Players’ performance during worst-case scenarios in professional soccer matches: a systematic review
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ABSTRACT: Since the analysis of worst-case scenarios (WCS) has been increasing knowledge about match demands and possible impacts for the training process, it seems important to summarize the evidence to provide useful information for the soccer community. Thus, the purpose of this systematic review was to summarize the evidence about WCS in professional soccer. A systematic review of PubMed, SPORTDiscus, and FECYT (Web of Sciences, CCC, DIIDW, KJD, MEDLINE, RSCI, and SCIELO) was performed according to the guidelines for performing systematic reviews in sport science. From the 85 studies initially identified, 12 were fully reviewed, and their outcome measures were extracted and analyzed. There was an inverse relationship between the duration of WCS windows and running output during match play. Occurrences of WCS during soccer matches were also position-dependent across studies, at least, when analyzing performance with the total distance covered variable, although different outputs were identified between women and men players. Future research should consider analyzing the impact of contextual variables (match status, team formation, and match location) on peak match values and the weight of these moderators.
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INTRODUCTION

Match running performance in soccer is a well-researched topic in match analysis [1]. Using different tracking approaches, the collection and description of distances covered at different speed thresholds, accelerations, decelerations, or mechanical load parameters have been described considering different situational factors [2], sexes [3, 4], or other variables. The understanding of the typical values of activity profiles provided a better understanding of the volume of running and identification of the weight of each type of running intensity in the volume of running and the consequences for training [5].

Despite the importance of describing the volume of running performed, the relativization of game pace and value (activity profile per minute) is important to consider the players who have not participated in the whole match [6]. Additionally, the relative meters or number of actions performed per minute can also help to identify the typical pace for adjusting some training drills based on running [7]. However, considering the activity per minute includes the whole match and does not take the natural intermittence of the game into account [8]. In fact, due to the variability within the match, it is expected that some moments can be more intense than others depending on what contextual factors are involved in a certain situation [9]. Therefore, the inspection of peak match running demands (also known as worst-case scenarios (WCS)), defined as the most intense period of a match [10], has been progressively growing, in which the standardized distances or actions made per minute are considering for specific time window to extract values of WCS) [11]. Not only can the epoch used play an important role in determining the peak match demands for the distance covered at each running intensity, acceleration, or deceleration, but also the use of different methodological approaches, such as using fixed epochs or rolling averages may significantly contribute to the different values obtained [12].
Naturally, the peak match running demands in shorter epochs are much more intense than in longer epochs independently of sex [13, 14]. Similarly to considering the whole match, the WCS seem also to be dependent on situational factors [10, 15]. Among these, average match demands for the whole match or WCS are highly position-dependent, thus playing positions should be considered since the values significantly differ from position to position [10]. This should be carefully considered for recovery strategies, training interventions and individualization of the training process [16].

Since the analysis of WCS has been increasing knowledge about match load demands and possible impacts for the training process, it seems important to summarize the evidence to provide useful information for the sports community. Despite the publication of a systematic review about the use of microtechnology to quantify the peak match demands in football codes [17], a systematic review is still needed that allows the comparison of peak match demands among time windows, playing positions, sexes and contextual factors in professional soccer. This information will help towards an understanding of the current state-of-the-art and indicate new routes for research into WCS in soccer. Therefore, the purpose of this systematic review was to summarize the evidence about WCS in professional soccer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The systematic review strategy was conducted according to the guideline for performing systematic reviews in sport science [18].

Search strategy
PubMed, SPORTDiscus, and FECYT, which contains seven databases (i.e. Web of Sciences, CCC, DIIDW, KJD, MEDLINE, RSCI, and SCIELO), were searched for relevant publications prior to March 22, 2021. Keywords and synonyms were entered in various combinations in the title, abstract or keywords: (soccer OR football) AND (“worst case scenario*” OR “most demanding passage*”). Additionally, the reference lists of the studies retrieved were manually searched to identify potentially eligible studies not captured by the electronic searches. Finally, an external expert has been contacted in order to verify the final list of references included in this scoping review in order to understand if there was any study that was not detected through our research. Possible errata were searched for each included study.

Study selection
A data was prepared in Microsoft Excel sheet (Microsoft Corporation, Readmon, WA, USA) in accordance with the Cochrane Consumers and Communication Review Group’s data extraction template [19]. The Excel sheet was used to assess inclusion requirements and subsequently tested for all selected studies. The process was independently conducted by the two authors (MRG and JPO). Any disagreement regarding study eligibility was resolved in a discussion.

The screening of the title, abstract and reference list of each study to locate potentially relevant studies was independently performed by the two authors. Additionally, they reviewed the full version of the included papers in detail to identify articles that met the selection criteria. An additional search within the reference lists of the included records was conducted to retrieve additional relevant studies. Possible errata for the included articles were considered.

The inclusion and exclusion criteria can be found in table 1.

Data Extraction
The following information was extracted from the included original articles: EPTS, level (league) and players’ mean age, sample, playing positions, variable and time epoch.

Methodological Assessment
Methodological assessment process was performed by two authors (MRG and JPO) using an adapted version of the STROBE assessment criteria for cross-sectional studies [20], looking studies eligible for inclusion. Each article was assessed based on 10 specific criteria (Table 2). Any disagreement was discussed and solved by consensus decision. Each item was evaluated using numerical characterization (1 = completed; and, 2 = non-completed), where a study with 7 points scored was qualified as a low quality (high risk of bias) and a study with 8 points scored was qualified as a study with low risk of bias [20].

RESULTS

Study identification and selection
The searching of databases identified a total of 85 titles. These studies were then exported to reference manager software. Duplicates (43 references) were subsequently removed manually. The remaining 42 articles were screened for their relevance based on titles and abstracts, resulting in the removal of a further 30 studies. Following the screening procedure, 12 articles were selected for in depth reading and analysis. After reading full texts, all of these studies were included in the qualitative synthesis (Figure 1).

Methodological quality
The overall methodological quality of the studies can be found in Table 2.

Characteristics of individual studies
The characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 3 (male players: n = 10 studies) and 4 (female players: n = 2 studies). All studies were developed with professional athletes. Playing position data were included in both tables 3 and 4 with exception for one study [26]. There was one study that data was non-extractable [27]. Both tables 3 and 4 included data by epochs (from 1 min to 10 min) for all variables analysed by each study, respectively.
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TABLE 1. Inclusion/exclusion criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Inclusion Criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Studies developed with professional soccer players</td>
<td>Studies developed with players from other team sports (basketball, rugby, Australian football, American football, futsal, etc.) or sport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>The data were recorded during soccer matches.</td>
<td>The data were recorded during training sessions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparator</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Physical/physiological, technical and/or tactical performance outcomes.</td>
<td>Variables from other nature (e.g. psychological).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Design</td>
<td>Soccer players performance during the most demanding passages.</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional criteria</td>
<td>Only original and full-text studies written in English</td>
<td>Written in other language than English. Other article types than original (e.g., reviews, conference abstracts, etc.).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2. Methodological assessment of the included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baptista et al. [21]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casamichana et al. [22]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fereday et al. [12]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martín-García et al. [23]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martín-García et al. [24]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muñiz-González et al. [25]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliva-Lozano et al. [13]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliva-Lozano et al. [26]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliva-Lozano et al. [10]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboli et al. [27]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboli et al. [15]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trewin et al. [28]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found (item 1); state specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses (item 2); Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants (item 3); for each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group (item 4); explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why (item 5); give characteristics of study participants (item 6); summarize key results with reference to study objectives (item 7); discuss limitations of the study, considering sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias (item 8); give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence (item 9); give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based (item 10).
FIG. 1. Flow diagram of the selection of studies.
The most demanding passages in soccer

### TABLE 3. Performance indicators during most demanding passages in professional male players.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>EPTS</th>
<th>Level (League)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Playing position</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Epochs (values per minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Central defender</td>
<td>Acc (n/min)</td>
<td>1 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Central defender</td>
<td>Dec (n/min)</td>
<td>1 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Central defender</td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>15 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Central defender</td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td>7 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Wide defender</td>
<td>Acc (n/min)</td>
<td>2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Wide defender</td>
<td>Dec (n/min)</td>
<td>2 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Wide defender</td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>24 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Wide defender</td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td>11 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Central midfielder</td>
<td>Acc (n/min)</td>
<td>1 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Central midfielder</td>
<td>Dec (n/min)</td>
<td>1 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Central midfielder</td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>17 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Central midfielder</td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td>6 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Central Forward</td>
<td>Acc (n/min)</td>
<td>2 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Central Forward</td>
<td>Dec (n/min)</td>
<td>1 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Central Forward</td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Central Forward</td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td>8 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Whole team (Average)</td>
<td>Acc (n/min)</td>
<td>2 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Whole team (Average)</td>
<td>Dec (n/min)</td>
<td>1 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Whole team (Average)</td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>19 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Whole team (Average)</td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td>8 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table Notes:

- **Ref.**: Reference to the study.
- **EPTS**: Equipment for tracking system.
- **Level (League)**: Level of the league.
- **N**: Number of players.
- **Age**: Age of the players.
- **Playing position**: Position of the player.
- **Variable**: Performance indicator.
- **Epochs (values per minutes)**: Epochs for each variable.

### Additional Information:

- **Baptista et al. [21]**: Radio-based tracking system (ZXY Sport Tracking System, Trondheim, Norway).
- **Casamichana et al. [22]**: GPS (Viper Pod, 50 g, 88 x 33 mm, Statsports Viper, Northern Ireland).

### Values:

- **PRO (Norwegian)**
  - **Central defender**: Total distance (m/min) = 177 ± 14, HMLD (m/min) = 62 ± 12, AMP (W·kg⁻¹) = 17 ± 1.
  - **Wide defender**: Total distance (m/min) = 189 ± 16, HMLD (m/min) = 73 ± 16, AMP (W·kg⁻¹) = 19 ± 2.
  - **Central midfielder**: Total distance (m/min) = 196 ± 22, HMLD (m/min) = 70 ± 15, AMP (W·kg⁻¹) = 19 ± 2.
  - **Central Forward**: Total distance (m/min) = 206 ± 22, HMLD (m/min) = 71 ± 15, AMP (W·kg⁻¹) = 18 ± 2.
  - **Whole team (Average)**: Total distance (m/min) = 186 ± 22, HMLD (m/min) = 70 ± 16, AMP (W·kg⁻¹) = 18 ± 2.

- **PRO (Spanish)**
  - **Central defender**: Total distance (m/min) = 175 ± 23, HMLD (m/min) = 67 ± 17, AMP (W·kg⁻¹) = 17 ± 2.
  - **Wide defender**: Total distance (m/min) = 185 ± 21, HMLD (m/min) = 73 ± 16, AMP (W·kg⁻¹) = 18 ± 2.
  - **Central midfielder**: Total distance (m/min) = 176 ± 12, HMLD (m/min) = 64 ± 12, AMP (W·kg⁻¹) = 17 ± 2.
  - **Central Forward**: Total distance (m/min) = 196 ± 22, HMLD (m/min) = 66 ± 15, AMP (W·kg⁻¹) = 18 ± 2.
  - **Whole team (Average)**: Total distance (m/min) = 186 ± 22, HMLD (m/min) = 70 ± 16, AMP (W·kg⁻¹) = 18 ± 2.

### Values (Continued):

- **Average**: Total distance (m/min) = 171 ± 25, HMLD (m/min) = 68 ± 15, AMP (W·kg⁻¹) = 18 ± 2.
## TABLE 3. Continue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>EPTS</th>
<th>Level (League)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Half</th>
<th>Playing position</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Epochs (values per minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PRO (Spanish)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td>Player</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PRO (English)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Player</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Defenders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>EPTS</th>
<th>Level (League)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Half</th>
<th>Playing position</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Epochs (values per minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GPS (1.0 Hz; Optim-eye S5, Catapult Sports, Melbourne, Australia)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Whole team (Average)</td>
<td>Total distance (m/min)</td>
<td>180 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GPS (Viper Pod, 50 g, 88 x 33 mm, STATSports Viper, Northern Ireland)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Whole team (Average)</td>
<td>Total distance (m/min)</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GPS (1.0 Hz; Optim-eye S5, Catapult Sports, Melbourne, Australia)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Whole team (Average)</td>
<td>Total distance (m/min)</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GPS (Viper Pod, 50 g, 88 x 33 mm, STATSports Viper, Northern Ireland)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Whole team (Average)</td>
<td>Total distance (m/min)</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Midfielders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>EPTS</th>
<th>Level (League)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Half</th>
<th>Playing position</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Epochs (values per minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GPS (1.0 Hz; Optim-eye S5, Catapult Sports, Melbourne, Australia)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Whole team (Average)</td>
<td>Total distance (m/min)</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GPS (Viper Pod, 50 g, 88 x 33 mm, STATSports Viper, Northern Ireland)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Whole team (Average)</td>
<td>Total distance (m/min)</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GPS (1.0 Hz; Optim-eye S5, Catapult Sports, Melbourne, Australia)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Whole team (Average)</td>
<td>Total distance (m/min)</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GPS (Viper Pod, 50 g, 88 x 33 mm, STATSports Viper, Northern Ireland)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Whole team (Average)</td>
<td>Total distance (m/min)</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>EPTS</th>
<th>Level (League) Age</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Playing position</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Epochs (values per minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total distance (m/min)</td>
<td>181 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>38 ± 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td>11 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AMP (W·kg-1)</td>
<td>18 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HMLD (m/min)</td>
<td>62 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acc (n)</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec (n)</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HI Acc/Dec</td>
<td>6 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total distance (m/min)</td>
<td>192 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>38 ± 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td>11 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AMP (W·kg-1)</td>
<td>18 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HMLD (m/min)</td>
<td>65 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acc (n)</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec (n)</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HI Acc/Dec</td>
<td>6 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Distance (m/min)</td>
<td>101 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td>16 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ACC (n/min)</td>
<td>2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DEC (n/min)</td>
<td>2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Distance (m/min)</td>
<td>105 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>7 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td>19 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ACC (n/min)</td>
<td>2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DEC (n/min)</td>
<td>2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Distance (m/min)</td>
<td>115 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>5 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td>19 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ACC (n/min)</td>
<td>2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DEC (n/min)</td>
<td>2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Distance (m/min)</td>
<td>114 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td>23 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ACC (n/min)</td>
<td>2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DEC (n/min)</td>
<td>2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Distance (m/min)</td>
<td>96 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>7 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td>16 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ACC (n/min)</td>
<td>1 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DEC (n/min)</td>
<td>2 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Distance (m/min)</td>
<td>105 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td>18 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ACC (n/min)</td>
<td>2 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DEC (n/min)</td>
<td>2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Martín-García et al. [24] GPS units (Viper Pod, 50 g, 88 x 33 mm, STATSports Viper, Northern Ireland) PRO (Spanish reserve squad) 20 ± 1 21 37 W

TABLE 3. Continue.
TABLE 3. Continue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>EPTS</th>
<th>Level (League)</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>Half</th>
<th>Playing position</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Epochs (values per minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total distance (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acc (n/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec (s/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total distance (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acc (n/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec (s/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total distance (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acc (n/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec (s/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total distance (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acc (n/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec (s/min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Markel Rico-González et al.
The most demanding passages in soccer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>EPTS</th>
<th>Level (League)</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>Half</th>
<th>Playing position</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Epochs (values per minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboli et al. [15]</td>
<td>Semi-automatic tracking system (SIS, Paris, France)</td>
<td>PRO (Italian)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Central defender

- **Total distance (m/min)**: 181 ± 30, 151 ± 28, 141 ± 23, 136 ± 26, 133 ± 23, 121 ± 28
- **HSR (m/min)**: 50 ± 22, 23 ± 11, 18 ± 14, 18 ± 12, 17 ± 7, 12 ± 3
- **Very HSR (m/min)**: 34 ± 11, 19 ± 7, 15 ± 5, 13 ± 4, 11 ± 4, 8 ± 3
- **Sprint (m/min)**: 36 ± 15, 19 ± 9, 14 ± 6, 11 ± 5, 10 ± 4, 6 ± 3
- **Acc/Dec (n/min)**: 31 ± 4, 18 ± 3, 15 ± 2, 12 ± 2, 11 ± 2, 7 ± 1
- **AMP (W.kg-1)**: 19 ± 4, 16 ± 3, 14 ± 2, 12 ± 2, 12 ± 2, 11 ± 2
- **HMLD (m/min)**: 88 ± 20, 60 ± 13, 52 ± 11, 46 ± 10, 42 ± 12, 36 ± 9

### Wide defender

- **Total distance (m/min)**: 187 ± 27, 157 ± 27, 144 ± 21, 140 ± 23, 136 ± 21, 121 ± 30
- **HSR (m/min)**: 56 ± 19, 26 ± 14, 24 ± 19, 21 ± 14, 19 ± 6, 13 ± 3
- **Very HSR (m/min)**: 37 ± 13, 22 ± 8, 17 ± 6, 14 ± 5, 12 ± 4, 9 ± 4
- **Sprint (m/min)**: 44 ± 15, 23 ± 9, 18 ± 7, 14 ± 6, 11 ± 5, 7 ± 3
- **Acc/Dec (n/min)**: 33 ± 5, 20 ± 3, 15 ± 2, 13 ± 2, 11 ± 2, 8 ± 1
- **AMP (W.kg-1)**: 20 ± 3, 16 ± 3, 14 ± 2, 12 ± 2, 12 ± 2, 11 ± 2
- **HMLD (m/min)**: 92 ± 24, 65 ± 17, 54 ± 13, 49 ± 13, 43 ± 16, 38 ± 13

### Midfielder

- **Total distance (m/min)**: 198 ± 27, 168 ± 28, 156 ± 24, 150 ± 26, 145 ± 24, 130 ± 33
- **HSR (m/min)**: 68 ± 20, 36 ± 12, 36 ± 16, 32 ± 12, 27 ± 5, 21 ± 4
- **Very HSR (m/min)**: 41 ± 14, 25 ± 9, 20 ± 6, 17 ± 6, 15 ± 5, 11 ± 5
- **Sprint (m/min)**: 49 ± 17, 27 ± 10, 20 ± 8, 16 ± 6, 15 ± 6, 9 ± 4
- **Acc/Dec (n/min)**: 35 ± 4, 21 ± 3, 17 ± 2, 14 ± 2, 13 ± 1, 9 ± 2
- **AMP (W.kg-1)**: 22 ± 8, 17 ± 5, 16 ± 3, 15 ± 3, 13 ± 3, 13 ± 3
- **HMLD (m/min)**: 103 ± 21, 75 ± 14, 64 ± 10, 59 ± 11, 50 ± 18, 46 ± 11

### Forward

- **Total distance (m/min)**: 177 ± 38, 148 ± 34, 139 ± 30, 132 ± 31, 129 ± 30, 108 ± 43
- **HSR (m/min)**: 48 ± 21, 23 ± 13, 18 ± 17, 20 ± 13, 13 ± 6, 23 ± 5
- **Very HSR (m/min)**: 34 ± 13, 22 ± 8, 16 ± 6, 14 ± 5, 12 ± 5, 9 ± 4
- **Sprint (m/min)**: 38 ± 19, 21 ± 11, 16 ± 8, 13 ± 8, 11 ± 6, 7 ± 4
- **Acc/Dec (n/min)**: 29 ± 5, 17 ± 3, 14 ± 2, 12 ± 2, 11 ± 2, 7 ± 2
- **AMP (W.kg-1)**: 19 ± 4, 16 ± 3, 14 ± 3, 13 ± 3, 11 ± 3, 11 ± 3
- **HMLD (m/min)**: 86 ± 23, 60 ± 17, 52 ± 13, 46 ± 13, 36 ± 20, 35 ± 13

### Wide forward

- **Total distance (m/min)**: 191 ± 19, 160 ± 13, 150 ± 12, 143 ± 12, 138 ± 10, 126 ± 15
- **HSR (m/min)**: 58 ± 19, 29 ± 10, 26 ± 14, 24 ± 11, 21 ± 5, 15 ± 3
- **Very HSR (m/min)**: 39 ± 8, 22 ± 6, 18 ± 4, 14 ± 4, 13 ± 3, 10 ± 3
- **Sprint (m/min)**: 46 ± 14, 27 ± 8, 19 ± 7, 16 ± 6, 13 ± 5, 8 ± 3
- **Acc/Dec (n/min)**: 33 ± 4, 21 ± 2, 16 ± 2, 14 ± 1, 12 ± 1, 8 ± 1
- **AMP (W.kg-1)**: 20 ± 2, 16 ± 2, 15 ± 1, 14 ± 1, 13 ± 3, 12 ± 2
- **HMLD (m/min)**: 94 ± 17, 66 ± 12, 56 ± 9, 51 ± 9, 44 ± 13, 39 ± 8

### Whole team (Average)

- **Total distance (m/min)**: 188 ± 25, 159 ± 24, 148 ± 20, 141 ± 22, 137 ± 43, 122 ± 28
- **HSR (m/min)**: 58 ± 17, 128 ± 12, 26 ± 16, 25 ± 12, 21 ± 6, 16 ± 3
- **Very HSR (m/min)**: 37 ± 11, 22 ± 7, 17 ± 5, 14 ± 5, 13 ± 5, 9 ± 3
- **Sprint (m/min)**: 42 ± 16, 23 ± 9, 17 ± 7, 14 ± 6, 12 ± 6, 7 ± 3
- **Acc/Dec (n/min)**: 32 ± 7, 19 ± 4, 15 ± 3, 13 ± 2, 11 ± 3, 8 ± 2
- **AMP (W.kg-1)**: 20 ± 4, 16 ± 3, 15 ± 2, 14 ± 2, 12 ± 4, 12 ± 3
- **HMLD (m/min)**: 94 ± 20, 67 ± 15, 57 ± 12, 51 ± 11, 44 ± 16, 40 ± 11

**Note:** Off: offensive; AMP: average metabolic power; HI: high intensity; HML: high metabolic load distance (> 20 W.kg⁻¹); HMLD: high metabolic load distance; HSR: high-speed running; HMP: high metabolic power; Acc: acceleration; Dec: deceleration; M = n of matches; P = n of players; W = whole match; ND: non-described.
### TABLE 4. Performance indicators during most demanding passages in professional women players.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>EPTS (League) Age</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>Half</th>
<th>Playing position</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Epochs (values per minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muñiz-González et al. [25]</td>
<td>GPS (CatapultSports®, GPSports EVO®, Canberra, Australia)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Central defender</td>
<td>Distance (m/min)</td>
<td>153 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>26 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HMLD</td>
<td>61 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acc (m/s)</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wide defender</td>
<td>Distance (m/min)</td>
<td>161 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>30 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HMLD</td>
<td>71 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acc (m/s)</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Midfielder</td>
<td>Distance (m/min)</td>
<td>163 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>21 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HMLD</td>
<td>66 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acc (m/s)</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wide midfielder</td>
<td>Distance (m/min)</td>
<td>158 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>34 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HMLD</td>
<td>67 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acc (m/s)</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trewin et al. [28]</td>
<td>GPS (Minimax S4, Catapult Innovations, Australia)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Central defender</td>
<td>Distance (m/min)</td>
<td>157 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>29 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HMLD</td>
<td>66 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acc (count/min)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wide defender</td>
<td>TD (m/min)</td>
<td>144 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LSR (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>31 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acc (count/min)</td>
<td>4 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (count/min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (count/min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PL (AU/min)</td>
<td>14 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Midfield</td>
<td>TD (m/min)</td>
<td>132 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LSR (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>20 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acc (count/min)</td>
<td>3 ± 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (count/min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (count/min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PL (AU/min)</td>
<td>14 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wide defender</td>
<td>TD (m/min)</td>
<td>146 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LSR (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>25 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acc (count/min)</td>
<td>3 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (count/min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (count/min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PL (AU/min)</td>
<td>18 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>TD (m/min)</td>
<td>141 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LSR (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>25 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acc (count/min)</td>
<td>3 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (count/min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (count/min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PL (AU/min)</td>
<td>14 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Whole team (Average)</td>
<td>TD (m/min)</td>
<td>141 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LSR (m/min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (m/min)</td>
<td>25 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acc (count/min)</td>
<td>3 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSR (count/min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sprint (count/min)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PL (AU/min)</td>
<td>15 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: AMP: average metabolic power; HI: high intensity; HMLD: high metabolic load distance; HSR: high-speed running; HMP: high metabolic power; Acc: acceleration; PL: player load; M = n of matches; P = n of players; W = whole match.
FIG. 2. Peak demands of relative total distance (m/min) during 1-, 3-, 5- and 10-min time windows among professional men player positions.
FIG. 3. Peak demands of high-speed running distance (HRS, m/min) during 1-, 3-, 5- and 10-min time windows among professional men player positions.
FIG. 4. Peak demands of sprint (m/min) during 1-, 3-, 5- and 10-min time windows among professional men player positions.
FIG. 5. Peak demands of relative total distance (m/min) high-speed running distance (HSR, m/min) and acceleration (Acc, n/min) during 5 min time windows among professional women player positions.
The most demanding passages in soccer

Figures 2–4 showed data for the most variables (total distance, high-speed running, sprint) and epochs (1, 3, 5, and 10 min) used in the studies analysed. Fig 2 presents the WCS of relative total distance during 1-, 3-, 5-, and 10-min epoch between professional male player positions for the studies [10, 12, 13, 15, 23].

Figure 3 presents the WCS of high-speed running during 1-, 3-, 5-, and 10-min epoch between professional male player positions for the studies for the studies [10, 12, 13, 15, 23].

Figure 4 presents the WCS of sprint during 1-, 3-, 5-, and 10-min epoch between professional male player positions for the studies for the studies [10, 13, 15, 23].

Figure 5 presents the peak demands of relative total distance, high-speed running and acceleration during 5 min epoch between professional women player positions for both studies [25, 28].

DISCUSSION

The main purpose of this systematic review was to summarize the evidence about WCS in professional soccer. The literature searches and screening steps were focused mainly on papers that have made comparisons including independent measures such as epochs (time windows), playing positions, sexes, and contextual factors within the aforementioned umbrella research topic. A total of 12 articles were reviewed and, in some cases, their pooled results pointed in the same direction. The main findings were: (i) there was an inverse relationship between the duration of WCS windows and running output during match play; (ii) the main differences were found between 1-min epochs and the remaining time windows; (iii) occurrences of WCS during soccer matches were also position-dependent across studies, especially, analyzing total distance covered; (iv) although these conclusions remain in articles that considered women soccer players, different values were reported between sexes; (v) contextual constraints (match status, team formation, and match location) should be considered to understand WCS in soccer.

In soccer, two teams face one another with exactly opposite aims, leading players to organize themselves with teammates and consider the opponents’ behavior. In this scenario, a player’s movement (teammate or opponent) induces another player’s adaptation, which subsequently provokes the adaptation of another. In this respect, soccer has been highlighted as a game involving continuous adaptations and re-adaptations [29]. Considering this concept, the team with possession shares the ball through passes between teammates, who move along the field providing solutions for the player with the ball [30]. This collective behavior of the attacking team is counteracted by individual defending player behavior, combining into collective defending behavior, confronting each other opposite strategies. In this scenario, while team-to-team, or specifically, player-to-player synchronization remains, a steady state dominates [31]. However, when one of the attacking player’s movements (usually high-intensity movement) breaks player-to-player synchronization, the remaining players leave their position to alleviate the defensive imbalance, trying to recover the general equilibrium [31]. Therefore, if the defending team can stop the progression of the attacking team towards its goal, the steady state will be regained, while if the defending team cannot, a chaotic situation will arise [31], leading to WCS. In soccer literature, it has been highlighted that the relationship between players’ physical fitness and ranking outcomes is poor [32, 33], emphasizing teams’ technical and tactical effectiveness rather than high levels of physical performance [33]. However, further studies could consider if the player’s physical condition may be more relevant in WCS. To date, the WCS have been analyzed using some factors that have influenced the extracted outcomes. Therefore, to analyze the influence of each of them may be of interest for soccer community.

Worst-case scenarios depending on the time window

Since high-intensity actions have been included in the principal components that explained players’ performance [34, 35], interest in analyzing WCS has grown exponentially. Since different authors have found the influence of different epochs in running outputs (Table 3 and 4), the definition of WCS has led researchers to redefine this concept understanding the WCS as situations that demand maximal physical load in a given time window [36]. Thus, the analysis of WCS in different time windows has been the first aim of this systematic review.

In soccer literature on WCS, time windows between 1 min and 10 min have been considered, highlighting differences among them. For example, men soccer players travel from 132 to 233 m/min, from 17 to 55 m/min at high-speed running distance (HSR), from 7 to 29 m/min at sprint intensity, from 2 to 5 acc and dec/min, from 30 to 72 m/min of HMLD, and values from 11 to 19 W·kg⁻¹ of AMP. When considering these values as a reference for training task design, there may be a lack of precision, making it difficult to interpret standard values for accurate design. In this scenario, physical fitness and conditioning coaches, together with head coaches, should consider the team’s characteristics and the game styles, which could define greater or shorter most demanding passages. To date, game styles may be divided into (i) direct attack and deep defending, (ii) direct attack and high-pressure defending, (iii) elaborate attack and high-pressure defending, and, (iv) elaborate attack and deep defending [37]. In this regard, if the attacking team breaks the defending team players’ synchronization while they maintain high-pressure defending, longer WCS will be expected from the breakdown of the steady state on one side of the field, to the goal scoring situation on the other side. On the contrary, due to the distance from the area where the order is broken to the goal-scoring zone, deep defending may induce shorter WCS. However, these hypotheses that may encourage the individualization of time windows depending on team characteristics should be considered with caution due to the chaotic nature of soccer games.

The most commonly considered epochs were 1-, 3-, 5-, and 10-min time windows. Among them, large differences appear between the analysis of WCS using 1 min time windows in comparison with the
following one, in this case 2-min. For example, the analysis reported that wide defenders travel different distances for a time window of 1 min (233 m/min), 3 min (157 m/min), 5 min (138 m/min), and 10 min (121 m/min). In addition, these players at HSR showed differences greater than 50% between the 1 min (55 m/min) and 3 min (26 m/min), while for 5 min (20 m/min) and 10 min (12 m/min) time windows, differences were slight. But, in addition to the present example, these differences between 1 min and 3 min time-windows remain wide, independently of playing position, for variables such as sprint (29 m/min and 11 m/min), Acc (4 n/min and 2 n/min), and HMLD (72 m/min and 41 m/min), respectively; while the differences between the remaining time-windows (3, 5, and 10 min) were lower than 10 m/min, with the exception of total distance covered that showed differences of up to 30 m/min between 3 min and 5 min time-windows. Although these large differences appear between 1 min and 2 min time windows, the limited number of studies that considered 2 min epochs mean that this conclusion requires more research.

In brief, from approximately 90% of the studies that compare values from WCS in different time windows, a clear trend reveals that the smaller the time window, the higher the values reported, especially between 1 min and the remaining time widows.

Worst-case scenarios depending on playing position

Since the literature reveals that soccer players’ performance varies depending on their positional roles (central defender (CD), wide defender (WD), midfielder (MD), wide midfielder (WM), and forward (F)) [38, 39], it was expected that players’ performance may differ during WCS.

In soccer literature, it has been highlighted that MD traveled the longest average distance during competition, followed by F and defenders [33, 40, 41]. Regarding HSR, full-backs, MD, and advanced MD covered a greater distance, while CD and F covered more distance at high power [42]. In addition, the full-backs and advanced MD produce more accelerations and decelerations in comparison with the other roles, while MD usually develop greater metabolic power [42]. Accordingly, WM (from 135 to 233 m/min), MD (from 126 to 200 m/min), and WM (from 121 to 233 m/min) are the players that traveled a longer total distance during WCS, followed by F (from 117 to 186 m/min) and CD (from 115 to 179 m/min). However, there were not wide differences among playing positions in other variables that describe high-intensity efforts. For example, CD and F, who perform a lower distance at high intensity [42], perform between 9 and 51 m/min in HSR, while WD, WM, and MD, who record greater values of HSR in a whole match [42], perform between 12 and 57 m/min. Similarly, WM and MD perform greater distances at sprint intensity (from 4 to 30 m/min), while the remaining roles lead players to perform from 3 to 25 m/min at sprint intensity. These values are more similar among playing positions when analyzing accelerations and decelerations (from 2 to 5 actions per minute). As a consequence of these high-intensity efforts, load indicators such as HMLD are similar among playing positions (from 23–29 to 61–72 m/min). Therefore, if the analysis of soccer players’ physical loads during the whole match is highly useful for training individualization [40], the fact of finding statistical differences among roles in the articles included in this systematic review should be considered with care, at least, with high-intensity variables such as HSD, sprints, and accelerations/decelerations. The rationale for this may be the nature of WCS, that lead all players to act suddenly to try to recover a steady state and avoid the opponent’s progression towards a goal scoring opportunity. Therefore, although in general statistical differences were found among players’ positions, coaches should decide if these differences are important enough to individualize training programs aimed at developing performance for WCS depending on roles.

In brief, players’ performance is dependent on their playing positions, at least, since WD, WM, and M perform more m/min than the others. However, differences among playing positions do not seem large in those variables that explain players’ performance at high-intensity. Accordingly to most studies (~85%; 11/13 studies) [14, 15, 17, 23, 24, 26–30], it is recommended that results provided during WCS serve as the basis for future training prescription, in order to respect the specific demands imposed on players during the most demanding passages of match play.

Worst-case scenarios depending on sex

As with men, women soccer players’ performance during the most demanding passages are time-window dependent (total distance = from 107 to 161 m/min; HSR = from 2 to 34 m/min; Acc = from 0,61 to 0,95 actions per minute; and, HMLD = from 23 to 71). Moreover, coaches should decide if the differences between playing positions (total distance = CD: 107–153 m/min, WD: 109–161 m/min, M: 116–163 m/min, WM: 109–158 m/min, and F: 107–152 m/min; HSR = CD: 3–26 m/min, WD: 5–30 m/min, M: 2–21 m/min, WM: 6–34 m/min, and F: 5–32 m/min; Acc = CD: 0,81–0,86 n/min, WD: 0,68–0,95 n/min, M: 0,62–0,87 n/min, WM: 0,67–0,95 n/min, and F: 0,61–0,88 n/min; HMLD = CD: 23–61 m/min, WD: 27–71 m/min, M: 28–66 m/min, WM: 27–67 m/min, and F: 27–67 m/min) are sufficiently important to individualize the training process by roles.

However, despite these common results between sexes, the differences between them seems to be a fact. Although women soccer players have shown greater values in some variables such as core endurance [44], the largest sex differences were evident in the explosive and intermittent endurance-related variables, with women showing lower values (large to extreme) in sprints, jumps, and intermittent endurance, and trivial to moderate differences (lower in women) in running velocity, maximal heart rate, and distance covered during incremental exercises [44]. These results lead to the hypothesis that sex differences will remain in WCS during soccer matches. In this systematic review, there were differences between men and women in distance covered (115–233 and 110–157 m/min), HSR (9–57 and 4–29 m/min), HMLD (23–72 and 26–66 m/min), and
in the number of accelerations (2–4 and 0.6–0.9 n/min), respectively. These differences may arise due to anthropometric and physiological differences between the genders [45].

**Worst-case scenarios depending on contextual factors**

Three studies assessed the impact of a range of contextual variables regarding the expression of WCS over match-play [12, 15, 46]. For short passages (1- to 3-min), match outcome was a significant independent factor since winners reported a higher running performance [10, 12]. Nevertheless, considering longer periods the literature showed opposed conclusions supporting [12] or contesting this result [10]. Match location impacted WCS observed in [10] whilst the study by Fereday and co-workers [12] indicated no effects in either total or high-speed distances. Finally, playing formation also contributed to WCS variations in two investigations [12, 15]. As a consequence, this indicates that previous literature on match performance in soccer [47–49] cannot be always extrapolated when analyzing the WCS in this team sport, implying a need to control for contextual constraints even in the absence of a clear consensus in some cases. In this regard, replication studies in distinct populations/locations, standards, and ages are still required to provide strong evidence, which is currently scarce according to our searches.

**Study limitations**

Six main issues are recognized in the present review study: (1) Aside from the acceptable methodological scores of the majority of the papers included, there were some (14%) that did not reach high-quality levels. (2) Except in one study separating offensive and defensive game phases using a semi-automatic video tracking method [16] and another considering ball in/out of play by custom-built software coding [32], the results collected here may also lack the context in which they occurred (see for more information: [47]). This is due to the predominant use of only portable micro technologies to collect match performance (~86%) [13, 14, 17, 23–31], which alone may not allow capturing technical event occurrences like losing and regaining ball possession [48]. (3) Most importantly, whether there was any variation in players’ actual positions occupied during matches in relation to intended formation was not reported in all except two studies [27, 28], thereby indicating a need to re-examine some of the methods. One potential solution is to apply heat maps to the 2-dimensional positional data to confirm if each player indeed exerted a role predominantly in a given field location [50]. (4) The information gathered is pertinent to a greater extent to men professional players, because only two literature studies determined in-game WCS in professional women [25, 28] soccer athletes. (5) Some pertinent topics consisted only of isolated/single studies (e.g. congested schedule [51], player status (such as starter/non-starter comparisons) [12], and match-to-match variability [28]), thereby making systematic recommendations unfeasible to date. (6) To end, the generalizability of current evidence to assist periodization in training is debatable [10, 28, 36]. Limitations derived either from methods adopted here or from articles considered should be carefully taken into account when interpreting systematic conclusions made, and future research is advisable to follow up such concerns.

**CONCLUSIONS**

An inverse relationship existed between the length of the time window used in capturing WCS and the match-play running output in which the shortest epochs reveal the most intense in-game locomotor demands. Also, the occurrences of WCS during soccer matches at the professional level are dependent on playing position, especially analyzing performance through total distance covered. However, evidence made it possible to draw firm conclusions only in professional men players while information derived from women athletes is as yet insufficient. The inclusion of match contextual factors such as location, score status, and team formation is advisable when investigating WCS in soccer matches given their prominent influence on the expression of players’ running performance in this type of analysis. Future research should consider understanding the better methodology for measuring WCS aiming to reduce the noise, as well as determine the practical implications for real-training scenarios as to how to prescribe based on typical values.
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