of lack of mutation in FLT3 and KIT genes, although the majority of them had an identical and undis-turbed pattern of the expression of STAT protein genes. For example, in all subgroups, the levels of pAKT and pS6RP kinases well correlated with the levels their substrates – GSK3 and MS for protein identification [17]. As a result, janus kinase (JAK2) was identified as the factor responsible for tyrosine residues phosphorylation in STAT5 proteins. Additionally, this observation was confirmed using RNAi-induced gene silencing techniques. The mechanism of the STAT5 phosphorylation was explained and it was suggested that the JAK2 kinase might become an effective therapeutic target in AML patients without mutations in FLT3 and KIT genes. In another study, Zhang et al. [18] attempted to explain the role of FLT3 gene mutations by examining the phosphorylation of tyrosine phosphatase (FLT3) and the protein with internal tandem duplication (FLT-ITD) and the protein with a point mutation (FLT3-D835Y). This analysis showed that the phosphorylation of tyrosine phosphatase SHP1 abolishes the transformation potential although not totally inhibits FLT3-D835Y kinase activity. Accordingly, Zhang and coworkers concluded that both oncogenic mutations of FLT3 (FLT-ITD and FLT3-D835Y) result in the different activation of aberrant signaling pathways in AML [18].

STP proteins are another objects of intensive studies aimed at elucidating pathophysiology of acute leukemia [19, 20]. This issue is particularly interesting and complex as in case of these proteins the changes observed at the protein level are often not reflected at the RNA level. The analysis of leukemic cells isolated from patients belonging to various subgroups [21] showed that the majority of them had an identical and undisturbed pattern of the expression of STP protein genes. For example, in all subgroups, the levels of pAKT and pS6RP kinases well correlated with the levels their substrates – GSK3 or P70S6K. Generally, STP activation is connected with poorer prognosis. It is known that AKT is an inactivator of the pro-apoptotic BAD protein and an activator of mTOR kinase (mamalian target of rapamycin kinase). Kornblau et al. showed that at high AKT,p473 and AKT,p308 concentrations, the levels of phosphorylated proteins – BAD,p136 and MTOR,p – are low and, conversely, the latter are high when the AKT,p473 and AKT,p308 concentrations are low. This finding revealed some atypical relationships between the levels of gene expression and the activities of resultant proteins, which may
imply the deregulation of signal transduction systems in leukemic cells. An increased activity of AKT in leukemia usually results from the loss of PTEN phosphatase activity. However, it was observed that AKTp308 and AKTp473 levels in one of the subgroups are high, similarly as the PTEN level. Such a result may suggest that PTEN-dependent regulation of AKT kinase dephosphorylation is ineffective. This phenomenon seems to be an example of feedback failure when PTEN synthesis is increased [22, 23].

Disturbance of the activity of acetyltransferases and histone deacetylases (HDAC) is another pathogenic mechanism in AML. It leads to the dysfunction of systems controlling the transcription of genes involved in cell cycle regulation, differentiation and apoptosis. In acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL), a significant element of pathomechanism is incorrect HDAC recruitment by the PML-RAR fusion protein. Acute promyelocytic leukemia therapy resulting in cell differentiation disrupts HDAC-induced suppression of retinoic acid targeted genes. These observations led to a dramatic increase of studies on the potential factors modulating the activity of proteins involved in chromatin modification, including HDAC inhibitors. They can inhibit cell development, differentiation and apoptosis both in vitro and in vivo by affecting the mechanisms dependent on and independent of transcription. Preliminary experiments showed that HDAC inhibitors may affect the level of expression of 7-10% of genes. These observations led to a dramatic increase of studies on the potential factors modulating the activity of proteins involved in chromatin modification, including HDAC inhibitors. They can inhibit cell development, differentiation and apoptosis both in vitro and in vivo by affecting the mechanisms dependent on and independent of transcription.

Proteomics in acute myeloid leukemia diagnostics

Rapid progress in research on molecular mechanisms of leukemic transformation enforces continuous modification of AML diagnostic and classification systems. Accordingly, many projects focus on protein biomarkers that can be used to differentiate AML from other types of leukemia and also to differentiate AML subtypes. The research in this area was started over 20 years ago when Hanash et al. [24] found in acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) cells a group of proteins specific for particular subtypes of this disease as well as proteins differentiating ALL from AML. This discovery can be considered a breakthrough, despite the fact that it was made at a time when rapid protein identification was not possible yet. Only a few years later subsequent studies reported the identification of the first potential protein biomarkers [25]. Researchers’ attention was drawn to the oncoprotein-18 (Op-18) and non-metastatic protein 23-H1 which accumulation increased in mitogen-treated lymphocytes and in cells collected from AML patients [26]. It was also found that an increase of Op-18 concentration correlated with the level of this protein’s phosphorylation. Accordingly, it was suggested that Op-18 might be involved in signal transduction during AML

development [27]. Recently, Shi et al. have found that the platelet factor 4, the connective tissue-activating protein-3 (CTAP-III) and two fragments of the C3a complement system are potential protein biomarkers of ALL in children. These biomarkers can be used to differentiate ALL patients from both AML patients and from healthy persons [28].

In addition, proteins which are potential biomarkers differentiating AML from the myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) were identified. For example, Akada et al. postulated that serum proteins CXCL4 (their other name is platelet factor 4 – PF4) and chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 4 and 7 (CXCL4 and 7) are specific biomarkers differentiating MDS and AML patients [29]. Braoudaki et al., on the other hand, found that MOES, EZRI and AIFM1 proteins should be considered as AML biomarkers [30].

Research on protein biomarkers usually involves cell lines or leukemic cells collected from patients. Plasma is also a very useful material for such analyses, as it is an excellent source of protein biomarkers. 2DE-MS analyses have shown that eight proteins (including ATPases, subunits of 26S proteasome and a fragment of haptoglobin-1) accumulate to a higher concentration in plasma of AML patients than in plasma of healthy persons [31]. Using the same methods, proteomes of stem cells (with the AC133’ antigen) isolated from AML patients and healthy persons were also compared. As a result, the following proteins characteristic of AML cells were identified: nuclear mitotic apparatus protein (NuMA), heat shock proteins and redox regulators [32].

Due to the heterogeneity of AML, finding new biomarkers enabling its better classification is another important goal of proteomic analyses. Few articles devoted to this issue have been published so far. In one of them, Cui et al. [33] presented a comparative 2DE-MS analysis of bone marrow mononuclear cells collected from 61 patients diagnosed with various AML subtypes (FAB). As a result, 23 proteins specific for the individual AML subtypes were identified, including proteinase 3, azurocidin and cation antimicrobial peptides which accumulated to high levels in AML-M2 and AML-M3 patients. In another study, 13 AML patients classified according to the WHO system were analyzed [34]. Interestingly, authors of these studies identified a completely different set of proteins specific for AML subtypes, including α-enolase, Rho-GDIβ-protein, annexin I and X, catalase, peroxiredoxin 2 and tropomyosin 3. A very small studied group was a significant weakness of this analysis – each AML subtype was represented by only one or two patients. Yet another type of AML patients classification was examined by Balkhi et al. [35]. These authors attempted to find protein biomarkers characteristic for typical chromosomal aberrations observed in AML. As a result, they determined that transcription factor MafK is characteristic for patients with inv(16), the H17 variant of myeloperoxidase for patients with t(15;17) and sorcin for patients with t(8;21) [35]. In 2009, Kornblau et al. applied protein microarrays to determine differences between proteomes of AML cells. They identified a set of 24 proteins which can be used to distinguish between purely myeloid subtypes of leukemia (M0, M1, M2) and those with the monocytic components (M4, M5). Additionally, the analyses conducted by Kornblau and coworkers showed that all these AML subtypes (M0-S) differ from the remaining two FAB subtypes, i.e. AML
analyses of bone marrow or blood cell proteomes collected from AML patients before treatment, after treatment and when the disease relapses. Unfortunately, such research is required to the classification of acute myeloid leukemia. The proteins identified in patients with complex chromosomal aberrations, e.g. simultaneous monosomy of chromosomes 5 and 7, or simultaneous monosomy of chromosomes 5 and 7 accompanied by trisomy of chromosome 8 (+8). Analyses of the gene expression profiles [6] led to identical observations. These results show that various cytogenetic changes may have a similar influence on proteome composition and leukemic blast activation. In patients with cytogenetic disorders -5 and -7, an increase of survivin and GSK3 concentrations was also observed. Survivin is a poor prognostic marker at the advance stages of AML [41]. Increased concentrations of neuropilin-1, semaphorin receptor and mutated forms of p53 protein are also considered as an unfavorable prognostic factors [42, 43]. An increased accumulation of MCL1 protein, on the other hand, seems to be connected with resistance to AML treatment [44].

Protein biomarkers for acute myeloid leukemia remission, resistance and relapse

The identification of protein biomarkers, which can find applications in AML prognosis, requires comparative analyses of bone marrow or blood cell proteomes collected from AML patients before treatment, after treatment and when the disease relapses. Unfortunately, such research is very rare due to the problems with obtaining appropriate material for proteomic analyses. It is known that chemotherapy results in the eradication of cancer cells and considerable myelosuppression. Despite treatment, it is not possible to eliminate all leukemic cells in some patients. In addition, non-eliminated leukemic cells can proliferate intensively and initiate relapse. The few studies comparing three major AML stages suggest that the immunophenotype of leukemic cells at the beginning of the disease and during its relapse can be different. Such changes were observed both in adult persons [37] and in children suffering from AML [38]. A comparative analysis of proteomes isolated before and after treatment showed a higher accumulation of BTG1 suppressor protein (BTG1 – B-cell translocation gene 1) in cells collected from AML-M2 and -M3 patients with complete remission [39]. Additionally, Western blot analyses of various heat shock proteins (HSP) confirmed that in patients with medium and high risk there is a correlation between an increase of HSP concentration and the unfavorable course of the disease. This observation showed that HSPs play a significant role in both the apoptosis and AML resistance to chemotherapy [40]. The so-called protein profiles characterizing the proteome composition in AML can also be useful for predicting disease outcome. The developed patterns imply, for example, that the lack of response to treatment in AML-M0, -M1 and -M2 depends, to a large extent, on the resistance to apoptosis. The blast growth and resistance to therapy in AML-M4 and -M5, on the other hand may be connected with the transduction of signals inducing proliferation and antiapoptotic reactions [21]. Similarly, some differences in protein profiles seem to be characteristic for typical cytogenetic disorders observed in AML. Considering the current knowledge, it can be concluded that patients with the disorders of chromosomes 5 and 7 respond poorly to treatment and their survival is very short. Advanced proteomic analyses of leukemic cells showed significant similarities in protein profiles in patients with monosomy of chromosomes 5, 7 (5-, -7, respectively) or deletion in the long arm of chromosome 5 (5q) as well as in patient with complex chromosomal aberrations, e.g. simultaneous monosomy of chromosomes 5 and 7, or simultaneous monosomy of chromosomes 5 and 7 accompanied by trisomy of chromosome 8 (+8). Analyses of the gene expression profiles [6] led to identical observations. These results show that various cytogenetic changes may have a similar influence on proteome composition and leukemic blast activation. In patients with cytogenetic disorders -5 and -7, an increase of survivin and GSK3 concentrations was also observed. Survivin is a poor prognostic marker at the advance stages of AML [41]. Increased concentrations of neuropilin-1, semaphorin receptor and mutated forms of p53 protein are also considered as an unfavorable prognostic factors [42, 43]. An increased accumulation of MCL1 protein, on the other hand, seems to be connected with resistance to AML treatment [44].

Proteomics in the assessment of acute myeloid leukemia patients’ response to treatment

Proteomic analyses play a very important role in the identification of both potential therapeutic targets and treatment-induced changes in human cells. Thus, proteomic methods also found application in the studies on mechanisms of the action of drugs used in AML chemotherapy. For example, daunorubicin-induced changes in leukemic cells were analyzed. It was found that the administration of an anticyclin cytostatic drug caused the protective proteins p23 and HSP90 complex to be degraded by caspases. Similar effects were observed if idarubicin, cytosine arabinoside and etoposide were used. As a result it was hypothesized that inhibition of the p23 protein may play a significant role in the search of new targets in AML therapy [45]. The increased HSP90 concentration in AML cells had been shown previously. Accordingly, HSP90 became the object of intensive research as a po-
potential therapeutic target [46]. One of the functions of the HSP90 chaperone complex is controlling and supporting the proper folding of, among other things, tyrosine kinase receptors c-Kit [47] and FLT3 (fms-like tyrosine kinase 3) [48]. Following these clues, researchers have developed HSP90 inhibitors: geldanamycin and 17-allyl-amino-geldanamycin. These compounds are currently tested [49, 50]. The results obtained so far are very promising as cancer cells exhibit a much higher affinity to these inhibitors than healthy cells [51]. In addition, it turned out that the application of a geldanamycin derivative increases the sensitivity of cancer cells to cytarabine, i.e. one of the cytostatics currently used [52].

A similar pattern of action was used for searching the receptors of all-trans-retinoic acid (ATRA) in acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL). The application of ATRA induced leukemia cell differentiation to mature forms of granulocytes. As a result, complete remission was obtained in about 70% of APL patients [53]. ATRA binds with fusion protein PML-RARα (promyelocytic leukemia-retinoic acid receptor α) and induces its decomposition. Consequently, the genes needed for cell differentiation and apoptosis become reactivated [54]. As the molecular mechanism underlying ATRA activity was not well-understood, numerous reports describing this issue appeared over a short period of time. Some authors attempted to solve the problem using proteomic techniques. In one such study, proteins whose concentrations change under ATRA treatment were identified. This group included three isoforms of the 14-3-3 protein which activate protein kinase C (PKC). It was found that their decreased accumulation induces the cascade of signals that activate of caspase protein family. As a result, apoptosis is induced and the development of APL is inhibited. The mechanism postulated based on the proteomic studies (2DE and MS) was then confirmed with classical techniques, mostly Western blot [55]. These analyses showed that ATRA affects the accumulation of several other proteins. The increased concentration of coronine, an actin-binding protein, pyruvate kinase and glutathione transferase were observed. Unfortunately, the observations made using 2DE-MS are not fully consistent with the results of DNA microarray analyses [56].

Arsenic trioxide (ATO) is another factor that induce partial differentiation and apoptosis of APL cells. Xiong and Wang used proteomic methods to determine the mechanisms of cytotoxic and therapeutic activities of ATO [57]. Attempts to use both drugs simultaneously, i.e. ATRA and ATO, brought a quite good combination of computational biology methods made it possible to identify other genes and proteins whose activity is modulated by one or both drugs. At the same time, signal pathways affected by ATO and ATRA were identified [59]. Moreover, it was found that in ATRA-resistant patients better therapeutic effect can be obtained by application of ATRA together with inhibitors of histone deacetylase. Histone acetylation is one of the basic mechanisms enabling the modulation of gene expression and, thus, differentiation of cells and their maturation. Two key enzymes involved in histone modification are acetyltransferase and histone deacetylase. Histone deacetylase inhibitors stimulate differentiation and/or selective apoptosis of cancer cells, so they are a very promising potential therapeutics (e.g. trichostatin A, sodium butyrate and valproic acid). Bartels et al. examined their influence on the development of myeloid line, its potential to form colony, proliferation and differentiation of neutrophils. They found that trichostatin A gently reduced the proliferation of progenitor cells, while sodium butyrate and valproic acid affected their proliferation and apoptosis. Moreover, valproic acid stimulated the proliferation of CD34+ cells. Additionally, sodium butyrate inhibited neutrophil differentiation. The administration of 100 μM of valproic acid increased the number of mature neutrophils with a differentiation block. Sodium butyrate and valproic acid increased the acetylation of histones 3 and 4. Moreover, all three tested substances (trichostatin A, sodium butyrate and valproic acid) affected the acetylation of non-histone proteins [7].

For many years, researchers’ attention was focused on GTP-binding proteins and RAS proteins. Mutations in their genes are found in 15-25% of patients suffering from AML. It was found that some of these mutations could activate the RAF/MEK/ERK kinase pathway. One of numerous postulated treatment strategies involves the application of the mitogen extracellular kinase (MEK) inhibitor which should block extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK). Research on two MEK inhibitors showed that they protected against the abnormal activation of the RAF/MEK/ERK signal pathway. Both inhibitors repress the development and formation of colonies of numerous leukemia lines, at the same time exhibit low toxicity to normal CD34+ cells [60, 61]. Hahn et al. [13] found that spleen tyrosine kinase (SYK) could also be a good target in AML therapy. This protein belongs to the so-called non-receptor kinases and it takes part in the differentiation of B lymphocytes, signal transduction and it seems to be of particular importance in lymphomas and myelodysplastic syndromes. It was found that genetic and pharmacological SYK inactivation with gefitinib promote differentiation of AML cells and reduce the in vivo development of leukemia [13].

One of the latest strategies in cancer treatment involves inhibition of activity of the ubiquitin-proteasome system. Proteasome 26S is an enzymatic complex present in the nucleus and cytoplasm of each cell. It plays a vital role in protein degradation and in this way participates in the cell cycle and transcription regulation. Degradation of proteasome proteins activates other factors significant for cell development. Inhibitors of individual proteasome components constitute a large number of drugs currently used or tested. This group includes peptide aldehyde (MG132), dipeptidyl boronic acid (PS-341), tripeptide vinyl sulfate (NLVS), epoxomycin and latacystin. The influence of MG132 and latacystin on the composition of leukemic cell proteome was examined using 2DE and MS techniques. It was found that the proteasome inhibitors affected the accumulation of 39 different proteins, including 11 proteins connected with apoptosis [62]. Agarwal et al. observed that the administration of methotrexate increased the accumulation of ubiquitinated proteins in a HL-60 cell line. The result indicated significant disturbance of proteasome function [63].

Yet another strategy for the cancer treatment is based on specific antigens of transformed cells. Therapies involving monoclonal antibodies have already been successfully used
in solid tumor treatment. The first reports describing the application of this strategy in AML therapy have already appeared [64]. Specific antibodies which induced a humoral response in leukemic cells were detected by proteomic (2DE, MS) and immunodetection methods. Antibodies against the inhibitor of Rho GDP dissociation, actin-binding protein, CAPZA1 and g-actin were identified. The antibodies against the inhibitor of Rho-GDP dissociation were found in 71% of AML patients, while in case of solid tumors and in healthy controls, these antibodies were detected only in 5 and 4.5% of persons, respectively. The individual antigens may, therefore, constitute both diagnostic markers and therapeutic targets also in AML treatment.

Very interesting results of the proteomic analyses have been recently published by Tsao et al. [14]. They showed an elevated accumulation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ) in 260 newly diagnosed AML patients. PPARγ belongs to the family of transcription factors playing an important regulatory role in cell differentiation and apoptosis. Increased expression of the PPARγ transactivation factor gene induced its antagonists, thus increasing the sensitivity of myeloid leukemia cells to apoptosis by caspase-8 decomposition [14].

In conclusion: the dynamic development of modern proteomic methods observed in recent years has opened new perspectives in the research on mechanisms underlying cancerogenesis, including leukemic transformation. Special attention is paid to the identification of new biomarkers that ensure more effective diagnostics, treatment monitoring and therapeutic outcome prediction. Accordingly, more and more frequently proteomes (complex systems formed by proteins that interact with one another and with other cell components) are the object of medical studies.

One of the major challenges faced by proteomics is its integration with other fields of biological sciences, especially with genomics, metabolomics, lipidomics, glycomics and transcriptomics. Proteomics by itself is not sufficient to explore the mechanisms shaping extremely complicated biological phenomena such as cancer. The replacement of reductionist methods and research approaches with holistic methods and approaches appears to be the key to success. In addition, some attempts are being made to introduce proteomics into clinical diagnostics in the broad sense [65, 66]. Researchers dealing with this problem pay particular attention to the necessity of selection, verification and determination of the so-called standard operational procedures (SOP). They obtain repeatable and clinically useful results by standardization of the conditions under which samples are obtained (material collection, transport and preparation directly before the analysis). The optimization of clinical tests is another issue. It requires the introduction of high-performance analytical platforms to obtain repeatability of results. These tests must not only be efficient, but also easy to use; they have to require minimum supervision and have to be easy to introduce in a clinical laboratory. It is also necessary to develop computational methods which would transform numerous proteomic results into clinically useful data that could be integrated with hospital computer systems quickly and easily. Moreover, a system of biobanks and biorepositories should be created and all formal and legal aspects of the research should be regulated (patients’ consent, commercial use of samples, etc.), preferably at an international level.

Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), which together with AML is included among the myeloid diseases, is the best example of a versatile use of proteomics in clinical trials. It is possible that CML will become the first leukemia for which constant diagnostics and monitoring of therapy will be introduced. As a result of the discovery of the association between t(9;22) and fusion BCR-ABL protein production, a drug specifically inhibiting the activity of ABL tyrosine kinase was created. At present, tests for BCR-ABL protein detection are being prepared. They will be used to diagnose leukemia (BCR/ABL positive) based on single cell proteome analysis or single cell phenotype assessment in flow cytometry. Therefore, analyses currently used in CML diagnostics will in future function solely as confirming tests [67].

Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), which together with AML belongs to myeloid diseases, is the best example of a versatile use of proteomics in clinical trials. It is possible that CML will become the first leukemia, for which constant diagnostics and monitoring of therapy will be introduced. As a result of the discovery of the association between t(9;22) and fusion BCR-ABL protein production, a drug specifically inhibiting the activity of ABL tyrosine kinase was created. At present, tests for BCR-ABL protein detection are being prepared. They will be used to diagnose leukemia (BCR/ABL positive) based on single cell proteome analysis or single cell phenotype assessment in flow cytometry. Therefore, analyses currently used in CML diagnostics will in future function solely as confirming tests [67].
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