Rectal prolapse in children: a study of 71 cases
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Abstract

Introduction: Prolapse of the rectum is the herniation of the rectum through the anus, which may be categorised as mucosal or complete [1]. The mucosal type of rectal prolapse is the least serious form and is the most common type in the paediatric population [2]. Diagnosis of rectal prolapse requires physical examination and obtaining thorough history. However, diagnosis may be difficult especially in the paediatric population [3]. Most children with rectal prolapse do not require specific treatment. Treatment of constipation, treatment of parasitic infection, and avoiding excessive strain are appropriate measures for the treatment of uncomplicated rectal prolapse. Surgical procedures may be required for cases who failed to respond to medical methods [4]. Injection sclerotherapy is the first surgical method used for the treatment of cases who failed to respond to medical treatment. Children with recurrences after injection sclerotherapy may be candidates for surgical treatment [5]. Although there are several types of surgical procedures for the treatment of refractory rectal prolapse, few of them were used for treatment in a single institution [6].

Aim

The aim of this study was to evaluate the frequency of surgical complications of rectal prolapse among children over a 6-year period.
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Material and methods

This study was carried out on children aged <14 years, who were admitted or referred for surgery in Imam Khomeini and Abuzar Children’s Hospital of Ahvaz-Iran. All patients referred for surgery after completed workup and failure of medical and conservative treatment for rectal prolapse. The duration of the study was 6 years starting on March 20, 2002.

Statistical analysis

Analysis was done using SPSS version 11.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). The \( \chi^2 \) test was used for comparison.

Results

Seventy-one cases were included in this study. Of all cases, 50 (70.4%) were male and 21 (29.6%) were female \((p < 0.0001)\). Mean age of cases was 4.97 ±3.42 years (range: 2 days – 13 years). The age distribution is shown in Table I. The clinical manifestations are shown in Table II. The methods used for surgery are shown in Table III. The recurrence rate was 1.4% (1 case). Of all cases, 21 had history of recurrent rectal prolapse following injection sclerotherapy. These cases underwent surgical procedures.

Discussion

In the current study the mean age of the cases was 4.97 years (range: 2 days – 13 years), and 31% of cases were in the range 3–6 years. In the Antao et al. study the mean age of the cases was 2.6 years (range: 4 months – 10.6 years) [7]. In the UK, most rectal prolapses in children were seen before 2 years of age [8]. In Rwanda, the mean age of the cases was 4.3 years [8]. The mean age of our cases was similar to Rwanda and higher than in the study by Chaloner et al. [8] and the Antao et al. [7] study from the UK.

In our study (2002–2008), 60.6% of cases had age 1.5 to 6 years. In the Sadighi et al. study from 1982–2000, 60% of cases had age range from 2 to 6 years [9]. This shows that the peak age in Iranian children remained constant for 26 years.

In the current study, 70.42% of cases were male and 29.58% were female. Our result was similar to that from the Bahador et al. study from Iran, with a male-to-female ratio of 2.39/1 [10]. In the study by Sadighi et al. from Tehran-Iran, of 67 cases with rectal prolapse, 50 (75%) were male [9]. In the Antao et al. study, of 49 cases, 25 were male [7]. Another study reported equal distribution between boys and girls [11]. This difference between our results and those from other studies needs further research.

Prolapse of mass (96%), bleeding after defecation (36.6%), diarrhoea (23.9%), prolapsed rectum (14.1%), and constipation (6%) were the most common signs and symptoms in our study. Rectal bleeding, pain with defecation, and incontinence were the most common symptoms in the study from Egypt [12]. Constipation was present in 8.5% of our cases. About 15–65% of cases with rectal prolapse have constipation [13]. The exact prevalence of constipation in our country is unclear, but it seems higher than in our study.

In our study, injection sclerotherapy was the most commonly used procedure. The recurrence rate was 1.40% in our study. In the Sadighi et al. study [9], sclerotherapy was used for 52% of cases, which is lower than in our study. Rahman et al. reported a 93.3% response to injection sclerotherapy for their patients [14]. In the Antao et al. study, in 14-months follow-up the recurrence rate was zero [7]. In the study by Zganjer et al. on 86 children with rectal prolapse, 95.3% of patients showed a response to injection sclerotherapy [6].

The perineal approach had a lower operative mortality and morbidity than the abdominal approach [15, 16]. Due to the low sample size of cases who underwent

Table I. Age distribution among cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age [years]</th>
<th>Male, n (%)</th>
<th>Female, n (%)</th>
<th>Total, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1.5</td>
<td>6 (66.67)</td>
<td>3 (33.33)</td>
<td>9 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5–&lt; 3</td>
<td>9 (42.86)</td>
<td>12 (57.14)</td>
<td>21 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–&lt; 6</td>
<td>19 (86.36)</td>
<td>3 (13.64)</td>
<td>22 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–&lt; 9</td>
<td>5 (71.42)</td>
<td>2 (28.58)</td>
<td>7 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9–&lt; 13</td>
<td>11 (91.66)</td>
<td>1 (8.34)</td>
<td>12 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50 (70.42)</td>
<td>21 (29.58)</td>
<td>71 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Clinical manifestations of cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manifestation</th>
<th>Result, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rectal prolapse</td>
<td>10 (14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exteriorization mass from the rectum</td>
<td>49 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea</td>
<td>17 (23.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>6 (8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>6 (8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding after defecation</td>
<td>26 (36.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III. Surgical methods used for treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Result, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sclerotherapy</td>
<td>50 (70.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perineal surgery</td>
<td>16 (22.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal surgery</td>
<td>5 (7.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>71 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Abdominal approach, a conclusion was not possible in our study. In the study by Brown et al., 10% of cases experienced recurrent prolapse [17]. Abdominal rectopecty is the method of choice for the treatment of rectal prolapse [18].

In this study we used saline as a sclerosant with more than 90% success. Ethyl alcohol [10], D50W [19], 15% saline solution [20], and cow’s milk [6] were used and reported to have efficacy of more than 90% by others. Our study and most of the other studies [7] showed that injection sclerotherapy is the most common effectively used procedure for treatment.

The main limitation of this study was the retrospective method.

Conclusions

The success rate of injection sclerotherapy in our hospital was similar to that seen in other studies. The average age of the cases was higher than in European studies. The male-to-female ratio in two studies from Iran were higher than reports from other countries. We recommend another study to clarify the high ratio of male-to-female in our country.
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