ENDOSCOPIC VERSUS HISTOLOGICAL DIAGNOSIS OF BARRETT’S ESOPHAGUS: A CROSS-SECTIONAL SURVEY
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Context: Barrett’s esophagus is a common pathological condition in patients with gastro-esophageal reflux disease.

Objective: The aim of this study was to compare endoscopic diagnosis versus histological confirmation.


Material and methods: A total of 50 patients with a history of gastro-esophageal reflux were recruited and underwent upper endoscopy at this cross-sectional survey. Four-quadrant biopsy was taken from all suspected areas of intestinal metaplasia. Sections of blocks were stained with Mixed Alcian Blue (PH 2.5)/PAS and haematoxylin-eosin stainings for the diagnosis of intestinal metaplasia (complete vs. incomplete types) and goblet cell/columnar cell/dysplasia, respectively.

Main outcome measure: The presence of Helicobacter pylori was assessed by Giemsa staining.

Results: There were 44 cases of short-segment Barrett’s esophagus and 6 of long-segment Barrett’s esophagus by endoscopy. When examined by histologic examination, 12 patients with short-segment Barrett’s esophagus and 4 with long-segment Barrett’s esophagus had intestinal metaplasia. Haematoxylin-eosin staining diagnosed 12 cases of intestinal metaplasia, whereas mixed alcian blue/PAS was used to diagnose 16 cases (κ = 80%, p < 0.001). The positive predictive value in the diagnosis of goblet cell metaplasia and columnar cell metaplasia was 32% and 66%, respectively. Helicobacter pylori infection was observed in 10 cases of those with columnar cell metaplasia without goblet cells, while none of the patients with intestinal metaplasia were infected.

Conclusion: Our findings suggest that biopsy taking is necessary in all patients with gastro-esophageal reflux disease, whose results suggest columnar cell lining in distal esophagus in endoscopy.
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Introduction

Barrett’s esophagus is the replacement of the distal esophageal epithelial lining with specialized intestinal mucosa. Nearly 8% to 20% of patients with asymptomatic chronic gastro-esophageal reflux disease are affected [1]. However, some studies have reported 44% of Barrett’s esophagus in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease [2].

Reflux of the gastric content causes ulceration and destruction of the squamous epithelium, which in many
instances is replaced by columnar epithelium [3]. Due to chronic gastritis, reflux progressively damages squamous mucosa and those with specialized columnar epithelium are at an increased risk of developing high-grade dysplasia and adenocarcinoma [4, 5]. Barrett’s esophagus is diagnosed by the presence of intestinal type goblet cells in the sections of esophageal biopsies [6, 7]. Most patients are identified during routine endoscopic evaluation [8], but the definite diagnosis is based on histological proof [9, 10]. However, the estimation of standard endoscopic study in the diagnosis of Barrett’s esophagus is not known yet. The aim of this study was to compare endoscopy versus pathological staining in the diagnosis of intestinal metaplasia in the Barrett’s esophagus.

**Material and methods**

We performed a cross-sectional survey of patients with symptomatic gastro-esophageal reflux disease from February 2007 to January 2009. A total of 30 patients were recruited from the Cancer Institute of the Imam Khomeini Hospital. Demographic data including age and sex were investigated for all participants. Patients with one or more of the following criteria including 1 – duration of symptomatic reflux disease of more than 3 years, 2 – no response to proton pump inhibitors and 3 – presence of warning signs like dysphagia, weight loss and gastrointestinal bleeding, undergone upper endoscopy were recruited. One of the study investigators performed all endoscopic examinations using a standard and END-view video endoscopy (PENTAX EPK-700 and Olympus CV-165). None of the patients were sedated during endoscopy. There were no immediate or long-term complications after any of the endoscopic procedures.

The appearance of the esophagogastric junction, defined as the junction of the proximal end of gastric folds and the tubular esophagus, was carefully noted during the antegrade view before and after retroflexion in the stomach. Barrett’s esophagus is diagnosed by the observation of salmon-coloured mucosa extending from the esophagogastric junction with biopsy confirmation of specialized intestinal metaplasia. Biopsies were performed on any tongues of salmon-coloured mucosa arising from the esophagogastric junction, which were suspected of Barrett’s esophagus. Four-quadrant biopsy specimens were obtained from all suspected areas of intestinal metaplasia every 1 cm of the length of the columnar epithelium. We did not obtain biopsy specimens of the mid or proximal esophagus. Patients were divided into short-segment Barrett’s esophagus (SSBE) (< 3 cm of the length of salmon-coloured mucosa) group and long-segment Barrett’s esophagus (LSBE) (> 3 cm of the length of salmon-coloured mucosa) group according to endoscopic findings.

Sections of these blocks were stained with mixed alcian blue (pH = 2.5)/PAS and haematoxylin-eosin stainings for the diagnosis of intestinal metaplasia (complete vs. incomplete type) and goblet cell/columnar cell/dysplasia, respectively. Slides were then reviewed by a pathologist who was blinded to the results of morphologic evaluation and patient outcome. The presence of *Helicobacter pylori* was assessed by Giemsa staining.

Endoscopic study reported short-segment Barrett’s esophagus (SSBE), long-segment Barrett’s esophagus (LSBE) and presence of suspicious foci of dysplasia.

Pathological study reports by haematoxylin-eosin staining including epithelial lining types of the distal esophagus (with and without goblet cell mucosa) and the presence of dysplasia. The severity of dysplasia was graded as 0: negative for dysplasia, 1: indefinite for dysplasia, 2: low-grade dysplasia, 3: high-grade dysplasia and 4: intramucosal carcinoma. Reports by mixed alcian blue (pH = 2.5)/PAS included types of epithelial lining (with and without goblet cell) and types of intestinal metaplasia (complete vs. incomplete types).

The research was carried out according to the principles of the declaration of Helsinki. The local ethics review committee of the Tehran University of Medical Sciences approved the study protocols. All participants gave written informed consent before participation.

**Statistical analysis**

Variables are presented as numbers and percents. To investigate the endoscopy versus histological study, the $\chi^2$ and $\kappa$ analysis was employed. The statistical package SPSS 17 for Windows (Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used for analysis.

**Results**

There were 50 participants, with mean age of 47 ± 16.32. Twenty two of them were males and 38 females. Endoscopic examination had 32% positive predictive value in the diagnosis of intestinal metaplasia while it had 66% positive predictive value in the diagnosis of columnar cell metaplasia without goblet cells. It had 27% PPV in the diagnosis of goblet cell metaplasia and 70% PPV in the diagnosis of columnar cell metaplasia in short-segment Barrett’s esophagus. We only 6 cases of long-segment Barrett’s esophagus and 4 of them had intestinal metaplasia. Haematoxylin-eosin staining diagnosed 12 (24%) cases of intestinal metaplasia (Fig. 1), while mixed alcian blue (pH = 2.5)/PAS staining (Fig. 2) diagnosed 16 (32%) cases. Morphological characteristics of the lesions have been shown in (Table 1).

There were 93.8% negative predictive value and 93% specificity in the diagnosis of dysplasia. Endoscopic study reported 3 cases of dysplasia and none of them was confirmed with histological studies ($p = 0.88$, $\kappa = 0.00$). There was 1 (2%) case indefinite for dysplasia in the histological examination.
Ten (20%) cases were infected with *Helicobacter pylori*. None of the patients with intestinal metaplasia were infected while 10 (30.3%) cases of those with columnar cell metaplasia were infected.

**Discussion**

We have shown that endoscopy has a 32% positive predictive value in the diagnosis of intestinal metaplasia and 66% positive predictive value in the diagnosis of columnar cell metaplasia. Few studies have evaluated the ability of endoscopy in the diagnosis of Barrett’s esophagus; Eloubeidi *et al.* showed a 34% positive predictive value and 97% negative predictive value in the diagnosis of Barrett’s esophagus. They suggested alternative methods in the prediction of Barrett’s esophagus [11]. Some studies suggest that an increased rate of Barrett’s esophagus is irrelevant to the increased use of endoscopy [12]. Our findings suggest that endoscopy has a low level of positive predictive value (PPV) in the diagnosis of intestinal metaplasia, while it has a higher level of positive predictive value in the diagnosis of columnar cell metaplasia without goblet cell. Our studied population had more columnar cell metaplasia than intestinal metaplasia. As Hahn *et al.* stated, some columnar cell metaplasia has intestinal differentiation and cancerous potentials [13]; thus, endoscopy is a useful method in these patients, however further investigation is suggested in these patients.

Our patients had SSBE 7 times more than LSBE in endoscopic study. This has been shown by Cameron *et al.* as well [14]. In Csendes *et al.*’s study the prevalence of SSBE was 86% when the prevalence of LSBE was about 14% [15]. Other studies have shown prevalence of about 3-5% for LSBE and 10-15% for SSBE [16]. We showed that only 27% of patients with

---

**Table 1.** Morphological characteristics of the studied lesions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Short-Segment Barrett’s Esophagus (N = 44)</th>
<th>Long-Segment Barrett’s Esophagus (N = 6)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haematoxylin and Eosine (n%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columnar epithelium</td>
<td>31 (70%)</td>
<td>3 (50%)</td>
<td>34 (66%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without goblet cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P value &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal metaplasia</td>
<td>9 (20%)</td>
<td>2 (33%)</td>
<td>11 (24%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous epithelium</td>
<td>4 (10%)</td>
<td>1 (17%)</td>
<td>5 (10%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed alcian blue (PH : 2.5) (n%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete metaplasia</td>
<td>5 (42%)</td>
<td>1 (25%)</td>
<td>6 (37.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete metaplasia</td>
<td>7 (57%)</td>
<td>3 (75%)</td>
<td>10 (62.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysplasia (n%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopy</td>
<td>2 (4.5%)</td>
<td>1 (16%)</td>
<td>3 (6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>1 (2.2%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variables are expressed as number and percent.
SSBE had intestinal metaplasia (42% of them had complete intestinal metaplasia and 57% – incomplete type) and 66% of patients with LSBE had intestinal metaplasia. In Csendes et al.’s study, 42% of patients with SSBE had intestinal metaplasia [16]; however, another study reported 35% prevalence [15]. Csendes et al. have shown 68.1% of intestinal metaplasia in patients with LSBE. They suggested a positive correlation between intestinal metaplasia and length of Barrett’s esophagus [15]. In all studied population, there was 32% of intestinal metaplasia (62.5% incomplete type and 37.5% complete type). Csendes et al. showed that the prevalence of intestinal metaplasia in patients with gastro-esophageal reflux is about 33% [15], whereas it is about 12% in other studies [17]. It should be taken into account that the diagnosis of intestinal metaplasia is associated with the number of biopsies taken. This difference may be due to the various techniques used in different studies.

In our study, endoscopy had a 93.3% negative predictive value, 0.00% sensitivity and 93% specificity in the diagnosis of dysplasia. Dysplastic foci are not evident in endoscopic study and when there are a limited number of dysplastic foci, it is possible to miss the patients, so four-quadrant biopsy is the preferred method of diagnosis. However, the endoscopist took some biopsies from suspicious dysplastic foci. Histological findings showed a poor correlation with endoscopic study (κ = 0.00%, p < 0.88). It should be taken into account that we did not use additional methods in endoscopic study for diagnosis of dysplasia, besides we had a small population studied, so further studies are suggested.

*Helicobacter pylori* infection was observed in 10 (30.3%) cases of those with columnar epithelial without goblet cells, while none of the patients with intestinal metaplasia were infected. *Helicobacter pylori* infection is considered a causative agent for gastrointestinal disease like gastritis, peptic ulcer, gastric cancer, and mucosa associated gastric tissue [18, 19]. Besides, it is regarded an essential factor in acid peptic disease [20]. Since the first report of Labenz et al., as to the question whether *H. pylori* eradication would increase the incidence of gastro-esophageal reflux disease [21], many studies showed that *H. pylori* eradication would increase gastro-esophageal reflux disease incidence in patients [19, 22-25]. Our finding is consistent with these observations, as none of the patients with intestinal metaplasia were infected. However, Peitz et al. have shown that 50% of patients with Barrett’s esophagus were infected with *H. pylori* [26].

In conclusion, according to the results, there is a good significant agreement between haematoxylin-eosin and Mixed Alcian Blue (pH: 2.5)/PAS staining in the diagnosis of intestinal metaplasia (p < 0.001, κ = 80%). So, routine study with Mixed Alcian Blue (pH = 2.5)/PAS staining is not recommended.

Our findings also suggest that biopsy is necessary in all patients with gastro-esophageal reflux disease, who had results suggesting of columnar cell lining in distal esophagus in endoscopy.
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