Introduction

The risk of myocardial infarction (MI) increases with age, with women experiencing a 10-year advantage as compared to men, in terms of both incidence and coronary atherosclerosis [2]. Whether this delay in atherosclerosis and MI risk is attributable to the protective effect of estrogen [3] or to a more favorable risk factor profile in women [4] has been a matter of long debate [5]. However, although the final mechanisms of MI (in most cases plaque rupture or erosion) are remarkably similar in both sexes, particularly in ST-elevation MI [6], other pathogenetic actors come into play [7], particularly in younger women. The multiplicity of these mechanisms, and their relation to the menopause transition time, are briefly discussed in the present review.

Risk factors and the precipitating mechanisms of myocardial infarction in men and women

There is no doubt that the most important study in cardiovascular medicine has been the Framingham Heart Study [8]. This study began in 1948, when 2336 men and 2873 women between the ages of 28 and 62 years were enrolled in a prospective investigation of determinants of cardiovascular disease, and identified those clinical conditions (hypertension, diabetes, high blood cholesterol, smoking, family history, low physical activity, among others) that were associated with the subsequent development of cardiovascular events, including MI. The importance of the study resides in the fact that almost all these risk factors are modifiable by either lifestyle or pharmacological interventions, with a remarkable impact on health policies and drug development which were the basis of the reduction in MI, stroke and heart failure rates starting in the 1980s [9]. These risk factors have been confirmed in a number of subsequent longitudinal studies, but can also be easily recognized in everyday practice of cardiology, since MI patients do share those risk factors with higher frequency as compared to the general population. The final common pathway of these risk factors is vascular atherosclerosis, which tends to be more precocious in men, possibly because women are protected by estrogen during fertile life [3].

Most studies investigating the cardiovascular trajectories of post-menopausal women (including the...
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Fig. 1. The multiplicity of pathogenetic mechanisms in Acute Coronary Syndromes and Myocardial Infarction

Cardiovascular effect of hormone therapy have concentrated on direct or indirect imaging methods of vascular atherosclerosis, such as echo-Doppler intima-media thickness of the carotid arteries [10-14], angio CT and CT calcium score [14], coronary angiography [2, 15] and intravascular optical coherence tomography (OCT) [6].

However, besides (or in addition to) atherosclerosis, with its sequelae of coronary stenoses and plaque rupture, other mechanisms have been found to be involved in acute myocardial ischemia and infarction (Fig. 1). These include microvascular dysfunction and enhanced vasoconstriction of the epicardial vessels, which play a special role among women and require specific investigation [16, 19]. These mechanisms, often viewed as early forms of atherothrombotic disease, should be considered in individual patients with acute coronary syndromes when no culprit artery can be identified at angiography. Occlusive coronary spasm can be found in patients with transient ST-segment elevation with or without angiographically visible stenosis, and is more typical of women. Coronary microvascular dysfunction is more commonly found in people, again more often women, with angina, signs of myocardial ischemia and no obstructed coronary arteries [20]. A rare MI cause, almost exclusive to young women, is coronary dissection, a nonatherosclerotic coronary disease often associated with fibromuscular dysplasia [21].

The therapeutic implications of discriminating the mechanisms at play in the individual patient are important, beyond the control of Framingham’s risk factors which applies to all cases. When obstructive atherosclerosis is the dominant factor and severe oblique stenoses are found, percutaneous or surgical revascularization is the indicated therapy. On the other hand, when microvascular dysfunction and vasoconstriction predominate, specific drug therapy is indicated. It is not uncommon that women with angina and no or non-obstructive coronary artery disease undergo repeated coronary angiographies each time they present with a non-ST-elevation type of acute coronary syndrome (ACS), and even in the case of stable angina [20].

The Women’s Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation (WISE) group studies showed that, among women with suspected myocardial ischaemia and ‘normal’ coronary arteries, abnormal microvascular coronary function – defined as impaired coronary flow reserve (CFR) measured after intravenous or intracoronary adenosine administration – is associated with major adverse outcomes [18]. However, the total rate of death or MI events was less than 2% per year in this study.

**Perimenopause vasomotor symptoms and vascular disease**

In the Ladies ACS study, vasomotor symptoms (VMS) during menopause transition were reported by 49% of post-menopausal women admitted with an acute MI. Despite the same menopausal age (mean 49.5 years, median 50 years) and fertility lifespan (mean 37, median 38 years), and the same coronary atherosclerotic burden, as measured angiographically using the Gensini score, women reporting VMS experienced their first MI four years earlier than women without VMS (Fig. 2) [22]. Probably because of VMS, symptomatic women had also used hormone replacement therapy more frequently than women without VMS (19% vs. 9%, p = 0.003). Other signs of vascular disease (such as chronic kidney dysfunction and cerebrovascular disease) were significantly more frequent, perhaps due to older age, among women not reporting VMS. Overall cardiovascular events at 1 year did not differ between groups (19% vs. 22%; p = 0.5).

This is the first cross-sectional study correlating the presence of perimenopausal VMS with MI characteristics and outcome in post-menopausal women, since previous investigations on this issue had been longitudinal studies starting in midlife. These studies gave mixed results, since some of them found a higher risk of subsequent cardiovascular events among women with a history of VMS [23, 24], whereas others [25, 26] did not. The relation between timing of VMS and cardiovascular events in menopause has also been investigated, with mixed results: whereas the WISE study of women with stable coronary artery disease found elevated risk among women reporting VMS during early midlife (below the age of 42 years in that particular study) [27], an increased risk of clinical cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality was found in the Women’s Health Initiative Observational Study (WHI-OS) among women reporting late-onset VMS (defined as VMS after menopause) [26].

The mechanisms behind the observed association of VMS with subsequent vascular disease and cardiovascular events have been investigated following two main streams: 1) VMS are associated with a higher risk-factor burden [28-30]; 2) VMS are associated with increased microvascular reactivity and impaired endothelial function [18, 25]. The two potential mechanisms are not mutually exclusive, particularly with regard to endothelial...
dysfunction, which may be considered an early stage of atherosclerosis. Endothelial dysfunction must be investigated using specific methods, such as brachial artery flow-mediated dilation (FMD) [27, 31, 32]. Coronary microvascular dysfunction, which should be considered as an etiology for ischemic heart disease with signs and symptoms of myocardial ischemia, but no obstructive coronary disease, should be either investigated using coronary vasoreactivity testing as the gold standard [16, 18, 19], or taken for granted in the case of documented myocardial ischemia with no or nonocclusive coronary artery disease.

The most likely reason why the data on outcome have been so conflicting is the relatively low rate of death and MI at follow-up among patients (mostly women) with angina and no obstructive coronary disease. The largest meta-analysis on this issue [20] identified 54 studies, reporting outcomes in a total of 35 039 patients (mean age 56, male/female ratio 0.51) with angina and no obstructive CAD. After a median follow-up of 5 years, the pooled incidence of death and MI was 0.98/100 person-years [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.77-1.19%], that is less than 1% per year. Factors associated with death and MI were dyslipidaemia ($p = 0.016$), diabetes ($p = 0.035$), and hypertension ($p = 0.016$). Studies enrolling patients with less-than-obstructive CAD showed a higher incidence of the primary outcome (1.32/100 person-years, 95% CI 1.02-1.62) compared with studies including only patients with ‘entirely normal’ coronary arteries (0.52/100 person-years, 95% CI 0.34-0.79; $p < 0.01$). Documented myocardial ischemia was not associated with worse outcome. However, these patients suffered from a high rate of recurrent hospitalizations.

**Conclusions**

Transitional VMS have been associated with adverse cardiovascular outcomes during menopause. However, their association with hard ischemic endpoints (such as death or MI) is not proven, probably due to the low rate of these events in the studies. There is no evidence of more severe coronary atherosclerosis among post-menopausal women with a history of VMS, who, at least in the Ladies ACS study, may experience an MI earlier than women without such a history. The contribution of increased endothelial or microvascular dysfunction in

---

*Fig. 2.* Main findings of the Ladies ACS study as related to the presence (or lack of it) of vasomotor symptoms (VMS) (data from [22])
cases with an MI and nonobstructive coronary disease should be investigated with specific methods.
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