Wound healing complications in oncological patients: perspectives for cellular therapy
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Abstract

Various types of cancer are nowadays a serious medical and social problem and a great challenge for modern medicine. The majority of anticancer therapy is based on traditional chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Both of these highly non-specific types of treatment have a number of serious side effects including wound healing complications. Radiotherapy and chemotherapy mostly affect rapidly dividing skin cells (e.g. keratinocytes), as well as fibroblasts, melanocytes, endothelial and immune cells. Currently, there are many strategies to improve wound healing in oncological patients, including various types of dressings, biomaterials, growth factors, and cell therapies.
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Introduction

Cancer diseases represent one of the largest and still increasing problems of modern medicine, with implications for economics and social aspects of civilization. They are also the cause of the majority of deaths in developed countries. The most common treatments of malignant neoplasms are radio- and chemotherapy. Therefore, their side effects are a vital issue and a great challenge to modern oncology. Among the most important adverse effects of radio- and chemotherapy are skin homeostasis disorders. There are approximately 14 million neoplasm cases diagnosed every year worldwide. About 30% of these patients are treated with chemoradiotherapy [1–3].

Chronic wounds can form as a result of radio- or chemotherapy themselves, both types of adjuvant therapies and even due to surgery itself. Both adjuvant therapies, radio- and chemotherapy, have a number of adverse effects with a systemic impact including skin disturbances. There is a constant search for an effective healing treatment, as well as tissue loss in oncological patients. Among the interesting methods are conventional wound dressings, biomaterials, growth factors and tissue engineering products based on in vitro cultured allogeneic or autologous cells [4].

The aim of the this review is to present the process of wound healing and possible complications in oncological patients subjected to adjuvant and/or neoadjuvant therapy. Additionally, we present an analysis of potential clinical application of new therapies with positive outcomes in wound healing.

Wound healing – stages and critical factors

There are three major overlapping stages in the process of wound healing: inflammatory, proliferative and remodeling phases. In the first stage, lasting up to 4 days after the injury (physiological healing), immune cell infiltration is observed (mainly neutrophils and macrophages) with subsequent secretion of proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1 and IL-6). The second, proliferative phase, lasting from the 4th to the 14th day after the injury, is focused on activation of keratinocytes, fibroblasts and endothelial cells. These cells are responsible for creating a scaffold in a healing wound and induction of neovascularization [5–9]. Additionally, various growth factors (IL-1, IL-6, GM-CSF, KGF, FGF, HGF, TGF-β) are secreted in vast amounts. The shift to the remodeling phase (from 14 days to 1 year after the injury) is performed through the involvement of macrophages, which change their phenotype from proinflammatory to "reparative". It is as-
associated with the alternative activation of macrophages resulting from the pathway triggered by IL-4/IL-13 [10, 11].

Three major groups of factors can be distinguished in proper wound healing: factors relying on the operational technique, factors dependent on the patient, and external factors [5–9]. Among the crucial elements involving surgical methods influencing wound healing is ischemia of wound edges or lack of appropriate wound homeostasis during the surgery. Additional factors with negative feedback are imperfect stitches [6]. Aging, obesity, diseases such as diabetes, renal insufficiency, inherited and acquired immune deficiencies and prolonged use of steroids may significantly impact the process of wound healing [6].

Chemotherapy is known to impede the immunological mechanism, i.e. it leads to a decrease in white blood cell numbers. On the other hand, radiotherapy results in irradiation injury, which in the early phase is visible as inhibited circulation in the irradiated area [5, 12–17]. These side effects of chemo- and radiotherapy may be followed by a decrease in growth factors present at the postoperative site in patients with breast cancer, colorectal cancer or stomach cancer, treated with neoadjuvant therapy prior to surgery.

Oncological treatment

Neoadjuvant therapy, consisting of radio- and/or chemotherapy, can exert a negative impact on the wound healing process. Based on the malignancy development, patients undergo preoperative oncological treatment (neoadjuvant) or postoperative therapy (adjuvant) [18, 19]. The positive aspects of the concomitant therapy are the increased number of non-radical procedures, the extended 5-year survival rate of patients with malignancies and decreased incidence of local renewals after dissection [18]. Severe intraoperative conditions, disturbing appropriate recognition of anatomical structures, and prolonged wound healing are among negative consequences of combined radio- and chemotherapy. It may also result in a higher frequency of postoperative complications such as delayed wound healing in the form of separation and increased incidence of infections. As the reasons for improper wound healing, the worse wound blood supply or weaker regenerative potential of the postoperative field are considered. In order to minimize the side effects of preoperative radio- and chemotherapy, the best time for surgery was established at 4 to 6 weeks after neoadjuvant treatment. Nevertheless, this treatment protocol does not fully prevent wound healing disturbances, especially after radiotherapy [5, 12–17, 19].

Palliative wound treatment is also an important topic in oncology. Five–ten percent of cancer patients develop malignant fungating wounds at the end of life. This type of wound appears when advanced, metastatic or recurrent cancer infiltrates the skin and disrupts its integrity. Fungating wounds rarely heal and are characterized by bleeding, exudate, pain and odor caused by necrosis and microbial contamination [20, 21]. These symptoms have to be controlled to improve patients’ quality of life.

Chemotherapy and wound healing

Chemotherapy and chemotherapeutic agents have a lot of significant adverse effects leading to worsening of the patients’ quality of life. It is known that widely used chemotherapeutic drugs may induce skin toxicity, manifested as skin rash, skin dryness and hyperpigmentation [22]. The mode of action of the majority of chemotherapeutics is based on inhibition of cell metabolism, cell division and angiogenesis. This way they block the pathways responsible for effective wound repair (Figure 1A). Moreover, they interfere with replication, transcription and translation [23]. Most of the chemotherapeutic agents impede inflammation and delay or directly block angiogenesis. They influence cell migration to the wound and decrease extracellular matrix production. The reduced pro-

Figure 1. Delayed wound healing in patients after mastectomy undergoing preoperative chemotherapy (A – arrow indicates edema of the wound edges and redness) or postoperative radiotherapy (B – arrow shows delayed healing of wound edges and presence of radiation-induced skin damage in the irradiated field)
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The impact of targeted therapies on skin

Targeted drugs, inhibiting the growth and spread of tumor cells through blocking specific molecules involved in tumor progression, have become more widely used in cancer treatment. Theoretically, these drugs should be less toxic to healthy cells, but cutaneous reactions are quite common, due to the fact that some molecules – drug targets – are also present in the skin (i.e. EGFR – epidermal growth factor receptor) [28]. EGFR inhibitors (e.g. cetuximab, erlotinib) block not only their main target overexpressed in tumor cells, but also the receptors present in healthy keratinocytes in the stratum basale of the epidermis. The induced changes in the growth and migration of keratinocytes, combined with inflammation, result in xerosis and papulopustular skin rash [22]. The signaling pathways activated by EGFR are in charge of proliferation, differentiation, migration and keratinocyte survival. As a result of inhibition of these pathways, alternations in skin cells are visible. The inhibition of DNA synthesis and cell cycle restriction in G1 phase lead to growth disorders. Additionally, the processes of differentiation and keratinization are intensified. The EGFR inhibitors suppress the expression of EGFR and MAPK in stratum basale keratinocytes, but stimulate expression of p27, KRT1 and STAT3 responsible for a blockade of growth and premature cell differentiation. Therefore, adhesion seems to be encouraged, while the proper development of keratinocytes, observed as cell migration from the stratum basale to the stratum corneum, is disturbed. The consequences are visible as promotion of expression of genes inducing apoptosis and inflammation, followed by increased vascular permeability of epithelium and loss of skin natural barrier function [29, 30]. Angiogenesis inhibitors (e.g. bevacizumab), acting by inhibition of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) pathway, can also affect wound healing. Anti-angiogenic activity may lead to decreased delivery of nutrients, oxygen and important cells to the wound side, which can cause surgical complications such as dehiscence, bleeding and infections. The available data suggest that the time of bevacizumab administration is crucial to avoid wound healing complications. The surgery should be performed 6–8 weeks after bevacizumab treatment, and this chemotherapeutic should not be taken for at least 28 days after surgery [31, 32].

Radiotherapy and wound healing

Many oncological patients undergoing radical radiotherapy suffer from acute skin toxicity. The group at risk consists of patients receiving the treatment to vulnerable areas of skin, such as sites where two skin surfaces are in contact, the epidermis is thin and smooth, or where skin integrity has already been disrupted (e.g. by surgery). The tissue regeneration in such irradiated skin areas may be severely impaired, causing prolonged wound healing (Figure 1 B). That may visibly impact the general healing process in oncological patients [33, 34].

Post-irradiation skin reactions can be divided according to the time of the appearance into early and late type. Early type reactions appear within a few weeks (up to 4) from the onset of radiotherapy. Late type skin reactions usually manifest a few months after the end of treatment and are the effect of fibroblast reactivity to irradiation [34, 35].

Another common complication of radiotherapy is osteoradionecrosis of the jaws. It is diagnosed in 5–15% of cases of radiotherapy for head and neck cancer, mostly in the first 3 years after treatment [36]. It is defined as a condition where irradiated bone is exposed through a wound in skin or mucosa and does not heal for 3–6 months without a residual or recurrent tumor [37]. The damage is not limited to bone and skin but also includes mucosa, fat, muscles and periosteum devastation [38].

Among the cells most sensitive to the effect of radiotherapy, due to their high proliferation and maturation index, are keratinocytes, hair follicle stem cells and...
melanocytes. Radiotherapy significantly interferes with proliferation and differentiation of endothelial cells, leading to the development of atypical cells and cutaneous vasculature.

Acute skin reactions are the result of the direct damage of the tissue, vessels and endothelial cells as well as the reduction and impairment of stem cells, local inflammation, apoptosis and necrosis of epidermal cells [39–41].

The toxic effect of radiotherapy is based on the direct ionization of DNA and the production of free radicals, such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) from water radiolysis. ROS cause the formation of dimers, base alterations and DNA double strand breaks, which finally lead to damage of stratum basale function. The disruption in the self-renewing property of the epidermis can be triggered even by the first fractionated dose of radiation, destroying a percentage of basal keratinocytes. The following and repeated exposures limit the time for tissue or DNA damage repair. Even though being continually destroyed with each dose of radiation treatment, the remaining keratinocytes are still stimulated to proliferate. The damage of stratum basale keratinocytes results in impairment of wound healing [39, 42–44]. Acutely irradiated skin is also affected with swelling and sloughing of epidermal cells and growth arrest [41].

Within a few hours after irradiation, the pro-inflammatory cascade is triggered and various inflammatory factors are released, including cytokines (IL-1, IL-3, IL-5, IL-6, TNF-α), chemokines (IL-8, eotaxin, CCR receptor) and adhesive molecules (ICAM-1, V-CAM, E-selectin). Interleukin 1 is one of the proinflammatory cytokines produced by monocytes, macrophages as well as keratinocytes, fibroblasts and endothelial cells [45]. Its expression is induced directly after the first radiation dose and lasts up to 60 days after exposure. TNF-α, another important proinflammatory factor, is engaged in both chronic and acute inflammation. This cytokine is secreted mainly by macrophages, but other immune cells are also capable of its production. Apart from proinflammatory properties, both cytokines — TNF-α and IL-1 — stimulate the secretion of matrix metalloproteinases (MMP), proteolytic enzymes degrading basal membrane end extracellular matrix. The local increase in TNF-α levels, accompanied by a higher concentration of IL-1, is a major symptom of acute inflammation [46, 47]. An increase in adhesion molecules expression, such as ICAM-1, enhances leukocyte interaction with the endothelium. The ICAM-1 acts as a promoter of adhesive interaction by binding integrins on the leucocyte surface, thus playing a pivotal role in migration and activation of T cells. The irradiation-induced increase in ICAM-1 molecule expression was detected in long-term cultured HaCaT keratinocytes, HDFa fibroblast monolayer cells, a novel 3D skin model consisting of these cell lines grown in a special collagen sponge matrix, and skin biopsies from irradiated patients. Moreover, higher numbers of CD3+ T cells were noted in irradiated skin biopsies, which confirms the role of the ICAM-1 molecule in the leukocyte inflammatory migration process [43, 48]. The overproduction of proinflammatory cytokines, due to exposure to radiation therapy, may lead to impairment of cell-to-cell and cell-to-extracellular matrix interaction, uncontrolled matrix decomposition and fibrosis. Additionally, NO synthesis was proved in animal models to be diminished in irradiated tissue. Nitric oxide, produced by macrophages and fibroblasts in wounds, accelerates healing through the induction of collagen decomposition. On the other hand, TNF-α and INF-γ, secreted by lymphocytes in irradiated wounds, prevent that decomposition. Hence, these two proinflammatory cytokines impede wound healing through induction of poor collagen decomposition [49, 50].

Chronic radiation dermatitis is a result of inflammation triggered by irradiation. This prolonged dermatitis is associated with overexpression of proinflammatory cytokines (TNF-α, IL-1, IL-6) and the activity of growth factors (TGF-β, PDGF and CTGF) promoting fibrosis through synthesis of extracellular matrix proteins and matrix metalloproteinases [39, 51]. The increased level of TGF-β in serum of irradiated patients correlates with higher risk of fibrotic tissue formation [52]. Transforming growth factor β is an important growth factor controlling proliferative activity of various cell types. The mechanism of TGF-β signaling is based on binding to the type I/II receptor complex, resulting in activation of Smad proteins [46]. It is widely known that in vitro fibroblast irradiation leads to TGF-β secretion, enhancing differentiation of these cells. It is believed that premature differentiation is responsible for the accumulation of post-mitotic fibrocytes and increased synthesis and decomposition of extracellular collagen, an important factor of wound healing and fibrosis. Fibrocytes are 10 times more effective in collagen production than fibroblasts. Therefore, fibroblast differentiation induced by irradiation may significantly affect wound healing through fibrotic tissue formation and prolonged healing (recovery). Injury of the endothelium may induce inappropriate vascularization of irradiated skin, leading to an impaired blood supply [52–55]. Low levels of angiogenic factors (FGF, HGH, VEGF) in irradiated skin suggest that insufficiency in the production of these factors may be a culprit of disturbed angiogenesis [56]. Moreover, the prolonged inflammation and secretion of proinflammatory cytokines lead to leukocyte infiltration, causing other symptoms of skin inflammation such as atrophy of necrosis [39, 51].

**Cell therapies for chronic wound treatment**

Various modern dressings based on hydrogels, silver-coated membranes and allogenic skin sheets are currently used in wound healing. For example, palliative wounds can be managed by using special wound dress-
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Contemporary regenerative medicine and tissue engineering are behind the development of more successful therapies of different kinds of wounds. Scientists are now focused on cell application in treatment of chronic wounds. These cells may be transplanted as a cell suspension directly injected onto the wound, combined with a proper carrier or a complex 3D skin scaffold [4, 58].

For the sake of safety and long-term therapeutic effects, autologous transplantations outnumber allogeneic transplantations from other healthy donors. The longest clinical observations are made on in vitro expanded keratinocytes and epidermal stem cell transplantations [59]. Epidermal stem cells are localized mainly in the stratum basale and the bulge region of the hair follicle. It is believed that during physiological epidermal renewal, only primarily activated stratum basale cells are engaged in this process. In the course of wound healing, stem cells localized in different skin regions are stimulated, e.g. the bulge region cells. These cell actively migrate to the epithelial area and undergo terminal differentiation [60, 61]. It explains the accelerated wound healing in hairy skin [62].

Currently, stem cells can be easily isolated from small skin fragments (0.5–1.0 cm²) and successfully cultured and multiplied in vitro in xeno-free media (Figure 2 A). These cells may be subsequently applied as a suspension (e.g. in fibrin glue), in the form of epidermis or an engineered skin substitute (ESS), constituting effective treatment of chronic wounds or skin loss [63]. Transplanted cells constitute a natural skin barrier, and at the same time are an important source of growth factors facilitating wound healing [64].

Bone marrow constitutes a rich source of hematopoietic and mesenchymal stem cells (HSCs and MSCs, respectively). Other types of progenitor cells might be localized in bone marrow and are mobilized to the periphery in response to various traumas. Stem cells, particularly HSCs, participate in wound healing with extensive inflammation [65, 66]. Their recruitment is dependent on the secretion of cytokines and growth factors (SDF-1, VEGF, HGF, angiopoietin) from injured tissues [65, 67]. Data obtained in human studies showed that bone marrow cells are directly engaged in skin regeneration. It is presumably related to the MCSS’s ability to differentiate into fibroblasts and keratinocytes [68]. Bone marrow can also be an abundant source of endothelial cell precursors, released to the circulation under stimulation of SDF, VEGF, GM-CSF and such unspecific factors as hypoxia or burns. Endothelial precursors play a substantial role in extensive wound healing by induction of new vessel formation [69]. The present-day data describe numerous clinical trials applying stem/progenitor cells of bone marrow origin (HSCs, MSCs), as well as mobilization of these cells from bone marrow through growth factors (e.g. GM-CSF) [4, 70].

In the past decade human adipose tissue has been identified as a source of multipotent stem cells (Figure 2 B). Adipose-derived stem cells (ASCs) proved to have significant biological properties and considerable therapeutic potential [71]. It seems that ASCs, in their physiological state, remain rather quiescent, but under stimulation (such as a wound, a transplant, or in vitro culture) exert pro-regenerative capabilities. Transplanted ASCs participate in the healing process of both acute and chronic wounds, simultaneously preventing hypertrophic scars and keloids [72, 73]. One of the major functions of ASCs is the stimulation of angiogenesis by differentiation into endothelial cells and activation of differentiated endotheliocytes. These cells can also transform into fibroblasts and even, under specific conditions, into keratinocytes [74–76]. Exogenic ASCs mobilize endogenic stem cells, particularly the epidermal stem cells located in the bulge region. This mechanism of action is based on various

Figure 2. Examples of cells with potential application in oncological wound treatment (A – human primary keratinocytes, 2nd passage; B – human ASCs, 2nd passage)
growth factor production, including FGF, HGF, TGF-β and VEGF. Other vital features of ASCs are their immunosuppressive and anti-inflammatory properties, important in treatment of chronic wounds accompanied by prolonged inflammation [72]. The activity of ASCs relies on micro-environmental conditions and accelerates in hypoxia or under stimulation of growth factors. Due to the high metabolic rate and large amounts of secreted in vitro growth factors, ASCs’ culture medium is being tested as a potential treatment in skin inflammation. ASCs are a promising tool for treatment of chronic (e.g. ulcers) and oncological wounds [71]. Riggotti et al. [77] in a pilot clinical study in humans showed that injection of autologous lipopapacrites, which contain ASCs, can be effective in treating late side effects of radiotherapy. Improvement was seen in all patients and ASCs application induced damaged tissue transformation into normal tissue. The beneficial effect was prominent in patients suffering from cutaneous ulcers and even osteoradionecrosis. Despite nearly a decade of investigations, there is no agreement on ASCs’ effect on tumor growth and safety of this therapy in oncological patients. Their oncological safety should be carefully considered before they become commonly used [78].

Stem cells also seem to be a promising candidate for osteoradionecrosis treatment. Mendonça et al. [38] reported use of a mixed population of autologous cultured bone marrow-derived stem and progenitor cells as a novel regenerative procedure in three patients with craniofacial diseases, including one diagnosed with a severely fractured mandible as a result of advanced osteoradionecrosis. In this case the necrotic tissue was excised, a mandibular reconstruction plate was placed, the cells were inoculated into the skin, muscle, areas adjacent to the facial nerve, and the necrosed vascular stumps, and the wound was closed. The procedure resulted in osteogenesis, angiogenesis, arteriogenesis, and skin and nerve regeneration. Most of the lost facial tissues and functions were restored.

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBO) is used in treatment of different wounds including those caused by radiotherapy. It is combined with standard wound care techniques [49]. This therapy is generally safe and well tolerated, but side effects can occur when oxygen is used in high doses or for longer than recommended. Its use during surgical procedures promotes wound healing and reduces pain [79]. Thom et al. found that HBO treatment mobilizes stem/progenitor cells (SPCs) in healthy people and patients after radiotherapy for head and neck tumors [80]. Animal studies show promising results of HBO combination with MSCs cell therapy in repair of traumatic brain injury, bone repair and spinal cord rehabilitation, which suggests that it may also be useful in wound healing promotion [81–83]. However, there are no well-controlled human studies indicating the efficiency of such therapy and, taking into consideration the sensitivity of transplanted cells, it would have to be used with caution.

Conclusions

Chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy is often the therapy of choice for treating various types of cancers. This type of treatment may lead to delayed wound healing and worsening of patients’ quality of life. Cellular therapies seem to be a promising tool in treatment of wounds caused by chemotherapy or radiotherapy, but their safety in oncological patients needs to be taken into consideration.
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