Melanoma-derived exosomes: their cargo, functions and potential role as biomarkers of disease progression

Theresa L Whiteside, PhD, MDhc UPMC Hillman Cancer Center University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA

Immunosuppressive factors and cells contributing to immune-cell dysfunction in cancer

- Inhibitory receptors/ ligands
- (e.g., PD-1/PD-L1)
- Soluble factors:
 - IL-10, TGF-β, IL-35 IDO, arginase Galectin -9 Adenosine, COX-2, PGE2 Oxygen radicals
- Regulatory cells:

iTreg

MDSC

• Metabolic checkpoints e.g., glucose deprivation

- MHC class I down-regulation/loss
 β2-microglobulin inactivation
 on tumor cells
- Tumor-derived immunoinhibitory exosomes



Tumors produce and release a variety of extracellular vesicles (EVs); exosomes are the smallest EVs (30-150nm) that differ from larger MVs by the endocytic origin

Why are we especially interested in exosomes??

- Because of their <u>origin</u> and their <u>content</u>: **TEX** are potential biomarkers for parent tumor cells ("liquid biopsy" concept)
 - TEX are a <u>communication</u> system operating between the tumor and other cells in the TME
- TEX emerge as key elements responsible for reprogramming of the TME into a tumorpromoting environment



Whiteside TL. Adv Clin Chem. 2016;74:103-41

TEX-MEDIATED REPROGRAMMING OF RECIPIENT CELLS



A supervised heat map for mRNA expression changes in resting or activated T cells co-incubated with TEX



T-cell subsets: isolated by immunoaffinity from PBMC of NC: resting or *in vitro* activated via the TCR



Examine mRNA/protein profiles in resting or activated T-cells + TEX relative to the baseline (no TEX) Ct values (mRNA expression levels were measured as a fold change from baseline)

Muller L et al, Sci. Reports, 2016

Reprogramming of recipient T cells by TEX

TEX which carry and deliver suppressive factors as a "bundle"



TEX modify immune-cell functions

Whiteside TL. Bochem Soc. Transactions (London), 2013



Whiteside TL. AdvClinChem. 2016;74:103-41

Exosome isolation from a patient's plasma or supernatants of cell lines



Exosome characteristics





Hong C-S, Funk S et al, J Extracell Ves, 2016



Exosomes isolated from plasma of HNC patients carry PD-1 and PD-L1

Mass Spectrometry of exosomes (Dr. Monika Pietrowska)

Exosomes isolated from plasma of patients with cancer induce apoptosis of activated T cells



4h co-incubation of activated CD8+ T cells with exosomes (5µg protein)

Separation of melanoma cell-derived exosomes (MTEX) from exosomes produced by normal cells (non-MTEX) by immune capture:

(a) isolation from plasma of total exosomes
 (b) immune capture of MTEX on beads
 (c) detection of molecular cargos in MTEX and non-MTEX

TEX mimic the molecular content of parent tumor cell

CSPG4 expression on MEL526 cells or exosomes from MEL526 cell line (Chondroitin sulfate peptidoglycan 4)



Mel526 cells express high levels of CSPG4, and the exosomes these cells produce also carry high levels of CSPG4. Relative Fluorescence Index (RFI) value for exosomes = 4.3.

Sharma P et al., JEV 2018

Molecular profiles and functional analysis of MTEX and non-MTEX from patients' plasma

- A pilot study of exosomes isolated from plasma of 12 patients with melanoma and 6 age- and sex-matched HDs
- Annotated plasma from the Melanoma SPORE BANK established at the Hillman Cancer Center
- 5 patients were NED at blood draw and 7 had metastatic disease
- □ Flowcytometry-based profiling: Immunosuppressive score

Immunostimulation score

Immsupp/immstim ratio

- Functional studies: TEX + primary human immune cells
- Clinicopathological correlations

Objectives: (a) the identification of immunosuppressive exosomes in plasma(b) preliminary indication whether TEX could serve as correlates of disease

Immune capture of melanoma-derived exosomes (MTEX) from plasma of patients with melanoma



DETECTION BY UN-BEAD FLO

PROTEIN LEVELS of TOTAL EXOSOMES or MTEX in PLASMA



MTEX = from 23% to 66% of total plasma exosomes

Sharma et al, submitted for publication, 2019



Melanoma associated antigens (MAAs) in MTEX and non-MTEX



MTEX score for MAAs =13.6 Non-MTEX score for MAAs=5.4

Sharma et al, submitted for publction, 2019

Immunoinhibitory proteins in MTEX and non-MTEX



Non-MTEX immsupp score =11.7

Sharma et al, submitted for publication, 2019

Immunostimulatory proteins in MTEX and non-MTEX



MTEX immstim score =8.8 Non-MTEX immstim score=16.2

Sharma et al, submitted for publication, 2019

RFI scores and ratios for MTEX and non-MTEX



Sharma et al, submitted for publication, 2019

Exosome-mediated effects on CD69 expression on primary human CD8+ T cells



All values are normalized to NO EXO controls

Sharma et al, submitted for publication, 2019





MTEX and non-MTEX co-incubated with CD8+ T cells



Exosome-mediated effects on NKG2Dreceptor expression levels and % NKG2D+ human primary NK cells after co-incubation with exosomes



Spearman's correlation coefficients for MTEX

		MTEX/Total												
	Total	protein	Supp	Stim	Stim/supp	CD8+	CD69		% Anon	Age at dy	Age at	Stano	Sov	Disease
	protein	Tatio	0.79	30016	Tatio	prom	0003	NKOZD		Aye at ux	uraw	Otage	Jex	310103
Total protein			<i>P</i> =0.002						Í					
MTEX/Total protein ratio		1							0.68 <i>P</i> =0.01					
Supp score	0.79 <i>P</i> =0.002)	1											
Stim score			/	1	0.74 <i>P</i> =0.006									
Stim/supp ratio				0.74 <i>P</i> =0.006	1									
CD8+ prolif						1								
CD69							1							
NKG2D								1						
% Арор		0.68 <i>P</i> =0.01							1					
Age at dx										1	0.84 <i>P</i> =0.0006		0.63 <i>P</i> =0.03	
Age at draw										0.84 <i>P</i> =0.0006	1			
Stage												1		
Sex										0.63 <i>P</i> =0.03			1	
Disease status														1

Spearman's correlation coefficients for non-MTEX

	Total	MTEX/Total protein	Supp	Stim	Stim/supp	CD8+					Age at			Disease
	protein	ratio	score	score	ratio	prolif	CD69	NKG2D	% Арор	Age at dx	draw	Stage	Sex	status
Total protein	1					-0.59 <i>P</i> =0.046								
MTEX/Total protein ratio		1						0.61 <i>P</i> =0.04						
Supp score			1		-0.76 <i>P</i> =0.005			-0.64 <i>P</i> =0.03						
Stim score				1		0.59 <i>P</i> =0.04			-0.72 <i>P</i> =0.009					
Stim/supp ratio			-0.76 <i>P</i> =0.005		1			0.81 <i>P</i> =0.002	-0.73 <i>P</i> =0.007)		-0.83 <i>P</i> =0.0007)	
CD8+ prolif	-0.59 <i>P</i> =0.046			0.59 <i>P</i> =0.04		1								
CD69			\frown				1							
NKG2D		0.61 <i>P</i> =0.04	-0.64 <i>P</i> =0.03) (0.81 <i>P</i> =0.002	\mathbf{D}		1						
% Арор				-0.72 <i>P</i> =0.009	-0.73 P=0.007				1			0.61 <i>P</i> =0.04		
Age at dx										1	0.84 <i>P</i> =0.0006		0.63 <i>P</i> =0.03	
Age at draw										0.84 <i>P</i> =0.0006	1			
Stage					-0.83 <i>P</i> =0.0007				0.61 <i>P</i> =0.04			1		
Sex										0.63 <i>P</i> =0.03			1	
Disease status														1

- □ Total exosome protein levels higher in MTEX than HDs' plasma
- MTEX are enriched in MAAs and immunosuppressive proteins
- Non-MTEX are enriched in immunostimulatory proteins
- Quantitative differences in molecular profiles of MTEX, non-MTEX and HD's exosomes: no single, unique profile distinguishing MTEX from non-MTEX
- MTEX strongly suppress functions of human immune cells and emerge as main contributors to immune suppression in melanoma patients
- Few correlations between exosome molecular profiles and functions were evident, with the ability of MTEX and non-MTEX to mediate CD8+ T cell apoptosis emerging as the strongest functional correlate of disease
- PD-L1 was present in MTEX and non-MTEX but no evidence for its association with disease was seen in this patient cohort

Contributors

- Cheng-Sook Hong, PhD
- Priyanka Sharma, PhD
- Sonja Ludwig, MD, PhD
- Laurent Muller, MD
- Marie-Nicole Theodoraki, MD
- Nils Ludwig, DDS, PhD
- Brenda Diegaarde, PhD statistics
- Robert L Ferris MD, PhD
- Michael Boyiadzis, MD
- Soldano Ferrone, MD
- John Kirkwood, MD
- Supported in part by the NIH grants R0-1 CA168628

and R-21 CA205644 to TLW

Postdoctoral Fellows