Discordance between radiographic findings, pain, and superficial temperature in knee osteoarthritis
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Abstract

Introduction: Knee pain is an imprecise marker of radiographic evidence of osteoarthritis (OA). These patients are more likely to develop central sensitisation to pain, a risk factor for chronic pain.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to examine the associations among radiographic evidence of OA, self-reported pain, pressure pain thresholds (PPT), and superficial knee temperature in individuals with knee OA.

Material and methods: This cross-sectional study enrolled 25 patients with knee OA with clinic and radiographic diagnosis of bilateral OA (Kellgren-Lawrence grading scale 1–4 in X-ray images), but symptoms of pain and discomfort in only one of the knees, with pain perception in the symptomatic knee equal to or above 4 in a visual analogue scale. Volunteers underwent an evaluation including demographic data, superficial knee temperature (infrared thermography, mean superficial temperature of the region of the knee) and PPT (digital algometry at longus adductor, vastus lateralis, vastus medialis and tibialis anterior muscles, patellar tendon, and centre of the patella).

Results: Comparisons between symptomatic and asymptomatic knees revealed no differences regarding Kellgren-Lawrence classification, knee superficial temperature, or PPT. Significant weak and moderate associations were found between radiographic classification of OA and PPT of both knees in almost all sites evaluated. Nonetheless, superficial temperature of the knee was not associated with PPT or Kellgren-Lawrence grading scale.

Conclusions: Patients with bilateral knee OA presented no differences in symptomatic and asymptomatic knees regarding radiographic evidence, knee temperature, and PPT, indicating that central sensitisation may be present in them. Radiographic classification of OA was significantly associated with PPT in both knees.

Key words: osteoarthritis, pain threshold, thermography, rheumatology, knee.

Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common knee pathology in the elderly, and the most prevalent reason for knee pain and disability [1]. As a multifactorial disease, risk factors include genetics, female gender, obesity, and knee injury [2]. Although it is more prevalent among elderly people, nearly half the persons with symptomatic knee OA are between 45 and 64 years of age [3]. Early detection of this condition is important to prevent disability and reduce morbidity [4].

Standard assessment of joint degeneration is usually made by radiographic images that evaluate the presence of osteophytes and joint space narrowing [5]. However, individuals presenting knee pain do not always have radiographic evidence of OA, and many asymptomatic patients may have severe structural damage in the knee joint [6].
A systematic review of studies involving X-rays and clinical signs of OA [1] concluded that knee pain is an imprecise marker of radiographic evidence of OA; also, this radiographic evidence is an imprecise indicator of the likelihood that knee pain or disability will be present. This discrepancy may be explained by the susceptibility of some patients to develop central sensitisation to pain, a pain-amplifying neuroplastic alteration [5] considered to be a risk factor for developing chronic pain [7]. Conversely, a study involving two cohorts of the United States population has demonstrated a strong association between X-ray findings and pain [8]. The authors evaluated self-reported pain using a specific instrument for patients with OA (WOMAC – Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Index).

Self-reported pain may be influenced by gender, ethnicity, age, and even culture [9]. Direct quantitative measures, such as pressure pain thresholds (PPT), are gold standard methods for evaluating pain [10]. A study involving quantitative sensory testing (pain thresholds) [5] observed that patients with elevated levels of clinical pain and no evidence of moderate to severe radiographic evidence of knee OA were the most pain sensitive, which suggests central sensitisation. Pain in individuals with OA may also be due to an underlying inflammatory process responsible also for redness, heat, swelling, and joint stiffness [11]. Therefore, physiological and inflammation-related parameters are useful tools in the study of OA pain. Infrared thermography (IRT) is an accurate, noninvasive, and radiation-free technique to assess superficial temperature (ST) and indicate inflammation or changes in blood flow related to clinical abnormalities [12].

Superficial temperature in patients with OA has been little explored by the scientific literature so far. The study by Denoble et al. [13] found a moderate association between ST and pain, and Varjú et al. [14] verified a strong inverse association between superficial temperature and radiographic classification of OA. Considering the controversial findings about X-ray severity and pain in knee OA, and the lack of studies exploring the associations between ST, pain, and radiographic findings, the aim of this study was to examine the associations among radiographic evidence of OA, self-reported pain, pressure pain thresholds, and superficial knee temperature in individuals with knee OA.

**Material and methods**

This was a cross-sectional study in which 83 patients of both genders with knee OA were invited to participate. Post hoc sample size estimate for an α = 0.05 resulted in a statistical power of 76%.

Data collection was conducted at a University clinic from a private University. Patients referred to exercise treatment or physical therapy by the public primary health attention were invited to participate by the clinic’s health professionals.

Inclusion criteria involved clinical and radiographic diagnosis of bilateral knee OA (Kellgren-Lawrence grading scale 1–4 in X-ray images in standard weight-bearing antero-posterior and lateral views of the knees in extension), symptoms of pain and discomfort in only one of the knees, and pain perception in the symptomatic knee equal to or above 4 in the VAS (visual analogue scale) [15]. Patients with any other chronic disease such as fibromyalgia, rheumatoid arthritis, neurologic or cardiac diseases, and uncontrolled hypertension were excluded from the study, as well as those with total or partial prosthesis in one or both knees or hips. Out of the 83 patients initially enrolled, 25 were eligible to participate in the study protocol (Fig. 1).

Volunteers underwent an evaluation including demographic data (age, gender, weight, and height), superficial knee temperature, and pressure pain tolerance thresholds (PPT).

Pressure pain tolerance thresholds were assessed by pressure algometry with a J Tech digital algometer (J Tech Medical, Salt Lake City, UT, USA). The device contains a rubber end of 1 cm² in diameter. Pressure was applied at a constant rate of 1 kg/s until pain or discomfort was reported by the volunteer. Participants were instructed to say “stop” as soon as the feeling of pressure changed from unpleasant to painful. The test was interrupted as soon as the volunteer indicated the onset of pain, and the final amount of force applied was recorded. For the evaluation, each participant remained in dorso and lateral decubitus positions. Regions evaluated were as follows: longus adductor, vastus lateralis, vastus medialis and tibialis anterior muscles, patellar tendon, and centre of the patella. These sites have already been described and evaluated in previous studies [16, 17].

Superficial knee temperature was evaluated by thermography, in a room with controlled temperature and windows and curtains closed, blocking the entrance of external light, humidity, and air circulation. Environmental temperature variation was less than 1°C within

---

**Fig. 1. Study flowchart.**

- Referred to participate by health professionals (n = 83)
- Excluded (n = 58):
  - diagnosis of unilateral knee OA (n = 36)
  - presence of exclusion criteria (n = 3)
  - did not attend the evaluation (n = 19)
- n = 25
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a period of 20 minutes. The velocity of the incident air did not exceed 0.2 m/s. Relative air humidity was maintained at around 50%. Because the conditions for this type of examination must be standardised, the study participants were instructed not to:

• take hot baths or showers, use creams or powder, or perform vigorous exercises in the 2 previous hours from data collection,
• take in stimulants, caffeinated or alcoholic beverages, make use of nasal decongestants, or to smoke,
• be fasting for more than two hours.

Once these requirements were met, volunteers were asked to wear shorts in order to expose their lower limbs. Prior to the collection of the thermographic image, each volunteer remained in the room for 15 minutes, to allow thermal equilibrium with the temperature of the examination room. The image was collected in the anterior incidence, with participants standing 2 m away from an infrared sensor (FLIR E4, Wilsonville, Oregon, USA). Images were analysed by the sensor manufacturer’s software. The mean superficial temperature of the region of interest (ROI) of the knee (18) was obtained.

Ethical standards

This study was approved by local Ethics Committee (protocol number 1.815.849), and participants signed a written informed consent form.

Statistical analyses

Data analysis was conducted in SPSS v.24 for Windows. Normality of data was tested by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov method. Comparisons between symptomatic and asymptomatic knees were obtained by Wilcoxon or paired Student’s t-tests. Associations between study variables were established by Spearman or Pearson correlation tests and classified as follows: 0.0 to 0.19 – very weak association; 0.2 to 0.39 – weak association; 0.4 to 0.69 – moderate association; 0.7 to 0.89 – strong association; and 0.9 to 1.0 – very strong association. In all cases, descriptive level $\alpha$ was set at 5%.

Results

The study sample comprised 25 individuals with knee OA, with ages ranging from 54 to 78 years. All of them presented radiographic evidence of bilateral knee OA, but symptoms of pain and discomfort were self-reported by patients in only one of the knees. Mean pain intensity measured by VAS was moderate (Table I).

Comparisons between symptomatic and asymptomatic knees revealed no differences regarding Kellgren-Lawrence radiographic classification, knee ROI superficial temperature, or pain tolerance (except for the centre of the patella and patellar tendon), as shown in Table II. However, significant weak and moderate associations were found between radiographic classification of OA and pain tolerance (of both knees) in almost all sites evaluated. Nonetheless, superficial temperature of the knee ROI was not associated with PPT or Kellgren-Lawrence grading scale (Table III).

Discussion

This study aimed at examining associations among radiographic evidence of OA, self-reported pain, PPT, and superficial temperature of the knee in individuals with knee OA. There were no differences between symptomatic and asymptomatic knees concerning Kellgren-Lawrence classification, knee temperature, or pain tolerance at most sites evaluated. Despite this finding, significant associations were found between radiographic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Symptomatic</th>
<th>Asymptomatic</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>66.0 ±5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>31.1 ±3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (% F)</td>
<td>19 (76.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic knee (% R)</td>
<td>9 (36.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>6.7 ±1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI – body mass index, F – female, VAS – visual analogue scale, R – right.

Data are presented as means ± standard deviations or n (%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Symptomatic</th>
<th>Asymptomatic</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kellgren-Lawrence</td>
<td>2.2 ±0.9</td>
<td>2.1 ±0.7</td>
<td>0.257*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPT adductor longus (kgf)</td>
<td>1.7 ±1.0</td>
<td>1.7 ±0.9</td>
<td>0.577*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPT vastus lateralis (kgf)</td>
<td>2.6 ±1.0</td>
<td>2.9 ±1.3</td>
<td>0.149*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPT vastus medialis (kgf)</td>
<td>2.3 ±1.3</td>
<td>2.7 ±1.2</td>
<td>0.128*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPT centre of patella (kgf)</td>
<td>2.7 ±1.2</td>
<td>3.6 ±1.6</td>
<td>0.006**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPT patellar tendon (kgf)</td>
<td>3.5 ±2.4</td>
<td>4.3 ±2.4</td>
<td>0.016*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPT tibialis anterior (kgf)</td>
<td>3.2 ±1.5</td>
<td>3.6 ±1.8</td>
<td>0.092**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee temperature (°C)</td>
<td>31.2 ±1.4</td>
<td>31.1 ±1.5</td>
<td>0.379**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PPT – pressure pain threshold.

Data are presented as means ± standard deviations.

*Wilcoxon test, **paired Student’s t-test.
The present study was 6.7, which can be classified as radiographic knee OA [1]. The mean VAS of patients in this study, previously observed by several studies [1, 5, 6]. Thus, self-reported knee pain is an imprecise marker of knee OA is widely recognised, and body weight is the main modifiable risk factor for this disease [19]. However, the lack of association between BMI and radiographic evidence of OA observed in this study is not significant. The mean BMI of patients was 31.1, classified as obese. The lack of association observed herein with the Kellgren-Lawrence grading scale. Infrared images reflect thermal processes of the body [25], and it was expected that an altered joint temperature would be reflected in knee superficial temperature. This relationship has been previously demonstrated by Denoble et al. [3] and by Varjú et al. [14] in a study with patients with hand OA. The lack of association observed herein leads us to speculate that patients presented no active inflammatory mechanisms in the knee joint at the time of assessment, because superficial temperature evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kellgren-Lawrence × PPT adductor longus (kgf)</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kellgren-Lawrence × PPT vastus lateralis (kgf)</td>
<td>−0.21</td>
<td>0.14*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kellgren-Lawrence × PPT vastus medialis (kgf)</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kellgren-Lawrence × PPT centre of patella (kgf)</td>
<td>−0.47</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kellgren-Lawrence × PPT patellar tendon (kgf)</td>
<td>−0.35</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kellgren-Lawrence × PPT tibialis anterior (kgf)</td>
<td>−0.46</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kellgren-Lawrence × knee temperature (°C)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee temperature (°C) × VAS</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.35*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee temperature (°C) × PPT adductor longus (kgf)</td>
<td>−0.21</td>
<td>0.15*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee temperature (°C) × PPT vastus lateralis (kgf)</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>0.41*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee temperature (°C) × PPT vastus medialis (kgf)</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
<td>0.12*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee temperature (°C) × PPT centre of patella (kgf)</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>0.18**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee temperature (°C) × PPT patellar tendon (kgf)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.67**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knee temperature (°C) × PPT tibialis anterior (kgf)</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
<td>0.09**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kellgren-Lawrence × VAS</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.36*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kellgren-Lawrence × BMI</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.91*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PPT – pressure pain threshold, VAS – visual analogue scale, BMI – body mass index. *Spearman’s correlation test, **Pearson’s correlation test.
ated by thermography may reflect increased synovitis or subchondral bone activity, or both [26].

This study has some limitations. The relatively small sample size may have limited the statistical power to detect effects, and the cross-sectional nature of the study prevents further cause-effect conclusions. Also, pain mechanisms in chronic diseases are complex and deserve thorough investigations. We did not evaluate changes in sensitisation of central structures, so we cannot unequivocally state that hyperalgesia was associated with brain changes due to chronic pain in our patients. Despite these limitations, our study provides important data on augmented central pain processing, possibly caused by chronic pain in the knee joint.

Conclusions

Patients with bilateral knee OA presented no differences in symptomatic and asymptomatic knees regarding Kellgren-Lawrence grading scale, knee temperature, and pain tolerance, indicating that central sensitisation may be present in the same, but not with self-reported pain. Superficial knee temperature was not associated with pain or radiographic evidence severity.

Further studies should explore hyperalgesia in areas close to and distant from the affected joints. More studies with patients with bilateral OA but asymptomatic in one limb are desirable to increase the understanding of the underlying mechanisms of the nervous system that enhance patients’ pain. These insights may encourage further studies on new therapeutic approaches to control pain in individuals with OA.

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

References

18. Owen R, Ramlakhan S, Saatchi R, Burke D. Development of a high-resolution infrared thermographic imaging method as a diagnostic tool for acute undifferentiated limp in young chil-


