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Abstract
The article presents case reports of 2 women who became pregnant during therapy with omalizumab. Both subjects suffered from very severe asthma and were treated chronically with all available medications including systemic steroids (first – 20 mg prednisolone/day, second – 40 mg prednisolone/day). Both were enrolled into treatment with omalizumab and started regular therapy in 2007. The course of asthma significantly improved in both cases (withdrawal of oral steroids or significant reduction of their dose, better asthma control). The first woman, 32-year-old, became pregnant in 2010 and gave birth in Oct 2010 – it was her 3rd pregnancy, and 3rd labor. The second woman, 31-year-old, also became pregnant in 2010 and gave birth in Jan 2011 – it was her 5th pregnancy and 2nd labor. Both had severe asthma exacerbations during previous pregnancies and labors, and decided to continue therapy with omalizumab. The first woman, besides omalizumab, was treated with high doses of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) and long-acting β agonists (LABA) while the second one was treated with high doses of ICS, LABA and 2.5 mg to 5 mg prednisone/day. The pregnancies proceeded without asthma exacerbations. The first woman delivered a healthy girl (Apgar 9, weight 3200 g, length 56 cm) in the 40th week of pregnancy by caesarean section due to the narrow pelvis. The second one delivered a healthy boy (Apgar 9, weight 3800 g, length 56 cm) in week 40 by caesarean section due to the aggravating obstetrical history. In both cases, treatment with omalizumab did not affect pregnancies and newborns.
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Introduction
Asthma is one of the most common chronic conditions that occur in the pregnant woman [1]. The prevalence of asthma during pregnancy is estimated to be 3.7–8.4% [2]. There is some evidence from epidemiological studies that asthma increases the risk of preterm birth, intrauterine grown restriction, low birth weight, preeclampsia, congenital malformations and other pregnancy and labor complications [3–10]. Whether asthma or medications have an influence on the pregnancy and conditions of the fetus is the subject of many observational studies. There is unquestionable evidence that uncontrolled asthma is associated with the increased risk for the mother and the unborn child [11–13]. The perinatal prognosis for the children born to women with well-controlled asthma is the same as for women without asthma [14, 15]. Therefore, optimization of asthma treatment during pregnancy is vital to achieve good outcomes. However, physicians and their patients may have some doubts about the safety of anti-asthmatic drugs, especially new ones.

Omalizumab is a humanized recombinant anti-IgE monoclonal antibody class G recommended for the treatment of chronic severe IgE-mediated asthma [16]. Clinical and observational studies have confirmed its effectiveness in improving asthma control, reducing severe exacerbations and improving the quality of life [17–20]. IgG molecules are known to cross the placental barrier [21–23] and are excreted to the milk [24]. Data about the safety of omalizumab during pregnancy and lactation comes from experimental studies on animals; no embryotoxic and teratogenic effect has been found when omalizumab is administered throughout organogenesis.
and it was not seen to elicit adverse effects on fetal or neonatal growth when administered throughout late gestation, delivery and nursing [25].

This study presents case reports of 2 women who became pregnant during treatment with omalizumab: both cases had very severe asthma which was continuously treated with all available medications including systemic steroids (first – 20 mg prednisolone/day, second – 40 mg prednisolone/day). Both started their regular treatment with omalizumab in 2007 and demonstrated significant improvement (withdrawal of oral steroids or significant reduction of their dose, better asthma control).

**Case reports**

**Case 1**

The first subject was a 32-year-old woman with a history of asthma since the age of 15 years. She has had suffered from severe steroid-dependent asthma since she was 22 years old. Symptoms of allergy to house dust mites were confirmed by skin prick tests. Up to 2007, frequent asthma exacerbations were experienced due to exposure to allergens and during airway infections. Her lung function has been progressively impaired. She was treated with methylprednisolone 16 mg/day, fluticasone 2000 mg/day and formoterol 48 mg/day. Omalizumab (OMA) therapy was introduced in 2006. The dose of omalizumab – 600 mg/month was calculated based on a total IgE value of 403 IU/ml and a body weight of 55 kg.

Clinical improvement with a reduction in oral glucocorticosteroids (OCS) was obtained, but therapy was interrupted after 3 months due to the problems with reimbursement. Anti-IgE therapy was continued with some breaks since its reintroduction in 2007. During the treatment, the asthma was seen to become aggravated by a break longer than 2 months. Currently, the patient is treated with fluticasone 500 mg/day and formoterol 24 mg/day without systemic steroids. Since 2007, she has had one severe asthma exacerbation.

The subject has given birth to 3 girls. The first pregnancy occurred in 1998 when her asthma was mild and she was treated during the pregnancy with budesonide and salbutamol. No asthma exacerbation occurred during pregnancy and a healthy girl (Apgar 9, weight 3700 g, length 55 cm) was delivered at 39 weeks’ gestation by caesarean section due to a narrow pelvis. Her second pregnancy occurred in 2002, when her asthma during the pregnancy was severe and uncontrolled, and she was treated with budesonide, formoterol, up to 40 mg/day prednisone, and salbutamol as needed. Frequent, severe asthma exacerbations were experienced during pregnancy: asthmaticus status also occurred during labor. The intrauterine growth restriction of the fetus and imminent preterm birth were the complications of the severe uncontrolled course of the disease. She delivered a boy (Apgar 9, weight 2800 g, length 54 cm) at 38/39 weeks’ gestation by caesarean section due to the asthmaticus status.

The third pregnancy occurred in 2006, but finished with spontaneous abortus in the 8th week. The severe uncontrolled asthma was treated with budesonide, formoterol, prednisone up to 40 mg/day and salbutamol as needed. In 2009, the next pregnancy occurred in the 2nd

**Case 2**

The second woman was 31-year-old. Her asthma history started at 15 years at which age a severe steroid-dependent course was required. Allergies to house dust mites and tree pollen were presented, which were confirmed by skin prick tests. Exposure to allergens and airway infections were found to be associated with her frequent severe asthma exacerbations. Her lung function was not permanently impaired, but was much reduced during severe exacerbations.

She was treated with prednisone 40 mg/day, fluticasone 1000 mg/day, formoterol 48 mg/day before omalizumab therapy was introduced in 2006. The adjusted omalizumab dose was 150 mg/month, calculated based on a total IgE concentration of 93 IU/ml and a body weight of 45 kg. Clinical improvement prompted a reduction of the OCS dose, but the therapy had to be interrupted due to the problems with reimbursement and was reintroduced in 2007. Since that time, anti-IgE therapy has been almost regularly continued. A treatment time interval longer than 2 months resulted in asthma aggravation. Currently, the patient is being treated with a regime of budesonide/formoterol 320/9 mg BID, prednisone 10 mg. Only two severe asthma exacerbations have been noted since 2007.

Her obstetrical history is aggravating. Her 1st pregnancy in 2000 terminated with spontaneous abortion. At that time, the course of asthma was severe and uncontrolled and she was treated with budesonide, formoterol, prednisone and salbutamol. The second pregnancy occurred in 2002, when her asthma during the pregnancy was severe and uncontrolled, and she was treated with budesonide, formoterol, up to 40 mg/day prednisone, and salbutamol as needed. Frequent, severe asthma exacerbations were experienced during pregnancy: asthmaticus status also occurred during labor. The intrauterine growth restriction of the fetus and imminent preterm birth were the complications of the severe uncontrolled course of the disease. She delivered a boy (Apgar 9, weight 2800 g, length 54 cm) at 38/39 weeks’ gestation by caesarean section due to the asthmaticus status.

The third pregnancy occurred in 2006, but finished with spontaneous abortus in the 8th week. The severe uncontrolled asthma was treated with budesonide, formoterol, prednisone up to 40 mg/day and salbutamol as needed. In 2009, the next pregnancy occurred in the 2nd...
Discussion

Although the use of any drugs during pregnancy, especially in the 1st trimester, can cause concern [26], there is a body of evidence that severe uncontrolled asthma during the pregnancy represents a serious risk for both the mother and the child [10–12]. Therefore, the aim of asthma treatment during the pregnancy is optimal control [27, 28]. Both inhaled and oral antiasthmatic drugs are generally considered as safe: only OCS and theophylline may have some negative effects. The view of GINA is that using medication to control asthma is justified, even if its use during pregnancy has not been unequivocally proven to be safe [26].

The European Medicines Agency statement is that omalizumab should not be used during pregnancy unless clearly necessary [29]. The US Food and Drug Administration has classified omalizumab as a category B drug with regard to safety during pregnancy, together with budesonide, formoterol, ipratropium, cromoglycate, nedocromil and montelukast. This rating is higher than that of beclomethasone, fluticasone, formoterol, salbutamol, salmeterol, theophylline and tiotropium, but the prescribing information contains still a warning that omalizumab should be used during pregnancy only if clearly needed [30].

Only two case reports concerning omalizumab usage in pregnant woman was published: one in an abstract in 2011 [31], and the other in a Japanese journal in 2012 [32]. The first case was a 35-year-old Brazilian woman successfully treated with omalizumab due to severe uncontrolled asthma who become pregnant in 2010 and delivered a healthy child. She had previously aggravating obstetrical history, with fetal death in the 8th month of pregnancy as a complication of severe uncontrolled asthma. The other case was a 38-year-old Japanese woman with severe asthma treated for a long time with oral steroids. She had aggravating obstetrical history – 6 spontaneous abortions due to asthma exacerbation and one healthy child. She received omalizumab shortly before pregnancy and initially continued but subsequently interrupted the therapy not feeling improvement. She experienced one severe asthma exacerbation during the pregnancy due to the common cold. At 26 weeks’ gestation due to the threat of abortion, a cesarean section was performed and she delivered a 544-g female baby. The child had to be hospitalized in the neonatal intensive care unit because of low birth weight, but she has been developing and growing without handicap. In these two cases no embryotoxic and teratogenic effect was observed, the same as in our patients. The preterm labor in the Japanese case should be referred to uncontrolled asthma and severe exacerbation. The intrauterine infection in our second patient was due to the patient condition, but the child was healthy, and standard treatment was effective.

A registry of pregnant women exposed to omalizumab in real life circumstances is being drawn up in the USA and first data from 250 women were presented at the American Thoracic Society Congress in 2012. No risk related to omalizumab has been detected up to now [33].

Even if omalizumab treatment is stopped due to pregnancy, the exposure to the drug is continued due to the drug kinetics – its serum elimination half-life averaged 26 days. Therefore, the drug persists for much longer in serum than the time of treatment. Thus, it seems reasonable that omalizumab should not be withheld due to the pregnancy, partly because the risk of the asthma deterioration is higher than the potential risk to the fetus, and partly because the exposure will not be completely prevented anyway.

Conclusions

In both cases, treatment with omalizumab was found to be an effective therapy which improved asthma control, protected patients from exacerbations and enabled reduction of oral steroid doses. Omalizumab did not adversely affect pregnancies and newborns.

The decision to continue or discontinue anti-IgE therapy should always be made on a case-by-case basis, carefully taking into consideration the balance between the estimated benefits and potential risks.

In every case, the consent of the pregnant woman must be obtained after providing her with detailed information about potential risks imposed by the therapy.
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