The association between obesity and height in adolescents
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Summary

Background. The prevalence of childhood obesity is increasing worldwide and can affect their linear growth through various mechanisms.

Objectives. We aimed to investigate the association between obesity-related traits and height in adolescents.

Material and methods. This was a categorized cross-sectional study carried out on 186 students (93 case and 93 control) 15 to 18 years of age who were randomly selected from three schools in Shiraz, Iran. Anthropometric indices including height, weight, body mass index (BMI), body fat percentage (BF) and body muscle percentage (BM) were measured. Statistical analysis was done by SPSS software (Version 16). A significance level was considered as being less than 0.05.

Results. We found an inverse association for waist circumference (WC) and fat mass with height. In addition, there was a direct relationship between fat-free mass and trunk fat with height. The mean height of participants in the obesity group was significantly lower than the control group (p = 0.04). Participants in the obesity group had significant higher FM percentage (p < 0.001) and WC (p < 0.001) than the control group. There was a significant correlation between height and WC (p = 0.003), as well as between height and fat percentage (p < 0.001). We also found a direct relationship between fast-free mass and height (p < 0.001), as well as between trunk fat percentage and height (p = 0.026). We have suggested an equation to estimate adolescents’ height based on the anthropometric indices.

Conclusions. Adiposity, especially central adiposity, is adversely associated with height. However, muscle percentage might reinforce linear growth.
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Background

Human growth is a complex process impacted by interactions between genetic and environmental factors, as well as lifestyle. Approximately 60–80% of variation in height among adolescents is determined by genetic factors [1, 2], while 20–40% can be attributed to environmental factors, such as over-nutrition, which leads to obesity. Although the process of growth and maturing in obese children is faster than others, their final height would be shorter [3, 4]. The global epidemic of early obesity has raised the interest in understanding the causes and consequences of obesity [5, 6]. Factors affecting child growth in obese children include increased leptin and insulin levels, adrenal androgens, insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1, IGF-binding protein-1, GH-binding proteins, as well as reduced secretion of growth hormone [6, 7].

There have been various studies conducted to assess the effect of obesity on height. A cohort study on 1,901 participants 3.5–8 years of age reported that higher childhood body mass index (BMI) was associated with higher growth parameters before onset of puberty and earlier puberty [8]. Bratberg et al. conducted a study on children 12–16 years of age in middle schools and claimed that early maturation in girls leads to shorter height compared to normal matured girls [9]. Shalitin et al. claimed that growth rate in overweight adolescents is slower than their normal-weight peers [10], and other studies found that body frame size and shape in children was associated with the amount of adipose tissue in different adipose tissue depots but not with adipose distribution [11, 12]. Some studies suggested a relationship for multiple adiposity-associated-genetic loci with pubertal timing and adult height and also confirmed that despite hormonal change in obesity, molecular pathways are also involved in linear growth regulation [13–16]. Many factors could have an influence on obesity and disease in children. These could have an influence on the anthropometric index [17–19].

As mentioned above, studies have produced controversial results, and most of them were performed in childhood and pre-puberty ages, and less is done regarding the association between obesity and linear growth in adolescents. In addition, it is better to select more suitable indices to assess obesity, such as fat mass (FM) and central obesity. Therefore, due to the fact that BMI creates a bias and does not distinguish between fat tissue and fat-free mass [20], waist circumference (WC) and waist-to-height ratio (W/Ht) are suggested as the most popular indices to measure central obesity [21].

Objectives

This study aimed to investigate the association of obesity-related traits with height in adolescents.
Material and methods

We conducted a categorized cross-sectional study to determine the association between obesity-related traits and height in adolescents 15–18 years of age. Sample size (93 cases and 93 controls) was determined with alpha 0.05 and a power of 0.80.

Ethical approval was obtained from Shiraz University of Medical Sciences. Written informed consent was obtained from all the participants. They were recruited between March and April 2017 from three different socio-economic level schools of Shiraz, Iran. The participants attended a single study session. A prepared questionnaire was completed, including demographics and anthropometrics data. Healthy adolescents with excess and normal body fat percentage were categorized in two groups; FM more than 30% for girls and more than 25% for boys were considered as excess fat percentages. Individuals with lower body fat percentage (less than 15% for girls and less than 10% for boys) were excluded.

Since, in our study, height is a dependent variable, we used WC instead of waist-to-height ratio as an indicator of central obesity for controlling bias and misinterpretation [22]. In addition, WC is less correlated with the timing of sexual maturation than BMI [9]. We also involved both genders, because after the age of 14, the difference in magnitude of linear rate between genders is negligible [23].

Measurements

The participants were asked not to consume excessive amounts of food and water or perform vigorous-intensity activity one day before anthropometric assessment. Height as a dependent variable was measured by a non-stretch tape. Weight, FM, fat-free mass (FFM) and trunk fat were measured by bioelectrical impedance analyzer (Tanita, Japan/BC-418). WC was measured between the edge of the ribs and iliac spine. BMI was calculated by the equation: weight (kg)/height (m2).

Statistical analysis

The results are expressed as mean (SD). The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to test the normality of the distribution of variables. Residual normality, co-linearity of variables and independency of variables were also checked and confirmed. The Chi-square test was used to confirm gender matching, and the t-Test was used to confirm age matching. Backward linear regression analysis was performed to investigate the association of height and obesity-related traits after controlling the confounding factors of age, BMI and weight. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All statistical analyses were carried out using the statistical software SPSS, Version 16.

Results

186 participants were enrolled in this study, 93 adolescents with excess body fat percentage (Category 1; C1) and 93 gender- and age-matched adolescents (Category 2; C2) with normal body fat percentage were allocated in the two categories. There were no differences for age (C1: 16.9 (0.8) vs C2 16.9 (0.8), t-Test; p = 0.44) between the two categories. Mean obesity traits were significantly higher in individuals with the category of excess body fat percentage than the other (Table 1). The mean height of participants in group C1 was significantly lower than in group C2 (166.4 (18.7) vs 170.3 (9.8); p = 0.04). Participants in group C1 had a significant higher FM percentage (31.8 (6.3) vs 18.2 (5.4); p < 0.001) and WC (90.6 (12.7) vs 74.4 (7.4); p < 0.001) than in C2.

Table 1 shows the demographic and anthropometric characteristics for both study groups.

Although anthropometric data did not have normal distribution, assumption of a normal distribution of residual variance for regression analysis was not violated. Backward regression analysis suggested three models for the equation, but we only included significant indices of the third model in the final equation. Significant correlations were observed between height and waist circumference (WC) (-0.258; p = 0.003; Confidence Interval...
val (CI: -0.330 to -0.070), as well as between height and fat percentage (-0.361; p < 0.001; CI: -0.542 to -0.192). We also found a direct relationship between FFM and height (0.902; p < 0.001; CI: 0.690–0.935), as well as between trunk fat percentage and height (0.160; p = 0.026; CI: 0.019–0.294). Hence, we can suggest an equation according to results shown in Table 2. as follow:

\[
\text{Height} = 149.9 - 0.258 (WC) - 0.361 (FM\%) + 0.902 (FFM) + 0.160 (Trunk fat\%).
\]

**Discussion**

Our study found that excess fat mass, specifically excess abdominal fat, during adolescence might limit linear growth, so that each unit increase in WC is associated with an increase of 0.258 cm in height, and each unit increase in FM is associated with a decrease of 0.361 cm in height. Besides this, we observed that each unit increase in trunk fat is associated with an increase of 0.160 cm in height. This direct relationship, despite the inverse relationship of FM and WC with height, implicated that adiposity in different parts of the body might have various effects on linear growth. In addition, this study found a direct association between FFM and height, so that each unit increase in FFM is associated with an increase of 0.902 cm in height. However, the relationship between FFM and height could be reciprocal, since taller individuals have greater amounts of FFM, and higher amounts of FFM can also improve an individual’s linear growth.

One study claimed that excess FM can attenuate GH secretion and decrease the half-life of GH, even in children treated with exogenous GH. The underlying mechanism is not well understood; however, they suggested the role of peripheral factors, like leptin, IGF-1 and insulin, as well as central hypothalamic/pituitary modulators [24]. IGF-1 is a potential suppressor for GH. In addition, diet has been implicated as a regulator of IGF-1 levels so higher calorie intake and obesity can increase the level of IGF-1 [25, 26]. Hyperinsulinemia, as a result of insulin resistance in central obesity, has a direct inhibitory effect on pituitary GH synthesis and release [24]. We know that obesity is associated with central resistance to circulating leptin, hence the effect of leptin as a skeletal growth factor, and the stimulatory effect on GH secretion is decreased in obesity [27]. As shown previously, central obesity is a critical cause for leptin and insulin resistance [28], hyperinsulinemia and reduced plasma GH levels in comparison with general obesity.

Akslagae et al. [29] conducted a cross-sectional study on 156,835 children who attended primary school from 1930–1969 to find a relationship between BMI and pubertal timing. They found that boys and girls entered puberty earlier independent of their BMI level. They suggested that the obesity epidemic is not solely responsible for a downward trend in the age at attaining puberty in both boys and girls. Lee et al. [30] studied the relationship of weight status with the timing of puberty in boys. They reanalyzed recent community-based pubertal data from American Academy of Pediatrics’ Research in Office Settings, in which trained clinicians assessed boys 6 to 16 years of age for height, weight, Tanner stages, testicular volume (TV) and other pubertal variables. They reported later puberty for obese boys compared with normal and overweight boys. The inconsistency between these conclusions might be due to using BMI in their studies [31]. We believe that if they had designed their study in a better way to evaluate body fat content and distribution, they would have reached a better conclusion implicating that the downward trend might be due to increasing body fat, especially central adiposity.

He and Karlberg [32] suggested that over-nutrition in individuals 2–8 years of age would not be favorable with respect to final height, since the temporary increase in height gain during childhood is compensated for by earlier pubertal maturity and subnormal height gain during adolescence. They found that each unit increase in BMI was associated with an increase of 0.23 cm in height for boys and 0.29 cm for girls.

Puberty timing was also earlier by 0.6 y in boys and 0.7 y in girls. Each unit increase in BMI in childhood reduces the height gain in adolescence by 0.88 cm for boys and 0.51 cm for girls. Another study was performed by Komlos and Breitfelder according to NHANES surveys (1999–2004) that compared the height and BMI values of US children and adolescents to their Dutch counterparts and found that the US children and adolescents were both shorter and heavier than their Dutch counterparts. They stated that a positive energy balance might lead to a higher tempo of growth in childhood and an earlier cessation of growth in adolescence. They also claimed that biologically relevant estradiol levels increase GH release and IGF-1 levels and lead to the commencement of the pubertal growth spurt, which occurs at a younger age in girls than in boys and is possibly more notable at an earlier stage of puberty in girls.

For boys, this pathway is the same, so estradiol increases GH levels and the pubertal growth spurt. Estrogen levels are linked to testosterone concentration and hence to the time of peak growth rate. Consequently, continuous exposure to estrogen causes epiphyseal fusion and subsequent slower growth in ado-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Beta**</th>
<th>p*</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1 for height correlations</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>-0.247</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.321 to -0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FM%</td>
<td>-0.347</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BMR</td>
<td>-0.098</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>-0.008 to 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FFM</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.723 to 1.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TBW</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>-0.042 to 0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trunk Fat%</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.015 to 0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2 for height correlations</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>-0.249</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.323 to -0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FM%</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.539 to -0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BMR</td>
<td>-0.098</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>-0.008 to 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FFM</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.716 to 1.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trunk Fat%</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.016 to 0.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3 for height correlations</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>-0.258</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-0.330 to -0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FM %</td>
<td>-0.361</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>-0.554 to -0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FFM</td>
<td>0.902</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.690 to 0.935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trunk Fat%</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.019 to 0.294</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
lescence [33]. The findings of the two mentioned studies were partially in line with the present study. However, our results indicated the importance of fat distribution in addition to the importance of general obesity.

A cross-sectional study by Zapata et al. [34] in adults 18–70 years of age found that the prevalence of short stature was associated with abdominal obesity. Despite the consistency with our findings, theirs might be biased, as they collected data from the adult population. It is possible that a tall adult might become obese; therefore, adolescence is a better choice for studying this relationship.

Several other studies showed that linear growth in obese adolescents is slower than their lean peers [35], even with a genetic height potential [36]. Our study added a novel and interesting finding to this area of research, namely that fat distribution is more important than general obesity when it comes to linear growth. It should be considered that a thin individual with normal BMI or FM could have excess abdominal fat, which is a risk factor not only for metabolic outcomes [37–39] but also for optimum linear growth and development at younger ages. On the other hand, fat deposition in breasts might have a protective effect on linear growth. Our recommended equation also estimates the approximate height in adolescence based on these indices: WC, FM percentage, Trunk fat percentage and FFM. Therefore, in order to diagnose the type of obesity and its effects on growth during early growth ages, we should also consider the indices of body composition in combination. Hence, we should use markers of fat distribution, such as WC, waist-to-hip ratio and waist-to-height ratio in combination with assessing total FM, FFM, BMI and weight with accurate tools.

**Limitations and strengths of the study**

Our study had several limitations. First, we used statistical tests to control the confounders, thus a possibility of other unrecognizable confounders cannot be ruled out. Second, the BIA system used in our study, despite its accurateness in clinical application, might have some errors, and thus, other methods, such as DXA, is suggested for better assessment of FM amount and its distribution in further research.

As for the strengths of our study, we mainly selected true obesity traits, such as WC, which is an index for chronic poor eating habits and obesity rather than other traits. We can conclude that abdominal obesity over a long period can have adverse effects on linear growth. Additionally, we selected participants from three different schools in different socio-economic districts, which reduced the probability of bias. The adolescent population was also a better choice than children, since there is a positive association between childhood obesity and linear growth, and this can cause the misunderstanding that individuals with obesity will grow to be taller. Finally, we matched two groups for gender, and we could generalize the results for both girls and boys.

**Conclusions**

This study suggests that fat distribution is as important as general fatness. Adolescents with abdominal obesity might have slower and less height gain compared with the adolescents with normal abdominal fat. On the other hand, fat deposition in other parts of the trunk, except for the abdominal region, might not limit linear growth. According to our recommended equation, we should consider FM and FFM in combination with indices of fat distribution to better describe the effects of obesity on linear growth. Further studies should be conducted to confirm and improve our findings and equation.
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