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Abstract

Purpose: Perianal fistula is an important health problem with devastating morbidity. For diagnosis and prevention of recurrence, perianal fistula imaging (PFI) is crucial. The aim of this study was to investigate if a Flex-M superficial coil images would provide more information than images obtained with a phased-array body coil in PFI.

Material and methods: Thirty-one fistulas of 29 patients were prospectively evaluated with magnetic resonance imaging. A phased-array body coil was used in all patients as in routine practice, and sequences with Flex-M coil were also obtained. Afterwards, images were evaluated by two experienced radiologists who were blinded to the patients, coil and also to each other. The site of internal and external openings, and presence or absence of abscess and secondary tracts were recorded.

Results: The conspicuity of images was better with the Flex-M coil. Both radiologists saw more internal openings with the Flex-M coil. Cohen's κ value was 0.100 (p = 0.201) for Reader 1 and 0.110 (p = 0.361) for Reader 2 between these two coils. Additionally, numerically more internal/external openings and secondary tracts were seen by both readers. Inter-observer variability was not statistically significant, and Cohen's κ values signified good concordance between readers.

Conclusions: In our study we showed that multichannel superficial phased-array coils improved imaging quality in PFI. We think that Flex-M coil can easily be used in routine clinical practice to gather better images of perianal fistulas.
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Introduction

Perianal fistula is an important health problem with devastating morbidity, including severe local pain, chronic perianal discharge, impairment of social activities, and incontinence [1]. It is defined as an epithelial-lined abnormal tract between the anal canal and the skin [2]. Its exact prevalence is not known, but it is estimated to be around 1 : 10 000. The male-to-female ratio is 2 : 1 [3].

Treatment is surgical, but due to missed infection sites, it has a tendency to recur; in some series it has had a recurrence rate of up to 25% [4]. Accurate preoperative assessment of primary perianal fistula and its relationship with sphincters, secondary tracks, and abscess formation is crucial for the establishment of a treatment plan. Besides its diagnostic purposes, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has become the gold standard method for perianal fistula imaging because it gives the most detailed information about the anatomical features of the fistula tract and its relationship with the anal sphincter muscles. By providing these data, MRI increases the rate of surgical success and decreases the recurrence rate of perianal fistula [2].

There are many publications about different magnetic resonance sequences with or without contrast material.
[5-9] and diffusion-weighted MRI [10-13]. Additionally, endoanal coils and body coils have previously been compared with each other [14] or by surgical correlation. In the literature, it is noteworthy that some of the studies used torso [15-17] or spine coils [18]. However, there has been no published literature comparing body coils and superficial coils so far. Therefore, in our present study, we aimed to investigate whether using a two-channel Flex-M superficial coil would give more information compared to the images obtained with an eight-channel phased-array body coil in perianal fistula imaging.

**Material and methods**

**Patients**

All patients with anal fistula were planned to be involved in our present study. The presence of malignancy was accepted as an exclusion criterion. All fistulas were idiopathic except in one patient with Crohn's disease. All patients were informed about the study, and the patients who agreed to be involved in the study were recruited accordingly. Our study was approved by the Local Ethics Committee, and informed consent was obtained from each study participant.

**Imaging technique**

Patients underwent 1.5 T MRI imaging (Achieva; Philips Medical Systems; Best, Netherlands) in the supine position. Each examination included sagittal fat-suppressed (FS) T2-weighted (T2W) (time of repetition/time of echo [TR/TE], 3500/80 ms), coronal FS T2W (TR/TE, 3500/80 ms), axial T1W (TR/TE, 550/7 ms), T2W (TR/TE, 4500/80 ms), FS T2W (TR/TE, 4500/80 ms), FS T1W (TR/TE, 550/7 ms), axial dynamic THRIVE (TR/TE, 4.6/2.2 ms), postcontrast axial, sagittal, and coronal FS T1W (TR/TE, 475-650/7 ms) images. Other imaging parameters included a slice thickness of 3.5 mm with an interslice gap of 1 mm, a matrix size of 175 × 385, and a field of view (FOV) of 240 × 240 mm.

Distal rectum and skin were included. Axial and coronal imaging was performed as oblique axial and oblique coronal parallel to the anal canal (true axial and true coronal). Postcontrast series were obtained following bolus intravenous injection of 0.1 mmol/kg MRI contrast agent (Gadoversetamid, Optimark, Covidien).

All MRI investigations were performed with a phased-array body coil. Additionally, an axial FS T2W sequence was gathered with Sense Flex-M coil (Achieva; Philips Medical Systems; Best, Netherlands) as a superficial coil. Patients were evaluated at a workstation. Afterwards, the axial FS T2W sequences that were previously obtained with Flex-M and body coils were evaluated separately by two experienced radiologists, who were blinded to the patients. Interpretations of the images by the two radiologists were made at different times. The radiologists were unaware of each other's interpretations.

Flex and body coils were connected to the patient at the same time. The Flex coils were placed at the front and back of the patient's anal region, and a body coil was wrapped around it.

The site of internal and external openings and the presence of any abscess and secondary tracts were evaluated. Cases were categorised according to Park's [19] and St. James University [20] classification systems.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 21.0 Statistical Package Program for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Categorical variables were compared with the χ² test. Intra- and inter-observer concordance were tested by Cohen's κ test. P values < 0.05 were accepted as statistically significant.

**Results**

A total of 29 patients with 31 fistulas were included in our present study. Of the patients, 19 were male and 10 were female. The mean age of the study group was 42.8 years (age range: 19-68).

**Reader 1.** Twenty-seven external openings of the fistulas could be seen by MRI with body coil, whereas 29 external openings could be seen by MRI with Flex-M coil. Cohen's κ value for MRI with body coil and Flex-M coil was 0.635 (p < 0.001).

By MRI with body coil, 19 internal openings of the fistulas could be seen, whereas 30 internal openings could be seen by MRI with Flex-M coil. Cohen's κ value was 0.100 (p = 0.201) for the two techniques (Table 1). Six secondary fistula tracts were reported with body coil, whereas eight secondary fistula tracts were reported with Flex-M coil.

**Reader 2.** Twenty-five external openings could be seen by MRI with body coil, whereas 27 external openings could be seen by MRI with Flex-M coil. Cohen's κ value for MRI with body coil and Flex-M coil was 0.763 (p < 0.001).

Eighteen internal openings could be seen by MRI with body coil, whereas 28 internal openings could be seen by MRI with Flex-M coil. Cohen's κ value for MRI with body coil and Flex-M coil was 0.110 (p = 0.361).

Six secondary fistula tracts were reported with body coil, whereas eight secondary fistula tracts were reported with Flex-M coil. Cohen's κ value for MRI with body coil and Flex-M coil was 0.817 (p < 0.001).

There were six abscesses in six different patients, and all these abscesses were reported by both readers with both imaging techniques.

A second fistula was seen in two different patients. Neither reader could see the second fistulas by MRI with body coil. However, Reader 1 reported the second fistula...
of both patients and Reader 2 reported one of them by MRI with Flex-M coil.

Inter-observer variability for the interpretation of the MRI images with Flex-M coil and body coil was not statistically significant, and kappa values signified good concordance between the readers (Table 2).

According to Park's classification, it was seen that 16 (51.6%) patients had intersphincteric fistulas, 14 (45.2%) had transsphincteric fistulas, and one (3.2%) had suprasphincteric fistulas. No extrasphincteric fistulas were detected.

According to St. James University Hospital classification, 13 (41.9%) patients had grade I fistulas, three (9.7%) had grade II fistulas, eight (25.8%) had grade III fistulas, six (19.4%) had grade IV fistulas, and one (3.2%) had a grade V fistula.

### Discussion

In this study, we found that MRI could clearly depict perianal fistulas, abscess, secondary tracts, and their connections with sphincters. According to our results, the conspicuity of fistulas was better with fat-suppressed T2-weighted images obtained by MRI with superficial Flex-M coil, compared to those with body coil. This difference was more remarkable in showing the internal opening of the fistula tract (Figures 1 and 2). Besides this, there was excellent inter-observer concordance, which underlined that the operator dependency was low (Table 2).

Inadequate and/or false evaluation of the internal and external openings, primary and secondary tracts, unexpected anatomy, and concomitant inflammatory bowel disease are among the possible causes of surgical treat-
that short-tau inversion recovery (STIR) sequences could be a valid alternative to post-contrast T1-weighted sequences in the MRI of perianal fistulas in Crohn’s disease [1]. In another study, Baik et al. compared T2-weighted imaging (T2W), diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), and contrast-enhanced T1-weighted imaging (CE-T1W), and according to their findings, they concluded that although DWI and CE-T1W improved fistula conspicuity they had comparable diagnostic performance with T2W [5]. Hori et al. investigated the additional value of DW MRI to T2W in the evaluation of perianal fistula disease in comparison with CE-T1W. In their study, they defined DWI as a useful sequence and proposed that it could be a helpful addition to T2W [10]. Due to high spatial resolution, endoanal coils were used in MR imaging as an alternative to body coils for better perianal fistula visualisation. However, surgical concordance remained at 68% for endoanal imaging, whereas it was 96% for MRI with conventional body coil imaging [36]. Patient discomfort and FOV limitation were among the most significant limitations of endoanal imaging.

Pelvic phased-array coils are preferred, because it has been demonstrated in recent studies that they provide higher resolutions. MRI acquisition quality has been improved by multichannel external phased-array coils. With these coils the acquisition time is decreased, signal-to-noise ratio is increased, and larger fields of view are provided without the need for endoanal coils [32]. Because of these advantages, we thought to examine whether using a superficial coil in routine MRI would give better results than conventional MRI with body coil.
Our study does have some limitations. The number of patients was relatively low, and only axial FS T2W images were repeated with Flex-M coil because it would prolong the examination time. Thus, comparison of the coils could only be made based on the T2W images.

As a result, we showed that MRI with Flex-M coil gave clearer images and yielded additional data compared to perianal fistula imaging by MRI with body coil. Relatively easy accessibility and low cost are thought to be the most important advantages of Flex-M coil, making it preferable in perianal fistula imaging.

In conclusion, because proper visualisation of perianal fistulas is very important in the establishment of the treatment plan, we believe that our findings are crucial and applicable to routine daily clinical practice. MRI with Flex-M coil improves imaging quality in perianal fistula imaging and can easily be used in routine daily clinical practice.
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